

# Center Conditions for a Class of Polynomial Differential Systems

Colin Christopher\*      Dana Schlomiuk†

**CRM-3236**

November 2006

---

\*School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Plymouth, Plymouth, Devon PL4 8AA, UK

†Département de mathématiques et de statistique, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128 Succursale Centre-Ville, Montréal, Quebec H3C 3J7, Canada



### **Abstract**

We classify non-degenerate centers of systems of the form

$$\dot{x} = P_3(x)y, \quad \dot{y} = P_0(x) + P_1(x)y + P_2(x)y^2,$$

where the  $P_i(x)$  are polynomials in  $x, y$  over  $\mathbf{R}$ . We show that such systems fall naturally into two classes: those with Darboux first integrals, and those which arise from simpler systems via singular algebraic transformations.

### **Résumé**

Nous classifions les systèmes ayant un centre non dégénéré et qui sont de la forme

$$\dot{x} = P_3(x)y, \quad \dot{y} = P_0(x) + P_1(x)y + P_2(x)y^2,$$

où  $P_i(x)$  sont des polynômes en  $x, y$  sur  $\mathbf{R}$ . Nous montrons que tous ces systèmes sont de deux types: ceux avec intégrales premières de Darboux et ceux qui sont engendrés par des systèmes plus simples à l'aide de transformations algébriques singulières.



## 1. Introduction

One of the most intriguing aspects of the dynamics of real planar polynomial vector fields is the close relationship between the algebraic nature of the defining equations and their qualitative dynamics. For example, the existence of algebraic curves which are union of trajectories can have important implications for the existence of centers, *i.e.* isolated singularities surrounded by closed orbits, or for the existence of limit cycles.

Let  $p$  be a singularity which is a center for the linear part of the system at  $p$ . Then  $p$  is either a center or it is a focus in which case  $p$  is called a *weak focus*. A theorem of Poincaré in [13] says that  $p$  is a center if and only if the system has a nonconstant analytic first integral in the neighborhood of  $p$ .

We would like to express the existence of a first integral around a singularity in terms of mechanisms which relate to the essential algebraic nature of the systems considered. It seems that the first article where several mechanisms for producing centers were discussed is [22] where ŻOŁĄDEK mentioned three such mechanisms: searching for 1) a Darboux first integral or 2) a Darboux-Schwarz-Christoffel first integral or 3) generating centers by *rational reversibility*.

In this article we shall consider two mechanisms for producing centers. The first mechanism is by producing a system which is symmetric with respect to a line, by pulling back a non-singular differential equation along a map of an algebraic nature. Firstly, we recall that given a singular point  $p$  which is a center or a focus, of a system

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = P(x, y), \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = Q(x, y), \quad (1)$$

where  $P, Q \in \mathbf{R}[x, y]$ , we can show that  $p$  is a center by showing that we have a symmetry with respect to a line through  $p$ . By this we actually mean that the system is invariant under a reflection with respect to that line and to the reversal of time. We may assume the point  $p$  to be at the origin and the line of symmetry to be the  $x$ -axis. Then this symmetry with respect to the  $x$ -axis is expressed by the condition:

$$(P(x, -y), Q(x, -y)) = (-P(x, y), Q(x, y)).$$

The following example illustrates construction of a system with a center on a line of symmetry. We start with the system without singularities:

$$\frac{d\bar{x}}{dt} = 2\bar{y}, \quad \frac{d\bar{y}}{dt} = -1,$$

whose first integral is  $F(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \bar{y}^2 + \bar{x}$ . The  $\bar{y}$ -axis has a contact point with the trajectory through the origin, *i.e.* the curve  $\bar{y}^2 + \bar{x} = 0$ . Now consider the map

$$\pi : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbf{R} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}^2,$$

taking  $(x, y)$  to  $(x^2, y) = (\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ . We construct a symmetric system by pulling back along this map the form  $\bar{\omega} = dF = 2\bar{y} d\bar{y} + d\bar{x}$  associated to the above system to obtain the form  $w = \pi^*\bar{\omega} = 2y dy + 2x dx$  associated to the system

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -y, \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = x.$$

This system has a center at the origin, it has the first integral  $x^2 + y^2$ , and it has a symmetry in the  $y$ -axis. The map above discards the left side of the phase portrait in the plane  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  and creates a symmetric system by "folding" the right side of its phase portrait onto the left side while smoothing the curves on the  $y$ -axis.

The above example illustrates a mechanism for producing systems with center by pulling back nonsingular differential equations via the polynomial map  $(x, y) \mapsto (x^2, y)$  with the result of obtaining symmetric differential systems.

This mechanism, which is of an algebraic nature, can be generalized by using more general maps than the one above but which are still of an algebraic nature.

To use the full power of this mechanism it is convenient to work over the complex field. More precisely, each differential system (1) over  $\mathbf{R}$  generates a complex differential system and we shall frequently make use of this complex system in order to study the real one.

We shall say that a system is *algebraically reducible* at a singular point  $p$  if we can find a map  $(x, y) \mapsto (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = (f(x, y), g(x, y))$  with  $f$  and  $g$  analytic functions (real or complex) in the neighborhood of  $p$  which are also algebraic over  $\mathbf{C}(x, y)$ , such that the differential equation  $P dy - Q dx = 0$  associated to our system (1) is the pull-back of a differential equation  $\bar{P} d\bar{y} - \bar{Q} d\bar{x} = 0$  without singularities. Since this last equation is nonsingular it has a local first

integral and this first integral can be pulled back to a first integral of our system, producing a center. We will also use the term *rationally reducible* if the map above can be chosen to be rational.

We shall say that a system is *algebraically reversible* at a singular point  $p$  if we can find a map  $(x, y) \mapsto (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = (f(x, y), g(x, y))$  with  $f$  and  $g$  analytic functions (real or complex) in the neighborhood of  $p$  such that they are algebraic over  $\mathbf{C}(x, y)$  and such that the Jacobian of  $f$  and  $g$  at  $p$  is negative. It is not hard to show that an algebraically reversible system is also algebraically reducible.

We also have another mechanism for proving that a system possesses a center. This mechanism is based on Darboux' paper [8] in which he made an extensive study of the integration of algebraic differential equations in terms of algebraic particular solutions of such equations.

Dulac proved integrability for quadratic systems with center in [9] by using a case-by-case discussion and various ad-hoc methods of integration in each case. In only one of the cases he proved integrability by using the method of Darboux. Dulac worked with a notion of center for polynomial systems over  $\mathbf{C}$  and he defined a center as a singularity with non-zero eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  such that  $\lambda = \lambda_1/\lambda_2$  is a negative rational number. In [9] he only considered the case when  $\lambda = -1$  and worked with the canonical form  $dx/dt = x + \dots, dy/dt = -y + \dots$

Darboux' method of integration was applied in [19, 16] to prove in a uniform way for all cases, that quadratic systems (respectively cubic symmetric systems) with centers are integrable. Poincaré's theorem together with the method of integration of Darboux thus yields another way of producing centers by methods of an algebraic nature.

The first integrals obtained via the method of Darboux are functions of the form

$$\exp(D/E) \prod C_i^{\alpha_i}, \quad (*)$$

where  $D, E$  and the  $C_i$  are polynomials in  $\mathbf{C}[x, y]$  and  $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{C}$ . Each of the  $C_i$  defines an algebraic curve which is a particular solution of the system. Darboux called *invariant algebraic curve* (respectively *algebraic solution*) of a differential equation  $Qdx - Pdy = 0$ , an algebraic curve  $f(x, y) = 0$  over  $\mathbf{C}$  such that  $Df = fk$  for some  $k \in \mathbf{C}[x, y]$  (respectively an algebraic invariant curve  $f(x, y) = 0$  with  $f$  an irreducible polynomial in  $x, y$  over  $\mathbf{C}$ ).

