Frequency Decomposition and Subspace Iteration for Nonlinear Evolution Equations

Martin J. Gander*

September 27, 2001

Abstract

Nonlinear evolution equations arise in many applications ranging from fluid flow to combustion. The main difficulty in these equations is the nonlinearity which combined with the discretized diffusion operator leads to large systems of nonlinear equations. To solve these equations, Newton's method or a variant thereof is often used and to achieve convergence can require individual fine tuning for each case. This can be especially difficult if nothing is known about the solution behavior. In addition one observes in most cases that not all frequency components are equally important for the solution; the frequency interaction is determined by the nonlinearity. It is therefore of interest to work in frequency space when analyzing the unknown behavior of such problems numerically.

We propose in this paper an algorithm which reduces the dimensionality of the nonlinear problems to be solved to a size chosen by the user. The algorithm performs a decomposition in frequency space into subspaces and a subspace iteration is used to iteratively obtain the solution of the original problem. We prove linear convergence of the algorithm on unbounded time intervals, a result which is also valid for the stationary case. On bounded time intervals, we show that the new algorithm converges superlinearly, a rate faster than any linear rate. We obtain this result by relating the algorithm to an algorithm of waveform relaxation type. By using time windows, one can thus achieve any linear contraction rate desired. An additional advantage of this algorithm is its inherent parallelism.

1 Introduction

Decomposition and subspace iteration has been a field of high activity during the last decades, see for example the survey papers by Xu [Xu92], Xu and Zou [Xu98] and the references therein. Most of the analysis however involves steady problems. This paper's focus is on evolution equations. The classical approach in that case is to discretize the time component uniformly over the whole domain by an implicit scheme and then to apply the decomposition and subspace iteration at each time step to solve the steady problems obtained by the time discretization. For an analysis of this approach in the parabolic case see [Meu91, Cai94] and for hyperbolic problems see [BGT97, WCK98]. This approach has two disadvantages. First one needs to impose a uniform time discretization for all subspaces and thus looses one of the main features of domain decomposition algorithms, namely to adapt the solution process to the physical properties of the subspace. It is still possible to refine in space, but for evolution problems this is not sufficient, since the space and time

*Dept. of Mathematics and Statistics, McGill University, Montreal, mgander@math.mcgill.ca

discretization are linked in general by stability constraints. Second the algorithm needs to communicate information at each time step which can lead to a large communication overhead in the parallel computing environment with slow links, like a PC-network, since only small amounts of information are exchanged at each time step. These disadvantages led recently to a new approach for evolution problems. One still decomposes the spatial domain, but solves time dependent subproblem during the subspace correction iteration. This approach has been known for ordinary differential equations under the name waveform relaxation and the first link with domain decomposition was made by Bjørhus in [Bjø95] for first order hyperbolic problems. For the heat equation and an overlapping Schwarz type decomposition this approach was analyzed in [GZ97, GS98], and for more general parabolic problems in [GK97, Gan98]. This analysis has led to the class of overlapping Schwarz waveform relaxation algorithms. The application of such algorithms to the second order wave equation has been studied in [GHN99].

In this paper we analyze the effects of a different splitting on a Schwarz waveform relaxation algorithm, namely a decomposition in the frequency domain. In semilinear equations the inherent difficulty is the combination of the nonlinearity with diffusion, which leads to large systems of equations that need to be solved. It often requires considerable fine tuning of the various variants of Newton's method to obtain the desired solution. In addition one observes that not all frequency components are of equal importance in the solution. This motivated Tam and coworkers to investigate an algorithm which worked on frequency components of the solution individually, one at a time [Tam96]. This approach reduces the dimensionality of the nonlinear systems to be solved to one and it reveals at the same time the importance of the individual frequency components in the solution. The algorithm has been applied to several problems in combustion and fluid flow, including systems of nonlinear partial differential equations in [AlR00]. The algorithm working on one frequency at a time is a special case of the frequency decomposition algorithm presented here and for which a preliminary analysis can be found in [Gan97]. We use the eigensystem of the differential operator in the nonlinear evolution equation to define subspaces in frequency space. We then evolve the solution in one subspace while keeping it frozen in the other subspaces. A subspace iteration similar to the Schwarz iteration for steady problems, but now in frequency space, is used to obtain a sequence of solutions which is proved to converge to the solution of the original problem. The frequency decomposition presented here is related to a frequency decomposition and multi-grid method analyzed in [Hac91] for steady state problems, but here we are interested in the coupling through the nonlinearity and we use the eigenfunctions of the linear differential operator to decouple the contributions of the linear part of the equation.