In view of Poincaré's result, in order to show that a singularity which is a center for its linearization is in fact a center we must look for a local nonconstant analytic first integral and in particular we may look for a first integral of the above form. Such functions are called *Darboux functions*. However, these functions are not sufficient for describing the local behavior around a center as simple examples of systems with center exist which have Liouvillian but not Darboux first integrals (see [17,22]). Żołądek mentioned some special kinds of Liouvillian first integrals, *i.e.* called *Schwarz-Christoffel* and *Darboux-Hyperelliptic* first integrals in [22].

A natural question which arises is the following:

*Is it true that all the weak foci which are centers of real planar polynomial vector fields have either a Darboux integrating factor or are algebraically reversible?*

*Remark.* For complex systems there seems to be yet another way of producing systems with centers in the sense of Dulac for singularities whose quotient of eigenvalues is  $-1$  and which have a local nonconstant analytic first integral. In this case, there are examples of systems which can be transformed to Riccati-like equations, and whose integrals can be given explicitly in terms of hyperelliptic functions. A cubic example can be found in [14]. There are no known examples of this phenomena however for real centers.

Given a class of real polynomial systems depending analytically on a finite number of parameters, each with a critical point which is a center for its linearization (which we may consider fixed for the whole class), the conditions to be satisfied by a system in order to have a center at this point can be determined algorithmically from Poincaré's theorem. The set of these conditions is denumerable but by the Hilbert's basis theorem, it is sufficient that a finite number of them be satisfied. In the case where the class depends polynomially on the parameters, these conditions are also polynomial.

Although computing the conditions is quite straight forward, reducing them to a finite basis is computationally much harder. Indeed, the computational difficulties are insurmountable even in the case of relatively simple cubic systems (see [11] for a typical example of massive expression swell in the symbolic computations). Nevertheless, it has been possible to solve these conditions in several interesting cases. Examples of these calculations can be found in many papers (for example, several sub cases of the Kukles' system have been analyzed this way in [6,10,11]). For each of these, the conjecture holds true.

The purpose of this paper is to obtain complete conditions for a center in an infinite dimensional class of systems. The class we consider are the generalized Liénard systems:

$$\dot{x} = P_3(x)y, \quad \dot{y} = P_0(x) + P_1(x)y + P_2(x)y^2, \quad (1.1)$$

where the  $P_i(x)$  are polynomials in  $x$ , with  $P_3(0) \neq 0$ ,  $P_0(0) = 0$  and  $P_3(0)P_0'(0) < 0$ . Such systems include, the Kukles system with  $a_7 = 0$ , and, after a change of variable, the quadratic systems.

Such systems were first considered by Cherkas [1], who found necessary and sufficient conditions for a center. However, in practice from the conditions obtained it is not easy to get the explicit form of the systems with center even for systems of small degree [7]. Furthermore, it is not clear, in the general case, how the conditions obtained relate to the types of first integrals mentioned above. We show here how the centers of (1.1) arise from either a Darboux first integral of the form (\*) or from a simple form of algebraic reducibility or reversibility.

In a previous paper [4], it was shown that all polynomial Liénard systems with centers can be considered as arising through a simple type of rational reducibility. In the present paper, the systems seem to exhibit more of the complexity that we would expect from the complete class of polynomial systems. How far these results can be generalized towards the class of all polynomial systems is unknown at the moment, although there are results of Cherkas which allow some systems with higher degree nonlinearities in  $y$  to be dealt with in a similar fashion [3].

In Section 2 we outline the two main results that we need for the classification. In Section 3 we consider the case where  $P_3(x)$  is constant. We do this is because the results we obtain are stronger in this case, and we are able to outline the method of proof without becoming entangled in the details of the more general case. The full case is treated in Section 4. A summary of the results can be found in Theorems 3 and 4 of these last two sections, with brief comments on how such centers could be distinguished computationally.

This paper is based on an earlier preprint with the same title by the first author which was reworked into its current more expanded version.

## 2. Preliminaries

We shall give here the two main results on which this work is based. The first is a result due to Cherkas (Theorem 1 below is essentially Lemma 3 of [1]), which enables us to give an algebraic description of the necessary and sufficient conditions for a center.

**Theorem 1.** *The Liénard system*

$$\dot{x} = y, \quad \dot{y} = g(x) + f(x)y, \quad (2.1)$$

with  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  real analytic in a neighborhood of zero with  $g(0) = 0$  and  $g'(0) < 0$ , has a center at the origin if and only if there exists a real analytic function  $z(x)$ , defined in a neighborhood of the origin, with  $z(0) = 0$  and  $z'(0) = -1$  such that

$$F(x) = F(z(x)), \quad \text{and} \quad G(x) = G(z(x)), \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$F(x) = \int_0^x f(\xi) d\xi, \quad G(x) = \int_0^x g(\xi) d\xi. \quad (2.3)$$

To stress a certain symmetry in (2.2) we shall write (2.2) as  $F(x) = F(z)$  and  $G(x) = G(z)$  meaning of course here that  $z = z(x)$ .

The other result concerns algebraic solutions to transcendental equations and is due to Rosenlicht [15].

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $(k, ')$  be a differential field of characteristic zero with differential extension field  $(K, ')$  with the same field of constants and such that  $k$  is algebraically closed in  $K$ , i.e. all elements in  $K$  which are algebraic over  $k$  also lie in  $k$ . We also assume that  $K$  is a finite algebraic extension of  $k(t)$ , where  $t$  is transcendental over  $k$  and such that  $t' \in k$ . Suppose that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \frac{u_i'}{u_i} + v' \in k,$$

where  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  are constants of  $k$  which are linearly independent over  $\mathbf{Q}$  and  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  and  $v$  are in  $K$ . Then  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in k$  and  $v = ct + d$ , with  $c$  a constant of  $k$  and  $d \in k$ .

*Remarks:* In our applications, we shall take  $k = \mathbf{C}$  to ensure that  $k$  is algebraically closed. In particular, all elements of  $k$  are constants.

### 3. The System with $P_3$ constant

In this section we consider the system (1.1) with  $P_3$  a constant. Since  $P_3(0) \neq 0$  by hypothesis, we can arrange that  $P_3 \equiv 1$  by scaling the time and therefore consider the simplified system

$$\dot{x} = y, \quad \dot{y} = P_0(x) + P_1(x)y + P_2(x)y^2, \quad (3.1).$$

We prove the following theorem for this system.

**Theorem 3.** *A system of the form (3.1) with  $P_0(0) = 0$  and  $P_0'(0) < 0$ , which has a center at the origin satisfies one of the following (possibly overlapping) conditions.*

- (i) *The system is algebraically reducible via the map  $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y^2)$  and thus it has a symmetry in the  $x$ -axis;*
- (ii) *the system is algebraically reducible via map  $(x, y) \mapsto (r(x), y)$  for some polynomial  $r(x) = x^2 + O(x^3)$  over  $\mathbf{R}$ ;*
- (iii) *there is a local first integral of Darboux type.*

*Proof:* Several steps will be needed for the proof.

**Step 1** We perform the change of variables used by Cherkas

$$y = Y \exp\left(\int_0^x P_2(\xi) d\xi\right).$$

to arrive, after renaming the variable  $Y$  as  $y$  at the system

$$\dot{x} = y, \quad \dot{y} = g(x) + f(x)y.$$

Here

$$g(x) = P_0(x) \exp(-2 \int_0^x P_2(\xi) d\xi), \quad f(x) = P_1(x) \exp(-\int_0^x P_2(\xi) d\xi). \quad (3.2)$$

We note that the transformation of Cherkas changed the system into one which is polynomial in  $y$  but with coefficients in a Liouvillian differential field extension of  $(\mathbf{C}(x), d/dx)$  generated by adjoining the exponentials of integrals in (3.2). On the other hand the above transformation reduced to the first degree, the polynomial in  $y$  in the right side of the second differential equation, making it possible to apply the Theorem of Cherkas.

**Step 2** We now apply Cherkas' Theorem.

It is easy to verify that the conditions on  $P_0(x)$  given above imply the hypotheses of Theorem 1 on  $g(x)$ . Therefore the conclusion of Theorem 1 tells us that (2.1) has a center at the origin if and only if there is a real analytic function  $z(x)$  in the neighborhood of the origin, with  $z(0) = 0$  and  $z'(0) = -1$  which simultaneously satisfies

$$\int_0^x f(\xi) d\xi = \int_0^z f(\xi) d\xi, \quad \int_0^x g(\xi) d\xi = \int_0^z g(\xi) d\xi,$$

or equivalently,

$$f(x) dx = f(z) dz, \quad g(x) dx = g(z) dz. \quad (3.3)$$

We first dismiss the trivial case where  $f(x)$  vanishes identically, as this implies that  $P_1$  is identically zero. The origin is, in this case, a center by symmetry in the  $x$  axis. Alternatively, the system can be algebraically reduced to the system

$$\dot{\bar{x}} = 1, \quad \dot{\bar{y}} = 2P_0(\bar{x}) + 2P_2(\bar{x})\bar{y}$$

by the map  $(x, y) \mapsto (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = (x, y^2)$ . This means that the first integral defined at the origin of this system in  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  can be pulled back to a first integral in a neighborhood of the origin of (3.1), giving a center.

Thus we shall assume from now on that  $f$  and  $g$  do not vanish identically. We shall also exclude the case where  $P_2$  vanishes identically in (3.1) as this has been covered in [4]. In fact this case is just a special case of Step 3 below.

From (3.3) we obtain

$$g(x)/f(x) = g(z)/f(z), \quad (3.4)$$

as local meromorphic functions in  $x$  around the origin (in the right side of (3.4)  $z$  is actually  $z(x)$ ) and hence  $z(x)$  satisfies the equation

$$\frac{P_0(x)}{P_1(x)} \exp\left(-\int_0^x P_2(\xi) d\xi\right) = \frac{P_0(z)}{P_1(z)} \exp\left(-\int_0^z P_2(\xi) d\xi\right). \quad (3.5)$$

As a real local analytic function,  $z(x)$  may be considered as an element of  $\mathbf{R}\{\{x\}\}$  which determines an element of  $\mathbf{C}\{\{x\}\}$  and hence a local complex analytic function which we also denote by  $z(x)$ . We now divide our investigation into two cases: the first case is when  $z(x)$  is algebraic over  $\mathbf{C}(x)$ , and the second when  $z(x)$  is transcendental over  $\mathbf{C}(x)$ .

**Step 3  $z(x)$  is algebraic over  $\mathbf{C}(x)$ :** In this case we can apply the results of Theorem 2. First note that  $\int P_2(x) dx$  is a non-constant polynomial, and that the equation (3.5) gives

$$\frac{P_0(x)/P_1(x)}{P_0(z)/P_1(z)} \exp\left(-\int_0^x P_2(\xi) d\xi + \int_0^z P_2(\xi) d\xi\right) = 1. \quad (3.6)$$

Thus we have

$$\Psi(x) = R_1(x, z(x))e^{R_2(x, z(x))} = 1, \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$R_1(x, y) = \frac{P_0(x)/P_1(x)}{P_0(y)/P_1(y)} \in \mathbf{C}(x, y),$$

$$R_2(x, y) = \int_0^y P_2(\xi) d\xi - \int_0^x P_2(\xi) d\xi \in \mathbf{C}[x, y].$$

$\overline{R_1}(x) = R_1(x, z(x))$  and  $\overline{R_2}(x) = R_2(x, z(x))$  therefore lie in the algebraic differential field extension  $(\mathbf{C}(x)[z(x)], d/dx)$  of  $(\mathbf{C}(x), d/dx)$  generated by  $z(x)$ . Below we denote the derivations in the two fields by  $'$ . Considering now the expression for  $\Psi'/\Psi$  from (3.7) we obtain:

$$(\overline{R_1})'/\overline{R_1} + (\overline{R_2})' = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Thus, by Theorem 2 where we take  $k = \mathbf{C}$  and  $K = \mathbf{C}(x)[z]$ , we get that  $R_1(x, z(x))$  is a constant and  $R_2(x, z(x))$  is of the form  $cx + d$ , for constants  $c$  and  $d$ . Substituting back into (3.8), we see that  $c$  must vanish. Furthermore, it is clear that at  $x = 0$ ,  $R_2(x, z(x))$  vanishes, and hence  $d = 0$ . Lastly, from (3.7),  $R_1(x, z(x)) = 1$ .

Thus, we have arrived at the following equations

$$P_0(x)/P_1(x) = P_0(z)/P_1(z), \quad \int_0^x P_2(\xi) d\xi = \int_0^z P_2(\xi) d\xi. \quad (3.9)$$

Consider the subfield  $F$  of  $\mathbf{R}(x)$  formed by all rational functions  $S(x)$  such that  $S(x) = S(z(x))$ . By Lüroth's theorem, the field is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}(r/s)$ , for some function  $r(x)/s(x)$  with  $r(x), s(x) \in \mathbf{R}[x, y]$ . Without loss of generality, we can choose the degree of  $r$  to be greater than the degree of  $s$ , with  $r$  and  $s$  coprime. Hence, we can write

$$\int_0^x P_2(\xi) d\xi = \phi(r(x)/s(x)), \quad (3.10)$$

for some rational function  $\phi$  over  $\mathbf{R}$ , in one variable.

Now, working over  $\mathbf{C}$ , the right hand side of (3.10) can be written as

$$\prod_{i=1}^q (\alpha_i r + \beta_i s) / \prod_{i=1}^q (\gamma_i r + \delta_i s),$$

If  $(\alpha_i r + \beta_i s)$  shares a common factor with  $(\gamma_j r + \delta_j s)$ , these two polynomials must differ by a constant, whence we can assume that the fraction above allows no further cancellations. Since the left hand side of (3.10) is a polynomial,  $\prod (\gamma_i r + \delta_i s)$  must be a constant, and hence the denominator has no dependence on  $r$ , and  $s$  must be a constant. Without loss of generality, we can take  $s(x) = 1$  and  $r(0) = 0$ .

From (3.9), we must also have

$$P_0(x)/P_1(x) = \psi_0(r/s)/\psi_1(r/s) = \psi_0(r)/\psi_1(r)$$

for some polynomials in one variable  $\psi_0$  and  $\psi_1$  over  $\mathbf{R}$  with  $(\psi_0, \psi_1) = 1$ . From the equality above we get

$$P_0(x)/\psi_0(r(x)) = P_1(x)/\psi_1(r(x)) = K(x)$$

with  $K(x)$  a rational function in  $x$  over  $\mathbf{R}$ . We then have:

$$P_0(x) = K(x)\psi_0(r(x)), \quad P_1(x) = K(x)\psi_1(r(x)), \quad (3.11)$$

which implies that  $K(x)$  is a polynomial over  $\mathbf{R}$ .