In Section 2 we introduce the semi-linear parabolic equation for which we study the frequency decomposition and subspace correction algorithm. In Section 3 we introduce the frequency subspace decomposition. The formulation is kept general and the frequency subspaces are characterized by subspace projection operators in the general framework of subspace correction algorithms. In Section 4 we analyze the frequency decomposition algorithm for both bounded and unbounded time intervals. The convergence results obtained differ considerably. On unbounded time intervals we prove linear convergence of the algorithm under a strong Lipschitz condition on the nonlinear term. This case is of importance since it also proves convergence of the algorithm for the steady state case. On bounded time intervals the convergence behavior is better: we prove superlinear convergence of the algorithm assuming that the nonlinear function is Lipschitz for an arbitrary constant. In all the analysis we provide complete estimates for the contraction rates and constants involved. Section 5 shows the performance of the frequency decomposition and subspace correction algorithm on two model problems, a double well potential in one dimension and a combustion

problem in two dimensions.

2 Problem Formulation

We present the frequency decomposition algorithm for a general evolution problem using the theory of semigroups of linear operators and we start by recalling results from [Paz83]. We consider the initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{du(t)}{dt} + Au(t) &= f(t, u(t)), \qquad 0 < t < T, \\ u(0) &= u_0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $-A$ is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup on a Banach space X with the norm $||\cdot||$. A is a sectorial operator and by the assumptions on A fractional powers of A^α of A can be defined for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and A^α is a closed linear invertible operator with domain $D(A^\alpha)$. The closedness of A^α implies that $D(A^\alpha)$ endowed with the graph norm of A^α, i.e the norm $|||x||| := ||x|| + ||A^\alpha x||$ is a Banach space. Since A^α is invertible, its graph norm $|||\cdot|||$ is equivalent to the norm $||\cdot||_\alpha := ||A^\alpha \cdot||$. Thus $D(A^\alpha)$ equipped with the norm $||\cdot||_\alpha$ is a Banach space which we denote by X_α. The main assumption on the nonlinear function f for existence and uniqueness of a solution is

Assumption 2.1 *Let U be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^+ \times X_\alpha$. The function $f : U \longrightarrow X$ satisfies assumption 2.1 if for every $(t, u) \in U$ there is a neighborhood $V \subset U$ and constants $L \geq 0$, $0 < \delta \leq 1$ such that*

$$||f(t_1, u_1) - f(t_2, u_2)|| \leq L(|t_1 - t_2|^\delta + ||u_1 - u_2||_\alpha) \tag{2.2}$$

for all $(t_i, u_i) \in V$.

Theorem 2.2 *Let $-A$ be the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup $T(t)$ satisfying $||T(t)|| <= M$ and assume further that $0 \in \rho(-A)$, the resolvent set of A. If f satisfies assumption 2.1 then for every initial data $(t0, x0) \in U$ the initial value problem (2.1) has a unique local solution $u \in C([0, T[: X) \cap C^1(]0, T[: X)$ where $T = T(u_0) > 0$.*

Under a stronger Lipschitz condition of similar type, global existence and uniqueness can also be established [Paz83].

Since we have applications in mind with diffusion, differential operators are of interest. Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Consider the differential operator

$$A(x, D) := \sum_{|\sigma| \leq 2m} a_\sigma(x) D^\sigma$$

where σ is a multi index and D denotes the derivative. The following theorem from [Paz83] is of key importance:

Theorem 2.3 *If $A(x, D)$ is a strongly elliptic operator of order $2m$ then the operator $-A$ defined by $Au = A(x, D)u$ is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup of operators on $L^2(\Omega)$.*

3 Subspace Decomposition

To simplify notation, we consider in the sequel only nonlinear functions f in (2.1) which do not depend explicitly on time, $f = f(u)$. Suppose we have a decomposition of the Banach space X into n subspaces X_i which might be disjoint or overlapping, $X = \text{span}\{X_1, X_2 \dots X_n\}$. For the frequency decomposition we have in mind, we use the normalized eigenfunctions $A\phi_j = \lambda_j \phi_j$ to define the subspaces, for example two subspaces could be defined by

$$X_1 := \text{span}\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_{m_1}\}, \quad X_2 := \text{span}\{\phi_{m_2}, \phi_{m_2+1}, \dots, \phi_m\},$$

where $m_2 \leq m_1 + 1$. Note that the subspaces are overlapping if this inequality is strict. Also the second subspace might be infinite dimensional, if $m = \infty$. In applications however truncations are common. We define the orthogonal projector $\mathbb{P}_i : X \longrightarrow X_i$ to be the unique linear self-adjoint operator with range X_i such that $\mathbb{P}_i^2 = \mathbb{P}_i$. For the frequency decomposition introduced above, we would have for a given $u \in X$

$$\mathbb{P}_1 u = \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} u_j \phi_j, \quad \mathbb{P}_2 u = \sum_{j=m_2}^{m} u_j \phi_j, \quad u_j = (u, \phi_j).$$