Using the expression for  $P_1$  in (3.11) and replacing it into the second part of (3.2) we have:

$$f(x) = K(x)\psi_1(r(x)) \exp\left(-\int_0^x P_2(\xi) d\xi\right).$$

Substituting the above in the first part of (3.3) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} K(x)\psi_1(r(x)) \exp\left(-\int_0^x P_2(\xi) d\xi\right) dx = \\ K(z)\psi_1(r(z)) \exp\left(-\int_0^z P_2(\xi) d\xi\right) dz. \end{aligned}$$

But  $r(x) = r(z(x))$  and using the second part of (3.9) we obtain:

$$K(x) dx = K(z) dz.$$

However, from  $r(x) = r(z)$ , we also have  $r'(x) dx = r'(z) dz$ , and hence,

$$K(x)/r'(x) = K(z)/r'(z).$$

So  $K(z)/r'(z)$  is in the field  $F$ . This implies that  $K(x) = r'(x)\chi(r(x))$  for some rational function  $\chi$  over  $\mathbf{R}$  in one variable, which in view of the preceding equality must be a polynomial by a comparison of the degrees of  $r$  and  $r'$ . Since  $r(x) = r(z)$  with  $z'(0) = -1$ , we must have  $r'(0) = 0$ . However, from the expression of  $P_0(x)$  in (3.11) and using the expression  $K(x) = r'(x)\chi(r(x))$  we get that  $P_0'(0) = r''(0)\chi(0)\psi(0)$  and hence  $r''(0) \neq 0$ , and without loss of generality, we can take  $r''(0) = 1$ . We also have  $\chi(0)\psi_0(0) \neq 0$ .

Putting together this information, there exist polynomials  $A_0$ ,  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  such that

$$P_0(x) = A_0(r(x))r'(x), \quad P_1(x) = A_1(r(x))r'(x), \quad P_2(r(x)) = A_2(r(x))r'(x),$$

with  $A_0(r(x)) = \chi(r(x))\psi(r(x))$  and hence  $A_0(0) < 0$ . The system is then algebraically reducible. Indeed the map  $(x, y) \mapsto (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = (r(x), y)$  reduces (3.1) to the system

$$\dot{\bar{x}} = \bar{y}, \quad \dot{\bar{y}} = A_0(\bar{x}) + A_1(\bar{x})\bar{y} + A_2(\bar{x})\bar{y}^2.$$

This system is nonsingular at the origin since the conditions on  $P_0$  imply that  $A_0(0) < 0$ .

Alternatively, the center can be seen to be given by a reversing transformation

$$(x, y, t) \mapsto (\bar{x}, y, -t),$$

where  $r(x) = r(\bar{x})$ ,  $\bar{x}(0) = 0$  and  $\bar{x}'(0) < 0$ . The case when  $P_2$  vanishes identically follows the same pattern [4].

**Step 4  $\mathbf{z(x)}$  is transcendental over  $\mathbf{C(x)}$ :** In this case, we first consider some consequences of (3.4). Differentiating (3.4) we obtain

$$(g/f)'(x) dx = (g/f)'(z) dz$$

where here and also in the equality below, ' denotes the derivative as function in one variable. This gives:

$$\left[ \frac{1}{f} \left( \frac{g}{f} \right)' \right] (x) = \left[ \frac{1}{f} \left( \frac{g}{f} \right)' \right] (z),$$

which gives

$$\left[ \frac{P_2}{P_1} \left( \frac{P_0}{P_1} \right) - \frac{1}{P_1} \left( \frac{P_0}{P_1} \right)' \right] (x) = \left[ \frac{P_2}{P_1} \left( \frac{P_0}{P_1} \right) - \frac{1}{P_1} \left( \frac{P_0}{P_1} \right)' \right] (z).$$

Since this is an algebraic equation between  $z$  and  $x$  and since both  $x$  and  $z$  are transcendental over  $\mathbf{C}$  then both sides of the above equality must be a constant  $c$ . Hence we consider the equality in  $\mathbf{R}(x)$ :

$$P_2 P_0 P_1 + P_0 P_1' - P_1 P_0' = c P_1^3. \quad (3.12)$$

To simplify this relation further, we now split up  $P_0$  and  $P_1$  over  $\mathbf{C}$  into their linear factors. We write

$$P_1(x) = q_1 \prod_{i=1}^n l_i(x)^{\alpha_i}, \quad P_0(x) = q_0 \prod_{i=1}^n l_i(x)^{\beta_i}, \quad (\alpha_i + \beta_i \geq 1, \quad \forall i),$$

where the  $l_i$  are of the form  $x - k_i$  for each  $i$ , with the  $k_i$  distinct, and the  $q_i$  are constants. Equation (3.12) then gives

$$P_2 q_0 q_1 \prod_{i=1}^n l_i^{\alpha_i + \beta_i} + q_0 q_1 \prod_{i=1}^n l_i^{\alpha_i + \beta_i - 1} \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i - \beta_i) K_i = c q_1^3 \prod_{i=1}^n l_i^{3\alpha_i}, \quad (3.13)$$

where  $K_i$  is the product of all the  $l_k$  except  $l_i$ . If  $c = 0$ , then we have that  $\alpha_i = \beta_i$  for all  $i$ , and hence  $P_2 \equiv 0$ . However, we have already left aside this case as it was considered in [4]. Thus,  $c \neq 0$ , and from (3.13) we see that for all  $i$ ,  $3\alpha_i \geq \alpha_i + \beta_i - 1$ . That is to say  $\beta_i \leq 2\alpha_i + 1$ . Let  $K$  be the product of all the  $l_i$ 's. We thus have

$$P_2 q_0 q_1 K + q_0 q_1 \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i - \beta_i) K_i = c q_1^3 \prod_{i=1}^n l_i^{2\alpha_i - \beta_i + 1}. \quad (3.14)$$

If  $2\alpha_i - \beta_i + 1 > 0$ , then  $\alpha_i = \beta_i$ . Thus we can split the  $l_i$  into two categories: those with  $\alpha_i = \beta_i$  and those with  $\beta_i = 2\alpha_i + 1$ . For ease of working, we shall drop the reference to the respective ranges of the suffixes of these two classes, and denote the elements of the first class by  $\bar{l}_i$ , and those of the second by  $\bar{\bar{l}}_i$ . We also denote the product of the  $\bar{l}_i$ 's by  $\bar{L}$ , and  $\bar{\bar{L}}/\bar{L}$  by  $\bar{\bar{L}}_j$ . Finally, we take  $M = \prod \bar{l}_i^{\alpha_i}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 &= q_0 \prod \bar{l}_i^{\alpha_i} \prod \bar{\bar{l}}_i^{2\alpha_i + 1} = q_0 M \prod \bar{l}_i^{2\alpha_i + 1}, \\ P_1 &= q_1 \prod \bar{l}_i^{\alpha_i} \prod \bar{\bar{l}}_i^{\alpha_i} = q_1 M \prod \bar{l}_i^{\alpha_i}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Furthermore, from (3.14),

$$P_2 q_0 q_1 \bar{\bar{L}} - q_0 q_1 \sum (1 + \alpha_i) \bar{\bar{L}}_i = c q_1^3 \prod \bar{l}_i^{\alpha_i} = c q_1^3 M, \quad (3.16)$$

and from (3.16) we get

$$M = \frac{q_0}{q_1^2 c} \left( P_2 \bar{\bar{L}} - \sum (1 + \alpha_i) \bar{\bar{L}}_i \right). \quad (3.18)$$

Finally, we can construct a first integral of the system using the geometric method of integration of Darboux.

First we observe that, in view of (3.15),  $P_0/P_1$  is a polynomial. Indeed  $P_0/P_1 = (q_0/q_1) (\prod \bar{l}_i^{\alpha_i + 1})$ .

For  $k \in \mathbf{C}$  we define

$$C_k(x, y) = y + k(P_0/P_1)(x) = y + k \frac{q_0}{q_1} \prod \bar{l}_i^{\alpha_i + 1}.$$

We seek invariant algebraic curves in the family of curves  $C_k = 0$ . A curve  $C_k = 0$  is an invariant algebraic curve of the differential system (3.1) if and only if  $DC_k/C_k \in C[x, y]$ , where  $D$  is the operator

$$y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (P_0 + P_1 y + P_2 y^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

We determine the condition on the constant  $k$  such that this be satisfied.