Our interest here is in subproblems coupled through the non-linearity and not through A, therefore we require the decomposition to be such that A and $\mathbb{P}_i$ commute, which is the case for the frequency decomposition. Applying the projection operators $\mathbb{P}_i$ to the evolution equation (2.1) we get a sequence of n subproblems,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dv_i}{dt} + Av_i &= \mathbb{P}_i f(u), \qquad 0 < t < T, \\ v_i(0) &= \mathbb{P}_i u_0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

$i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, where $v_i := \mathbb{P}_i u$. We also define the operators $\mathbb{R}_i : X_i \longrightarrow X$ such that

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{R}_i v_i$$

and we assume that they commute with A as well. In the case of the frequency decomposition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}_1 v_1 = \mathbb{R}_1 \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} u_j \phi_j &= \sum_{j=1}^{m_2-1} u_j \phi_j + \sum_{j=m_2}^{m_1} \alpha_j u_j \phi_j, \\ \mathbb{R}_2 v_2 = \mathbb{R}_2 \sum_{j=m_2}^{m} u_j \phi_j &= \sum_{j=m_2}^{m_1} \beta_j u_j \phi_j + \sum_{j=m_1+1}^{m} u_j \phi_j, \end{aligned}$$

for some weights $\alpha_j + \beta_j = 1$, $j = m_2, \dots, m_1$. Using the operators $\mathbb{R}_i$ we can write the sequence of subproblems of the evolution equation as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dv_i}{dt} + Av_i &= \mathbb{P}_i \hat{f}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n), \qquad 0 < t < T, \\ v_i(0) &= \mathbb{P}_i u_0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where we defined $\hat{f}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) := f(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{R}_i v_i)$. Now evolving the solution in some subspaces X_i, $i \in S$ where S denotes a subset of indices in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ while fixing the solution in the remaining subspaces X_j, $j \notin S$ is equivalent to relaxing some of the arguments

of $\hat{f}$. Doing this we obtain an algorithm of waveform relaxation type [Nev89]. For example a Picard type iteration, where all the arguments are relaxed, would read

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dv_i^{k+1}}{dt} + Av_i^{k+1} &= \mathbb{P}_i \hat{f}(v_1^k, \ldots, v_n^k), \qquad 0 < t < T, \\ v_i^{k+1}(0) &= \mathbb{P}_i u_0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

and thus all the subproblems in the corresponding subspaces X_i would be linear and decoupled. A Jacobi type relaxation would lead to the subproblems

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dv_i^{k+1}}{dt} + Av_i^{k+1} &= \mathbb{P}_i \hat{f}(v_1^k, \ldots, v_{i-1}^k, v_i^{k+1}, v_{i+1}^k, \ldots, v_n^k), \qquad 0 < t < T, \\ v_i^{k+1}(0) &= \mathbb{P}_i u_0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

and thus all the nonlinear subproblems in the corresponding subspaces X_i are decoupled and their dimension is the dimension of the corresponding subspace. If the dimension is chosen to be one, we obtain the algorithm proposed in [Tam96] which analyzes the solution one eigenmode at a time. Applying $\mathbb{R}_i$ to equation (3.4) and summing over i we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{du^{k+1}}{dt} + Au^{k+1} &= \tilde{f}(u^{k+1}, u^k), \qquad 0 < t < T, \\ u^{k+1}(0) &= u_0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where by using the identity $u = \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbb{R}_i \mathbb{P}_i u$ we have

$$\tilde{f}(u^{k+1}, u^k) = \hat{f}(\mathbb{P}_1 u^k, \ldots, \mathbb{P}_{i-1} u^k, \mathbb{P}_i u^{k+1}, \mathbb{P}_{i+1} u^k, \ldots, \mathbb{P}_n u^k).$$

The resulting algorithm (3.5) is now a waveform relaxation algorithm in classical notation. Note that any other relaxation scheme in frequency space would lead to a system of the form (3.5) as well. It thus suffices in the analysis of the frequency decomposition and subspace iteration algorithm to investigate iterations of the form (3.5). This is accomplished in the next section.

4 Convergence Analysis

We derive linear and superlinear bounds on the convergence rates of the frequency decomposition and subspace iteration algorithm for solving the evolution equation (2.1). We will need

Lemma 4.1 *If A is a sectorial operator and $Re(\lambda_1) > \delta > 0$ then for any $\alpha \geq 0$ there exists a constant $K = K(\alpha)$ such that*

$$||e^{-At}||_\alpha \leq Kt^{-\alpha} e^{-\delta t}, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Proof The proof can be found in [Stu95]. ■

4.1 Gronwall Type Estimates

We also need an estimate for a particular kernel which is recursively applied in the analysis of the waveform relaxation algorithm. This estimate is established in this section. Denoting by $\Gamma(x)$ the Gamma function

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty z^{x-1} e^{-z} dz$$

and the infinity norm of a bounded function $f(x)$ by

$$||f(\cdot)||_T := \sup_{0<t<T} |f(t)|$$

where T can be infinite, we have the following results:

Lemma 4.2 *Suppose for some $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ we have*

$$p^{k+1}(t) \leq \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^\alpha}(C_1 p^{k+1}(\tau) + C_2 p^k(\tau))d\tau$$

for some constants $C_1 \geq 0$ and $C_2 > 0$ and assume $p^k(0) = 0$ for all k. Then we have

$$p^k(t) \leq \frac{(C\Gamma(1-\alpha))^k}{\Gamma(k(1-\alpha)+1)} t^{k(1-\alpha)} ||p^0(\cdot)||_T$$

where the constant $C = C(C_1, C_2, T, \alpha)$ is given by

$$C = C_2 e^{\frac{(C_1\Gamma(1-\alpha))^{n+1}}{\Gamma((n+1)(1-\alpha))} T^{(n+1)(1-\alpha)}} \left(2\sum_{j=1}^{n} (C_1\Gamma(1-\alpha))^j T^{j(1-\alpha)} + 1 \right) \tag{4.1}$$

and $n = \left\lceil \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right\rceil$.

Proof The proof is obtained by induction. The result clearly holds for $k = 0$. So suppose it holds for k. Then we have

$$p^{k+1}(t) \leq C_1 \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^\alpha} p^{k+1}(\tau)d\tau + C_2 \int_0^t \frac{(C\Gamma(1-\alpha))^k}{\Gamma(k(1-\alpha)+1)} \frac{\tau^{k(1-\alpha)}}{(t-\tau)^\alpha} d\tau ||p^0(\cdot)||_T.$$

To be able to estimate the term containing p^{k+1} on the right, we follow an idea used in [EL91]. We iterate the inequality n times using each time the identity

$$\int_\tau^t (t-s)^{x-1}(s-\tau)^{y-1}ds = (t-\tau)^{x+y-1}B(x,y), \quad x, y > 0$$

where $B(x,y)$ denotes the Beta function, Euler's integral of the first kind [GR81],

$$B(x,y) = \int_0^1 (1-s)^{x-1}s^{y-1}ds.$$

We obtain now a bounded kernel, namely

$$p^{k+1}(t) \leq A \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-s)^{(n+1)\alpha-n}} p^{k+1}(s)ds + D(k,t)t^{(k+1)(1-\alpha)} \tag{4.2}$$

with A and $D(k,t)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} A &= C_1^{n+1} \prod_{j=1}^{n} B(j(1-\alpha), 1-\alpha), \\ D(k,t) &= C_2 ||p^0(\cdot)||_T \frac{(C\Gamma(1-\alpha))^k}{\Gamma(k(1-\alpha)+1)} B(1-\alpha, k(1-\alpha)+1) \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} C_1^j B(j(1-\alpha), (k+1)(1-\alpha)+1) \prod_{l=1}^{j-1} B(l(1-\alpha), 1-\alpha)t^{j(1-\alpha)} + 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we use the fact that the Beta function can be written in terms of the Gamma function [GR81],

$$B(x,y) = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}.$$

Substituting this expression into the products in A and $D(t,k)$ reveals that the products are telescopic. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{\left(C_1\Gamma(1-\alpha)\right)^{n+1}}{\Gamma((n+1)(1-\alpha))}, \\ D(k,t) &= C_2||p^0(\cdot)||_T \frac{C^k\left(\Gamma(1-\alpha)\right)^{k+1}}{\Gamma((k+1)(1-\alpha)+1)} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\Gamma((k+1)(1-\alpha)+1)\left(C_1\Gamma(1-\alpha)\right)^j}{\Gamma((k+j+1)(1-\alpha)+1)} t^{j(1-\alpha)} + 1\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we need to estimate $D(k,t)$ by a constant independent of t to apply the standard Gronwall Lemma, and we want to have the sum independent of k. We estimate in $D(k,t)$ the terms