We first compute

$$DC_k = k(P_0/P_1)'y + P_0 + P_1y + P_2y^2.$$

Using (3.12) we have that

$$(P_0/P_1)' = (P_0'P_1 - P_0P_1')/P_1^2 = P_2P_0/P_1 - cP_1,$$

and hence

$$DC_k = P_2y^2 + k(P_0P_2/P_1 - cP_1)y + P_0 + P_1y. \quad (a)$$

We search for polynomials  $A_k(x)$  and  $B_k(x)$  such that

$$DC_k = C_k(A_ky + B_k) = (y + kP_0/P_1)(A_ky + B_k) \quad (b).$$

Clearly, we have from (a) and (b) that

$$A_k = P_2, \quad B_k = P_1/k,$$

and

$$-ckP_1 + P_1 = P_1/k,$$

yielding

$$ck^2 - k + 1 = 0.$$

Depending on the value of  $c$  this equation has one or two distinct solutions. If  $c = 1/4$  it has only one solution  $k = 2$ , and if  $c \neq 1/4$  it has two distinct solutions  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ . Thus

$$DC_k = C_k(P_2y + P_1/k) = C_k \left( P_2y + \frac{q_1M}{k} \prod \bar{l}_i^{\alpha_i} \right).$$

where  $k = 2$  in case  $c = 1/4$  and  $k = k_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$  in case  $c \neq 1/4$ .

Before considering each one of these two cases we observe that the system (3.1) admits the following expression

$$C = \exp \left( \int_0^x P_2(x) dx \right),$$

as an exponential factor, i.e.  $DC/C \in \mathbf{C}[x, y]$ . Indeed, we have:

$$DC = C(P_2y).$$

We now consider the two possible cases. First let us suppose  $c \neq 1/4$ . In this case we construct a Darboux first integral from these three functions  $C$ ,  $C_{k_1}$  and  $C_{k_2}$  of the form

$$\left( y + k_1 \frac{q_0}{q_1} \prod \bar{l}_i^{\alpha_i+1} \right)^{r_1} \left( y + k_2 \frac{q_0}{q_1} \prod \bar{l}_i^{\alpha_i+1} \right)^{r_2} \exp \left( r_3 \int_0^x P_2(x) dx \right),$$

It is immediately verified that if we take  $r_1 = 1$ ,  $r_2 = -k_2/k_1$  and  $r_3 = -1 + k_2/k_1$  then we have the linear combination of their corresponding cofactors

$$r_1(P_2y + P_1/k_1) + r_2(P_2y + P_1/k_2) + r_3P_2y = 0$$

In the case  $c = 1/4$  we only have one invariant algebraic curve, i.e.  $C_2 = 0$ . We recall that we also have the exponential factor  $C$ . We now consider the expression

$$\tilde{C} = \exp(P_0/(P_1y + 2P_0)) = \exp \left( \frac{(q_0/q_1) \prod \bar{l}_i^{\alpha_i+1}}{y + 2(q_0/q_1) \prod \bar{l}_i^{\alpha_i+1}} \right),$$

then  $\tilde{C}$  is another Darboux exponential factor. Indeed calculations yield:

$$D\tilde{C} = \tilde{C}(-P_1/4) = \tilde{C} \left( -\frac{1}{4}q_1M \prod \bar{l}_i^{\alpha_i} \right).$$

In this case we construct a Darboux first integral by using the curve  $C_2 = y + 2(P_0/P_1)(x)$  and the two exponential factors  $C$  and  $\tilde{C}$  defined above. This first integral is of the form

$$(C_2)^{r_1} C^{r_2} (\tilde{C})^{r_3}.$$

It is easy to see that if we take  $r_1 = 2$ ,  $r_2 = -1$  and  $r_3 = 1$  we obtain the following linear combination of their corresponding cofactors:

$$r_1(-P_1/4) + r_2(P_2y) + r_3(P_2y + P_1/2) = 0$$

These integrals are well defined and holomorphic in a neighborhood of the origin, and hence, by Poincaré's result the origin is a center.

*Remarks:* It is now easy to see how we can determine computationally whether a particular system of the form (3.1) has a non-degenerate center or not. First we check whether  $P_1 \equiv 0$  (case (i)). Secondly, we check whether (3.12) holds for some constant  $c$  (case (iii)). Finally we seek a non-trivial common generating polynomial of the form  $x^2 + \dots$  for the polynomials

$$\int_0^x P_0(x) dx, \quad \int_0^x P_1(x) dx, \quad \int_0^x P_2(x) dx.$$

## 4. The General System

We now consider the general case,

$$\dot{x} = P_3(x)y, \quad \dot{y} = P_0(x) + P_1(x)y + P_2(x)y^2, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $P_3$  is a polynomial with  $P_3(0) \neq 0$ . Without loss of generality, we can take  $P_3(0) > 0$ . The conditions on  $P_0$  for the origin to be of focal type are then the same as Section 3, namely  $P_0(0) = 0$  and  $P_0'(0) < 0$ .

**Theorem 4.** *A system of the form (4.1) with  $P_0(0) = 0$ ,  $P_3(0) > 0$  and  $P_0'(0) < 0$ , which has a center at the origin satisfies one of the following (possibly overlapping) conditions.*

- (i) *The system is algebraically reducible via the map  $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y^2)$  and thus it has a symmetry in the  $x$ -axis;*
- (ii) *the system is algebraically reducible via the map  $(x, y) \mapsto (M(x)^{1/r}, yR(x)^{1/q})$ , where  $M(x)$  is a rational function in  $x$  over  $\mathbf{R}$  with  $M(x)^{1/r}$  of order 2 at  $x = 0$ , and  $R(0) \neq 0$ ;*
- (iii) *there is a local first integral of Darboux type.*

*Proof:* The steps are similar to those of Theorem 3.

**Step 1.** In the differential equation  $P dy - Q dx = 0$  we perform the change of variables of Cherkas

$$y = Y \exp\left(\int_0^x P_2(x)/P_3(x) dx\right).$$

and we obtain a differential equation in  $x, Y$  whose associated differential system, after renaming  $Y$  as  $y$  is:

$$\dot{x} = y, \quad \dot{y} = g(x) + f(x)y,$$

where

$$g(x) = \frac{P_0(x)}{P_3(x)} \exp\left(-2 \int_0^x \frac{P_2(\xi)}{P_3(\xi)} d\xi\right), \quad f(x) = \frac{P_1(x)}{P_3(x)} \exp\left(-\int_0^x \frac{P_2(\xi)}{P_3(\xi)} d\xi\right).$$

We note that, since  $P_3(0) \neq 0$ , the functions  $f$  and  $g$  are analytic at the origin, and that the conditions on  $P_0(x)$  imply the hypothesis of Theorem 1.

**Step 2.** Hence we have a center if and only if there exists an analytic function  $z(x)$ , with  $z(0) = 0$  and  $z'(0) < 0$  which simultaneously satisfies

$$f(x) dx = f(z) dz, \quad g(x) dx = g(z) dz, \quad (4.2)$$

If  $f(x)$  vanishes identically, then there is again a center at the origin by symmetry in the  $x$ -axis. We therefore exclude this case from further consideration.

From (4.2) we have  $g(x)/f(x) = g(z)/f(z)$ , and so

$$\frac{P_0(x)}{P_1(x)} \exp\left(-\int_0^x P_2(x)/P_3(x) dx\right) = \frac{P_0(z)}{P_1(z)} \exp\left(-\int_0^z P_2(z)/P_3(z) dz\right). \quad (4.3)$$

As an element of  $\mathbf{R}\{\{x\}\}$ ,  $z(x)$  generates also an element of  $\mathbf{C}\{\{x\}\}$  which as before we also denote by  $z(x)$ . We again divide the search into two cases.