$$t^{j(1-\alpha)} \le T^{j(1-\alpha)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\Gamma((k+1)(1-\alpha)+1)}{\Gamma((k+j+1)(1-\alpha)+1)} \le 2$$

and the kernel in the integral of (4.2) by

$$\frac{1}{(t-s)^{(n+1)\alpha-n}} \le T^{n-(n+1)\alpha}$$

where the exponent on the right is positive with the condition on n to obtain

$$p^{k+1}(t) \le AT^{n-(n+1)\alpha} \int_0^t p^{k+1}(s)ds + \tilde{D}(k)t^{(k+1)(1-\alpha)}$$

with

$$\tilde{D}(k) = C_2||p^0(\cdot)||_T \frac{C^k\left(\Gamma(1-\alpha)\right)^{k+1}}{\Gamma((k+1)(1-\alpha)+1)} \left(2\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(C_1\Gamma(1-\alpha)\right)^j T^{j(1-\alpha)} + 1\right).$$

Now we apply the standard Gronwall Lemma and obtain

$$p^{k+1}(t) \le \tilde{D}(k)e^{AT^{(n+1)(1-\alpha)}} t^{(n+1)(1-\alpha)}.$$

Using the definition of the constant C leads to the desired result. ■

Lemma 4.3 *Suppose we have for some* $0 \le \alpha < 1$

$$p^{k+1}(t) \le \int_0^t \frac{e^{-\delta(t-\tau)}}{(t-\tau)^\alpha}(C_1 p^{k+1}(\tau) + C_2 p^k(\tau))d\tau$$

for some constants $C_1 \ge 0$, $C_2 > 0$, $\delta > 0$ *such that*

$$\delta^{1-\alpha} > C_1\Gamma(1-\alpha).$$

Then

$$||p^k(\cdot)||_\infty \le \left(\frac{C_2\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\delta^{1-\alpha} - C_1\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\right)^k ||p^0(\cdot)||_\infty.$$

Proof We have

$$|p^{k+1}(t)| \leq \int_0^t \frac{e^{-\delta(t-\tau)}}{(t-\tau)^\alpha} d\tau (C_1 ||p^{k+1}(\cdot)||_\infty + C_2 ||p^k(\cdot)||_\infty).$$

Applying the variable transform $z = \delta t(1 - \tau/t)$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} |p^{k+1}(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{\delta^{1-\alpha}} \int_0^{\delta t} \frac{e^{-z}}{z^\alpha} dz (C_1 ||p^{k+1}(\cdot)||_\infty + C_2 ||p^k(\cdot)||_\infty) \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta^{1-\alpha}} \Gamma_{\delta t}(1-\alpha)(C_1 ||p^{k+1}(\cdot)||_\infty + C_2 ||p^k(\cdot)||_\infty) \end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma_y(x)$ denotes the incomplete Gamma function,

$$\Gamma_y(x) = \int_0^y z^{x-1} e^{-z} dz.$$

Taking the limit as t goes to infinity we obtain

$$||p^{k+1}(\cdot)||_\infty \leq \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\delta^{1-\alpha}} (C_1 ||p^{k+1}(\cdot)||_\infty + C_2 ||p^k(\cdot)||_\infty).$$

Now using $\delta^{1-\alpha} > C_1 \Gamma(1-\alpha)$ the result follows. ■

4.2 Convergence Results

We consider now solution algorithms of the form (3.5) for the evolution equation (2.1). The equations for the error e^{k+1} are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{de^{k+1}}{dt} + Ae^{k+1} &= \tilde{f}(u,u) - \tilde{f}(u^{k+1}, u^k), \qquad 0 < t < T, \\ e^{k+1}(0) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Theorem 4.4 (Linear Convergence) *If $\tilde{f}$ is Lipschitz from X_α to X $(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$ in both arguments,*

$$\begin{aligned} ||\tilde{f}(u_2, v) - \tilde{f}(u_1, v)|| &\leq L_1 ||u_2 - u_1||_\alpha, \quad \forall u_1, u_2, v \in X_\alpha, \\ ||\tilde{f}(u, v_2) - \tilde{f}(u, v_1)|| &\leq L_2 ||v_2 - v_1||_\alpha, \quad \forall u, v_1, v_2 \in X_\alpha \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

for some Lipschitz constants L_1 and L_2 satisfying

$$L_1 < \frac{\delta^{1-\alpha}}{K\Gamma(1-\alpha)}, \quad L_2 < \frac{\delta^{1-\alpha}}{K\Gamma(1-\alpha)} - L_1$$

with $K = K(\alpha)$ and δ the constants given in Lemma 4.1, then iteration (3.5) converges at least linearly on unbounded time intervals,

$$\sup_{t>0} ||e^k(t)||_\alpha \leq \gamma^k \sup_{t>0} ||e^0(t)||_\alpha$$

with

$$\gamma = \frac{L_2 K \Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\delta^{1-\alpha} - L_1 K \Gamma(1-\alpha)} < 1.$$