**Step 3.  $z(x)$  is algebraic over  $\mathbf{C}(x)$ .** First note that we can write  $\int P_2(x)/P_3(x) dx$  in the form

$$-\int_0^x P_2(x)/P_3(x) dx = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i \log(R_i(x)) + R(x), \quad (4.4)$$

via the partial fraction expansion of  $P_2(x)/P_3(x)$  over  $\mathbf{C}$ , where the  $R_i$  and  $R$  are rational functions of  $x$  over  $\mathbf{C}$ , well defined at  $x = 0$  and such that  $R_i(0) = 1$  for all  $i$  and  $R(0) = 0$ . Furthermore, splitting the  $\alpha_i$  into their real and imaginary parts, and taking the average of the right hand side of (4.4) with its complex conjugate, we can assume without loss of generality that  $R(x)$  is real and for each  $i$ , if  $\alpha_i$  is real then  $R_i(x)$  is real, and if  $\alpha_i$  is complex then  $\alpha_i = i\beta_i$  for some real  $\beta_i$  and  $R_i(x) = 1/R_i(x)$ .

Any linear dependence  $\sum k_i \alpha_i = 0$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$  resolves itself into real and imaginary dependencies, and it is clear that we can use such a dependency to reduce the number of coefficients in (4.4) by a suitable choice of the  $R_i(x)$ , still retaining the properties in the paragraph above.

We can therefore assume that the  $\alpha_i$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . If one of the  $\alpha_i$  is rational, then denote the corresponding  $R_i$  by  $R_0$  and decrease  $n$  by 1; otherwise we take  $R_0 = 1$ . By taking a suitable power of  $R_0$  if necessary, we can assume that  $\alpha_0 = 1/q$  for some integer  $q$ . From the properties of the  $R_i(x)$  above,  $R_0(x)$  must have real coefficients.

Rearranging, we take  $\alpha_i$  real for  $i = 0, \dots, r$  and  $\alpha_i = i\beta_i$  with  $\beta_i$  real for  $i = r+1, \dots, n$ .

We now can rewrite (4.3) in the form

$$\frac{P_0(x)}{P_1(x)} R_0(x)^{\alpha_0} \prod_{i=1}^n R_i(x)^{\alpha_i} \exp(R(x)) = \frac{P_0(z)}{P_1(z)} R_0(z)^{\alpha_0} \prod_{i=1}^n R_i(z)^{\alpha_i} \exp(R(z))$$

Rearranging, we get

$$S_0(x, z(x))^{1/q} \prod_{i=1}^n S_i(x, z(x))^{\alpha_i} e^{S(x, z(x))} = 1, \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$S_0(x, z) = \frac{P_0(x)^q R_0(x)/P_1(x)^q}{P_0(z)^q R_0(z)/P_1(z)^q}, \quad S_i(x, z) = \frac{R_i(x)}{R_i(z)}, \quad S(x, z) = R(x) - R(z),$$

with  $i > 0$  above and where the  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_0 = 1/q$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . We denote

$$\tilde{S}_0(x) = S_0(x, z(x)), \quad \tilde{S}_i(x) = S_i(x, z(x)), \quad \tilde{S}(x) = S(x, z(x)).$$

Differentiating (4.5) with respect to  $x$ , we obtain

$$(1/q)\tilde{S}_0'/\tilde{S}_0 + \sum \alpha_i \tilde{S}_i'/\tilde{S}_i + \tilde{S}' = 0, \quad (4.6)$$

By Theorem 2, taking  $K$  to be the algebraic function field  $K = \mathbf{C}(x)[z(x)]$  generated by  $z(x)$  over  $\mathbf{C}(x)$ , we have  $\tilde{S}_i \in \mathbf{C}$ , ( $i = 0, 1, \dots$ ), and  $\tilde{S}(x) = cx + d$ , with  $c, d \in \mathbf{C}$ . Substituting back into (4.6), we see that  $c = 0$ . Lastly, as  $\tilde{S}(0) = S(0, z(0)) = R(0) - R(z(0)) = 0$ , we see that  $d = 0$ , so  $\tilde{S} = 0$  and  $\tilde{S}_i = 1$ , ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ), thus from (4.5) we see that  $\tilde{S}_0 = 1$ ; whence we arrive at the following equations:

$$\frac{P_0(x)^q R_0(x)}{P_1(x)^q} = \frac{P_0(z)^q R_0(z)}{P_1(z)^q}, \quad R(x) = R(z), \quad R_i(x) = R_i(z) \quad (i > 0). \quad (4.7)$$

Once again, consider the subfield of  $\mathbf{R}(x)$  of all rational functions  $P$  over  $\mathbf{R}$ , such that  $P(x) = P(z(x))$ . Application of Lüroth's theorem gives a generator for this field, say  $M(x) \in \mathbf{R}(x)$ . Now,  $P(x) = P(z(x))$  implies that  $\bar{P}(x) = \bar{P}(z(x))$  since  $z(x)$  can be considered as an element of  $\mathbf{R}\{x\}$ . Hence, if  $P(x) = P(z(x))$ , then both the real and imaginary parts of  $P(x)$  must be expressible as rational functions of  $M(x)$ .

Thus, we can now write

$$R(x) = \psi(M(x)), \quad R_i(x) = \chi_i(M(x)), \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots) \quad (4.8)$$

where  $\psi$  and the  $\chi_i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, r$  are rational functions in  $x$  over  $\mathbf{R}$ . For  $i = r + 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\chi_i$  lies in  $\mathbf{C}(x)$ ; however, in this case, we know that  $\bar{R}_i(x) = 1/R_i(x)$ , and hence  $\bar{\chi}_i = 1/\chi_i$ , since  $M(x)$  is real.

Without loss of generality, we can choose  $M(x) = r(x)/s(x)$  with  $r(0) = 0$  and  $s(0) \neq 0$  and  $r, s \in \mathbf{R}(x)$ . Thus  $\chi_i$  and  $\psi$  are well-defined and  $\chi_i$  is non-zero at 0 from the corresponding conditions on  $R_i$ .

Since  $M(x) = M(z)$ , we have  $M'(x) dx = M'(z) dz$  (where  $M'(z)$  just means the derivative of  $M$  with respect to  $z$ ), and therefore,  $f(x)/M'(x) = f(z)/M'(z)$  and similarly for  $g$ , from (4.2). Now using the fact that

$$\exp\left(-\int_0^x P_2(x)/P_3(x) dx\right) = e^{R(x)} \prod_{i=1}^n R_i(x)^{\alpha_i}$$

and the relations given in (4.7), we obtain

$$\frac{P_0(x)R_0(x)^{2\alpha_0}}{P_3(x)M'(x)} = \frac{P_0(z)R_0(z)^{2\alpha_0}}{P_3(z)M'(z)}, \quad \frac{P_1(x)R_0(x)^{\alpha_0}}{P_3(x)M'(x)} = \frac{P_1(z)R_0(z)^{\alpha_0}}{P_3(z)M'(z)}. \quad (4.9)$$

Whence, we deduce

$$\frac{P_0(x)/(P_3(x)M'(x))}{(P_1(x)/(P_3(x)M'(x)))^2} = \frac{P_0(z)/(P_3(z)M'(z))}{(P_1(z)/(P_3(z)M'(z)))^2},$$

which implies that,

$$\frac{P_0(x)/P_3(x)M'(x)}{(P_1(x)/P_3(x)M'(x))^2} = \xi(M(x)), \quad (4.10)$$

for some rational function  $\xi \in \mathbf{R}(x)$ .