Remark 4.5 *The Lipschitz condition (4.4) is similar to the Lipschitz condition (2.2) required for a unique solution. In the case of Theorem 4.4 there are however additional constraints on the size of the Lipschitz constants to obtain linear convergence. These constraints will be removed in Theorem 4.6 for superlinear convergence.*

Proof The solution of the error equations can formally be written as

$$e^{k+1}(t) = \int_0^t e^{-A(t-\tau)}(\tilde{f}(u(\tau), u(\tau)) - \tilde{f}(u^{k+1}(\tau), u^k(\tau)))d\tau.$$

Applying A^α on both sides and taking norms we obtain

$$||e^{k+1}(t)||_\alpha \leq \int_0^t ||e^{-A(t-\tau)}||_\alpha ||\tilde{f}(u(\tau), u(\tau)) - \tilde{f}(u^{k+1}(\tau), u^k(\tau))||d\tau.$$

Using the Lipschitz condition on $\tilde{f}$ and Lemma 4.1 we get

$$||e^{k+1}(t)||_\alpha \leq K \int_0^t \frac{e^{-\delta(t-\tau)}}{(t-\tau)^\alpha}(L_1||e^{k+1}(\tau)||_\alpha + L_2||e^k(\tau)||_\alpha)d\tau.$$

Now denoting with $p^{k+1}(t) := ||e^k(t)||_\alpha$ and applying Lemma 4.3 the result follows. ■

Theorem 4.6 (Superlinear Convergence) *If $\tilde{f}$ is Lipschitz from X_α to X $(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$ in both arguments (4.4) with arbitrary Lipschitz constants L_1 and L_2, then iteration (3.5) converges superlinearly on bounded time intervals, $0 < t < T$ with at least the rate*

$$\sup_{0<t<T} ||e^k(t)||_\alpha \leq \frac{(C\Gamma(1-\alpha))^k}{\Gamma(k(1-\alpha)+1)} T^{k(1-\alpha)} \sup_{0<t<T} ||e^0(t)||_\alpha$$

where the constant C is given by

$$C = KL_2 e^{\frac{(KL_1\Gamma(1-\alpha))^{n+1}}{\Gamma((n+1)(1-\alpha))} T^{(n+1)(1-\alpha)}} \left(2\sum_{j=1}^{n}(KL_1\Gamma(1-\alpha))^j T^{j(1-\alpha)} + 1\right),$$

$n = \left\lceil \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right\rceil$ *and the constant $K = K(\alpha)$ is given in Lemma 4.1.*

Proof Proceeding as in Theorem 4.4, we get

$$||e^{k+1}(t)||_\alpha \leq K \int_0^t \frac{e^{-\delta(t-\tau)}}{(t-\tau)^\alpha}(L_1||e^{k+1}(\tau)||_\alpha + L_2||e^k(\tau)||_\alpha)d\tau.$$

Now denoting with $p^{k+1}(t) := e^{\delta t}||e^k(t)||_\alpha$ and applying Lemma 4.2 the result follows. ■

Note that the bound on the convergence rate is superlinear, since the Gamma function grows faster than any constant to the power k. It is also interesting to note that while the linear convergence bound depends in an essential way on the dissipation represented by the parameter δ, the superlinear convergence bound is independent of this parameter.

5 Numerical Examples

We show two sets of numerical experiments, first a double well potential in one dimension and then a combustion problem in two dimensions. The first problem illustrates the two different types of convergence rates the analysis predicts and shows the dependence of the convergence rate on the splitting of the algorithm. The combustion experiment is motivated by [AlR00] where the algorithm was used to investigate sub-critical and super-critical solutions.

5.1 Double Well Potential Model Problem

The double well potential model problem we consider is

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = C(u - u^3), \qquad 0 < x < 1,\ 0 < t < T$$

with a given initial condition and homogeneous boundary conditions. First we investigate the special case of the Picard iteration where all the arguments of the nonlinear function are relaxed,

$$\frac{\partial u^{k+1}}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^2 u^{k+1}}{\partial x^2} = C(u^k - (u^k)^3), \qquad 0 < x < 1,\ 0 < t < T,$$

and thus all the subproblems to be solved are linear and decoupled. This illustrates the two different convergence behaviors of the algorithm.