Clearly, any power series  $m(x) \in \mathbf{R}[[x]]$ , for which  $m(x) = m(z(x))$  must have the first non-zero terms of the expansion to be even since  $z'(0) < 0$ . Thus we take the first order terms of the series expansion of  $M(x)$  to be  $2k$ ,  $k > 0$ . In fact, we can choose  $M$  so that  $r = x^{2k} + \dots$  and  $s = 1 + \dots$  without loss of generality.

Let  $p$  be the order of  $P_1(x)$  at  $x = 0$ , and consider the second equation of (4.9) as an equation with each side expanded in power series at  $x = 0$ . From the argument above, we must have  $p + 1 - 2k$  even (recall that  $R_0$  and  $P_3$  do not vanish at  $x = 0$ ), and hence  $p$  is odd. Similarly, evaluating the orders of the terms of equation (4.10) gives

$$2 - 2k - 2(p + 1 - 2k) = 2k\bar{\xi},$$

where  $\bar{\xi}$  is the order at 0 of  $\xi$ . Hence from the above equality we get  $p = k(1 - \bar{\xi}) = kl$ . As  $p$  is odd so both  $k$  and  $l$  are odd so we write  $l = 1 - \bar{\xi} = 2v + 1$ ,  $v \neq 0$  and hence  $\bar{\xi} = -2v$  and we write

$$\xi(x) = x^{-2v}\xi_0(x),$$

for some rational function  $\xi_0$  over  $\mathbf{R}$  with  $\xi_0(0) \neq 0$ . In fact  $\xi_0(0) < 0$  from (4.10) and the hypotheses on  $P(0)$  and  $P(3)$ .

Returning to (4.9), by taking  $q$ -th powers (to obtain rational functions with the property that  $P(x) = P(z(x))$ ) we see that there are rational functions  $\zeta$  and  $\eta$  over  $\mathbf{R}$  such that

$$R_0(x)^2 \left( \frac{P_0(x)}{P_3(x)M'(x)} \right)^q = \zeta(M(x)), \quad R_0(x) \left( \frac{P_1(x)}{P_3(x)M'(x)} \right)^q = \eta(M(x)).$$

Comparing the orders in the second of these equations, we have

$$q(kl + 1 - 2k) = 2k\bar{\eta},$$

where  $\bar{\eta}$  is the order at 0 of  $\eta$ . From the above equality we deduce that  $q$  is divisible by  $k$  and so we put  $q = ku$  for some integer  $u$ . Thus  $\bar{\eta}$  is given by

$$\bar{\eta} = u(vk + (1 - k)/2) = vq + u(1 - k)/2.$$

Now, we write  $\eta(x) = \beta^q x^{(vq+u(1-k)/2)} \eta_0(x)$ , where  $\eta_0(0) = 1$ . Thus

$$P_1(x)/P_3(x) = \beta M'(x) M(x)^v M(x)^{(1-k)/2k} \eta_0(M(x))^{1/q} R_0(x)^{-1/q}$$

and, from (4.10),

$$P_0(x)/P_3(x) = \beta^2 M'(x) M(x)^{(1-k)/k} \eta_0(M(x))^{2/q} R_0(x)^{-2/q} \xi_0(M(x)),$$

We have therefore shown that the system (4.1) can be written in the form (after scaling time by  $P_3$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} = y, \quad \dot{y} = & \beta^2 M'(x) (M(x))^{(1-k)/k} \xi_0(M(x)) \left( \frac{\eta_0(M(x))}{R_0(x)} \right)^{2/q} \\ & + y \beta M'(x) (M(x))^v (M(x))^{(1-k)/2k} \left( \frac{\eta_0(M(x))}{R_0(x)} \right)^{1/q} - y^2 H(x), \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

where

$$H(x) = \left( \left( \psi'(M(x)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \frac{\chi_i'(M(x))}{\chi_i(M(x))} \right) M'(x) + \frac{R_0'(x)}{q R_0(x)} \right),$$

and therefore the system can be seen to have a center arising from the system

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\bar{x}} = \bar{y}, \quad \dot{\bar{y}} = & k \beta^2 \xi_0(\bar{x}^k) + k \beta \bar{y} \bar{x}^{vk+(k-1)/2} \\ & - \bar{y}^2 \left( \psi'(\bar{x}^k) + \sum \alpha_i \frac{\chi_i'(\bar{x}^k)}{\chi_i(\bar{x}^k)} + \frac{\eta_0'(\bar{x}^k)}{q \eta_0(\bar{x}^k)} \right) k \bar{x}^{k-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

via the singular transformation

$$(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \mapsto \left( M(x)^{1/k}, y \left( \frac{R_0(x)}{\eta_0(M(x))} \right)^{1/q} \right).$$

Note that (4.12) is real, since for  $i = r + 1, \dots, n$  we have  $\bar{\chi}_i = 1/\chi_i$  and hence,

$$\alpha_i \frac{\chi_i'}{\chi_i} = \beta_i ((\chi_i^R)' \chi_i^I - (\chi_i^I)' \chi_i^R),$$

where  $\chi_i^R$  and  $\chi_i^I$  are the real and imaginary parts of  $\chi_i$ .

Alternatively, the system can be seen to be symmetric under the reversing transformation  $(x, y) \leftrightarrow (x_1, y_1)$ , where

$$y \left( \frac{R_0(x)}{\eta_0(M(x))} \right)^{1/q} = y_1 \left( \frac{R_0(x_1)}{\eta_0(M(x_1))} \right)^{1/q}, \quad M(x) = M(x_1),$$

with  $x_1(0) = 0$  and  $x_1'(0) < 0$ .

**Example 1.** The following system shows that we need to take the case  $q > 1$  into account.

$$\dot{x} = y(1 + x), \quad \dot{y} = -x - x^2 - a_2 x^3 - \frac{1}{9} a_2 x^4 - a \left( 1 + \frac{1}{3} x \right) xy + \frac{2}{3} y^2. \quad (4.13)$$

For this system we have  $R_0 = (1 + x)^{-2}$ ,  $q = 3$ ,  $M = (x^2 + x^3/9)/(1 + x)$  and  $\eta_0 = (1 + M/3)^{-1} = (1 + x)/(1 + x/3)^3$ .

**Example 2.** Another system of interest is the following

$$\dot{x} = y(1 + x), \quad \dot{y} = -(6x + 7x^2)(1 + x) - \lambda y(6x^3 + 7x^4)(1 + x) + \frac{1}{3} y^2, \quad (4.14)$$

with  $R_0 = (1+x)^{-1}$ ,  $q = r = 3$  and  $M(x) = x^6 + x^7$  which shows that we can in fact have  $r > 1$ .

Of course it is not proved here that these systems cannot be derived in a different way from a polynomial system via a singular rational transformation, though it seems unlikely. If it is true, then it would indicate that in the study of polynomial systems with centers we need to include systems arising from singular algebraic transformations.

**Step 4.  $z(\mathbf{x})$  is transcendental:** As in Section 3, differentiating  $(g/f)(x) = (g/f)(z)$  and using the first equality in (4.2), we have as in Section 3

$$\left[ \frac{1}{f} \left( \frac{g}{f} \right)' \right] (x) = \left[ \frac{1}{f} \left( \frac{g}{f} \right)' \right] (z).$$

This yields:

$$\frac{P_2(x)}{P_1(x)} \left( \frac{P_0(x)}{P_1(x)} \right) - \frac{P_3(x)}{P_1(x)} \left( \frac{P_0(x)}{P_1(x)} \right)' = \frac{P_2(y)}{P_1(y)} \left( \frac{P_0(y)}{P_1(y)} \right) - \frac{P_3(y)}{P_1(y)} \left( \frac{P_0(y)}{P_1(y)} \right)'. \quad (4.15)$$

Both sides of the equation are thus constants,  $c$  say. Let  $T$  denote  $P_1/P_0$  and  $U$  denote  $P_1/P_3$ . Then (4.15) gives  $P_2/P_3 = cTU - T'/T$ . The system (4.1) can now be written in the form

$$\dot{x} = y, \quad \dot{y} = \frac{U}{T} + yU + y^2(cTU - \frac{T'}{T}). \quad (4.16)$$

Considering the first terms of the series expansion of

$$\int_0^x f(x) dx = \int_0^z f(z) dz,$$

we must have  $P_1(0) = 0$ . Furthermore, from the expression for  $P_2/P_3$ , it is clear that if  $T(x)$  vanishes at  $x = 0$  then the hypothesis on  $P_3$  will be violated. Thus  $T(x)$  is non-zero at  $x = 0$ . This of course is in contrast to the algebraic case where  $P_1$  could have a higher order of vanishing than  $P_0$  at  $x = 0$ .

Consider the curve  $y + \lambda T^{-1} = 0$ . It is easy to verify that if  $\lambda$  verifies the equation  $c\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1 = 0$ , this curve defines a union of trajectories. Indeed we have in this case:

$$\frac{d}{dt}(y + \lambda T^{-1}) = (y + \lambda T^{-1}) \left( (cTU - \frac{T'}{T})y + \frac{U}{\lambda} \right).$$

The cofactor of the invariant curve  $y + \lambda T^{-1} = 0$  is  $(cTU - \frac{T'}{T})y + \frac{U}{\lambda}$ . The above equation in  $\lambda$  has two solutions  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  for  $c \neq 1/4, 0$ . In this case we get two such curves which are invariant. We also have as in Section 3 the exponential factor

$$C = \exp \left( \int_0^x P_2(\psi) d\psi \right),$$

which verifies  $DC = C(cTU - T'/T)y$  and hence its cofactor is  $(cTU - T'/T)y$ .

From the two invariant curves corresponding to  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  and the exponential factor  $C$  we can construct a first integral of the form

$$(y + \lambda_1 T^{-1})^{r_1} (y + \lambda_2 T^{-1})^{r_2} \exp(r_3 \int (cTU - \frac{T'}{T}) dx) = c,$$

where

$$r_1 \left( (cTU - \frac{T'}{T})y + \frac{U}{\lambda_1} \right) + r_2 \left( (cTU - \frac{T'}{T})y + \frac{U}{\lambda_2} \right) + r_3 \left( (cTU - \frac{T'}{T})y \right) = 0.$$

When  $c = 1/4$ , we take  $\lambda = 2$  and get the trajectory  $y + 2T^{-1} = 0$  as well as an exponential factor,  $\exp(T^{-1}/(y + 2T^{-1}))$ , such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \exp(T^{-1}/(y + 2T^{-1})) = \exp(T^{-1}/(y + 2T^{-1}))(-U/4).$$

A first integral can then be constructed using the invariant curve  $y + 2T^{-1} = 0$  and two exponential factors,

$$C = \exp \left( \int_0^x P_2(\psi) d\psi \right),$$

and  $\exp(T^{-1}/(y+2T^{-1}))$ . In a similar way, when  $c = 0$  we note that we have an exponential factor  $\exp(Ty - \int TU dx)$  which satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt} \exp(Ty - \int TU dx) = \exp(Ty - \int TU dx)U,$$

from which we can again construct an integrating factor as above.

*Remarks:* Again, we can check for these conditions computationally. First we see whether  $P_1$  vanishes (case (i)), then we check to see if

$$\frac{P_2(x)}{P_1(x)} \left( \frac{P_0(x)}{P_1(x)} \right) - \frac{P_3(x)}{P_1(x)} \left( \frac{P_0(x)}{P_1(x)} \right)'$$

is a constant (case (iii)). Finally we calculate  $R$  and  $R_i$  and seek a common generator for the polynomials (4.7) (case (ii)).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] CHERKAS L.A., On the conditions for a center for certain equations of the form  $yy' = P(x) + Q(x)y + R(x)y^2$ , *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya*, Vol. 8, No.8, pp. 1435-1439, August 1972. Translated in *Differential Equations*, pp. 1104-1107.
- [2] CHERKAS L.A., Conditions for a Liénard equation to have a center, *Differential Equations* **12 No. 2** (1977), 201-206.
- [3] CHERKAS L.A., Conditions for the equation  $yy' = \sum_{i=0}^3 P_i(x)y^i$  to have a center, *Differential Equations* **14 No. 9** (1979), 1133-1137.
- [4] CHRISTOPHER C.J., An algebraic approach to the classification of centres in polynomial Liénard systems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **229** (1999), 319-329.
- [5] CHRISTOPHER C.J., Liouvillian first integrals of second order polynomial differential equations, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations* **1999** no.49 (1999), 1-7.
- [6] CHRISTOPHER C.J. & LLOYD N.G., on the paper of Jin and Wang concerning the conditions for a centre in certain cubic systems, *Bull. London. Math. Soc.* **22** (1990), 5-12.
- [7] CHRISTOPHER C.J., LLOYD N.G. & PEARSON J.M., On Cherkas's method for centre conditions, *Nonlin. World* **2** (1995), 459-469.
- [8] DARBOUX, Mémoire sur les équations différentielles algébriques du premier ordre et du premier degré (Mélanges), *Bull. Sci. Math. 2ème série*, **2** (1878), 60-96; 123-144; 151-200.
- [9] DULAC, Détermination et intégration d'une certaine classe d'équations différentielles ayant pour point singulier un centre, *Bull. Sci. Math. Sér. (2)* **32** (1908), no.1, 230-252.
- [10] LLOYD N.G. & PEARSON J.M., Conditions for a centre and the bifurcation of limit cycles in a class of cubic systems, in "Bifurcations and Periodic Orbits of Planar Vector Fields" (ed. J.P.Françoise & R.Roussarie), Springer-Verlag.
- [11] LLOYD N.G. & PEARSON J.M., Computing centre conditions for certain cubic systems, *J. Comp. & Appl. Math.* **40** (1992), 323-336.
- [12] MALKIN K. E., Criteria for center of a differential equation, *Volg. Matem. Sbornik* **2** (1964), 87-91.
- [13] POINCARÉ H., Mémoire sur les courbes définies par les équations différentielles, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (4) **1** (1885), 167-244; *Oeuvres de Henri Poincaré*, Vol. **1**, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1951, pp 95-114.
- [14] ROMANOVSKII V.G., WU Y.H. & CHRISTOPHER C., Linearizability of three families of cubic systems, *J. Physics A: Math. Gen.* **36** (2003), L145-152.
- [15] ROSENBLICHT M., On the explicit solvability of certain transcendental equations, *Publ. Math.* **36** (1969), 15-22.
- [16] ROUSSEAU C. AND SCHLOMIUK D. Cubic Vector Fields Symmetric with respect to a center, *J. Diff. Eq.*, Vol. 123, No. 2, December 10, 1995, 388-436.
- [17] ROUSSEAU C., SCHLOMIUK D. AND THIBEAUDEAU P. The reduced Kukles systems, *J. Diff. Eq.*, Vol. 123, No. 2, December 10, 1995, 388-436.
- [18] SCHLOMIUK D., Algebraic particular integrals, integrability and the problem of the centre, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **338 No.2** (1993), 799-841.
- [19] SCHLOMIUK D., Algebraic and geometric aspects of the theory of polynomial vector fields, in "Bifurcations and Periodic Orbits" (ed. D. Schlomiuk), Kluwer 1993.

- [20] SINGER M.F., Liouvillian first integrals of Differential Equations,  
*Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **333 no.2** (1992), 673-688.
- [21] ŻOŁĄDEK H., Eleven small limit cycles in a cubic vector field, *Nonlinearity* **8** (1995), 843-860.
- [22] ŻOŁĄDEK H., The solution of the center-focus problem, Preprint (1992).