We solve the equation by discretizing in space by central finite differences on a grid with 100 nodes and integrate in time using backward Euler and 300 time steps. We set $C = 1$ and use as initial condition $u(x, 0) = x(1 - x)$. In a first experiment we choose a long time interval $T = 3$ where we expect the algorithm to be in the linear convergence regime. We start the iteration with a constant initial guess $u^0 = 0$. Figure 1 on the left shows how the



Figure 1: Linear convergence on a long time interval on the left and superlinear convergence on a shorter time interval on the right

algorithm converges linearly. The error is measured in L^2 in space and in L^∞ in time. The solid line depicts the convergence rate according to Theorem 4.4 with $K = 1$ and $\delta = \pi^2$, the first eigenvalue of the operator under consideration. The dashed line shows the measured convergence rate in the numerical simulation. Note how the convergence rate agrees with the predicted rate.

To observe superlinear convergence, we reduce the time interval to $T = 1/10$ and use again the initial guess $u^0 = 0$ to start the iteration. Figure 1 shows on the right how the algorithm converges superlinearly. As before the error is measured in L^2 in space and in L^∞ in time and the solid line depicts the convergence rate according to Theorem 4.6 and the dashed line the measured convergence rate in the numerical simulation. Note how the convergence rate becomes better as the iteration progresses, and how the superlinear rate is predicted by the theoretical bound.

Next we consider a frequency decomposition for the discretized spatial operator. We choose two subspaces, the first one span by the first m_1 eigenfunctions, and the second one by the remaining eigenfunctions, thus obtaining a splitting without overlap. Note that the fast Fourier transform is the ideal tool for the frequency decomposition on a discretized operator, where geometry permits. We use the same numerical method as for the first experiment with $T = 1$. Table 1 shows the dependence of the convergence rate on the splitting parameter m_1. First note that the first and the second column show the same convergence rate, it

	$\sup_{0<t<T} \|\|u^k(t) - u(t)\|\|_2$			
k	$m_1 = 1$	$m_2 = 2$	$m_1 = 3$	$m_1 = 5$
0	1.773e+00	1.773e+00	1.773e+00	1.773e+00
1	1.749e-04	1.749e-04	4.554e-06	3.860e-07
2	1.747e-07	1.747e-07	1.252e-09	4.427e-11
3	4.031e-11	4.031e-11	1.735e-13	3.760e-15

Table 1: Dependence of the convergence of the frequency decomposition for the double well potential on the splitting parameter m_1

does not matter if the second frequency is in the first or second subspace. This is due to the symmetry in the problem: the second frequency is irrelevant for the solution and thus also for the algorithm. This is also the case for all the other even frequencies and they are thus not considered in the computation in Table 1. Second note the fast convergence, which indicates a weak coupling through the nonlinearity $u - u^3$. The convergence rate increases when more of the low frequencies are included in the first subspace. Finally we computed how much of the solution is contained in the low frequencies in the above experiment. The first subspace with one frequency only, $m_1 = 1$ contains after the first iteration 97% of the solution. With two frequencies, the first and the third one, $m_1 = 3$, the first subspace contains after one iteration 99.5% of the solution and with three frequencies 99.9%. This motivates the qualitative study of the behavior of nonlinear problems using a low dimensional frequency subspace, as it was done in [Tam96] and [AlR00].

5.2 Combustion Model Problem

Combustion problems have the inherent property that a small change in a parameter or in the initial data can change the solution drastically: either it explodes or it does not [Tam89]. It can be very difficult to trace such a sensitive path in the non-linear solution process with many variables arising from the spatial discretization. It was therefore proposed in [Tam96] to analyze each frequency separately, one at a time. For one frequency at a time, the nonlinear problem is one dimensional and can always be solved safely, sometimes even analytically. An iteration of the type presented here then leads to the global solution, if desired.

We analyze here the combustion problem

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u = Ce^{\frac{\alpha u}{\alpha + u}}, \qquad 0 < x, y < 1,\ 0 < t < T$$

with homogeneous boundary conditions and a given initial condition $u(x, y, 0)$. It is well known that for large values of α solutions grow to order e^α; they are called super-critical. For small values of α solutions stay order one and are called sub-critical. In between at some point, a sudden change takes place. A similar dependence can also be shown for the initial data.

A numerical experiment working directly with the normalized eigenfunctions associated with the linear spatial part

$$\phi_{i,j} = 2\sin(i\pi x)\sin(j\pi y), \quad i,j = 1,2,\ldots$$

is performed in the thesis [AlR00], to trace the evolution of each mode separately. A clear separation between sub-critical and super-critical solutions depending on the initial data was obtained considering the first eigenmode.

Here we work with the discretized spatial operator and the associated eigenfunctions from the fast Fourier transform. We use as initial condition $u(x,y,0) = 100xy(1-x)(1-y)$ and set the constant $C = 1$. We discretize uniformly in space using finite differences and integrate in time using Backward Euler. We use again a spectral decomposition with the first m_1 modes in the first subspace and with the remaining ones in the second subspace. Table 2 shows the convergence for various sizes of the first spectral subspace for $\alpha = 34$ in the sub-critical case. Note again that not all frequencies contribute to the solution. By

	$\sup_{0<t<T} \|\|u^k(t) - u(t)\|\|_2$			
k	$m_1 = 1$	$m_1 = 5$	$m_1 = 6$	$m_1 = 11$
0	1.400e+01	1.400e+01	1.400e+01	1.400e+01
1	4.109e-01	4.106e-01	8.792e-02	4.297e-02
2	7.521e-02	7.515e-02	6.001e-03	1.255e-03
3	7.107e-03	7.097e-03	3.035e-04	6.986e-05
4	1.359e-03	1.357e-03	2.022e-05	2.308e-06
5	1.294e-04	1.292e-04	1.066e-06	1.288e-07

Table 2: Dependence of the convergence of the frequency decomposition for the combustion problem on the splitting parameter m_1 in the sub-critical case

symmetry we can exclude all the eigenmodes with an even component in either the x or the y direction or both. Therefore the table only shows convergence rates for $m_1 = 1$, were the mode 1-1 is the only mode in the first subspace, then for $m_1 = 5$ where the mode 1-3 is added to the first subspace, for $m_1 = 6$ where the mode 3-1 is added and finally $m_1 = 11$ when the mode 3-3 is added. Note that splitting the two modes 1-3 and 3-1 between the two subspaces leaves the convergence rate practically like having only the first mode in the first subspace.

Similarly to the case of the double well potential, adding more and more of the low modes to the first subspace enhances the performance of the frequency decomposition algorithm. Again the solution is dominated by the low modes. After one iteration with only the lowest mode in the first subspace, the approximation in that subspace contains already 98% of the solution, while when keeping the three lowest modes, after one iteration 99.2% of the solution are confined to the first subspace. Note however that the convergence is slower than for the double well potential, which indicates a stronger coupling of the frequencies by this nonlinearity.

Finally table 3 shows the convergence of the algorithm in the super-critical case $\alpha = 35$. Here we were required to shorten the time interval to $T = 0.01$ in accordance with Theorem 4.6 to achieve convergence. Note that higher frequencies are becoming more important in the super-critical case: if the first subspace contains one frequency only, $m_1 = 1$, after the first iteration only 92% of the solution is contained in this subspace, compared to 98% in the sub-critical case.

	$\sup_{0<t<T} \|\|u^k(t) - u(t)\|\|_2$		
k	$m_1 = 1$	$m_1 = 6$	$m_1 = 11$
0	2.633e+01	2.633e+01	2.633e+01
1	2.600e+00	8.729e-01	5.401e-01
2	8.071e-01	3.058e-01	1.685e-01
3	1.891e-01	5.665e-02	2.710e-02
4	3.731e-02	1.156e-02	5.017e-03
5	5.791e-03	1.544e-03	6.006e-04

Table 3: Dependence of the convergence of the frequency decomposition for the combustion problem on the splitting parameter m_1 in the super-critical case

6 Conclusion

We have analyzed a generalized version of the nonlinear eigenfunction expansion algorithm proposed in [Tam96] to explore the behavior of solutions of nonlinear evolution equations. This algorithm has two main interests: the first one is that the solution of a large system of nonlinear equations at each time step is reduced to solutions of many independent scalar nonlinear problems, for which fail-save algorithms are available. Second the algorithm permits to explore individual frequency subspaces separately to investigate in depth rapid changes occurring typically in nonlinear problems of combustion type. A third advantage which should not be neglected is the parallelism of this algorithm, when a full solution of the problem is desired.

We showed that the generalized version of the frequency decomposition algorithm converges under a strong Lipschitz condition on unbounded time intervals and therefore also for the steady state, for which a convergence result was already obtained in [Tam96]. On bounded time intervals convergence was proved under a Lipschitz condition controled by the length of the time interval. Hence by shortening the time interval, the algorithm can always be made to converge.

More needs to be understood for the frequency decomposition algorithm, in particular the question of how to choose the subspaces. The present convergence analysis hides this in the Lipschitz constants. If there is a way of a priory knowing which frequencies are relevant as the solution evolves, those should be put into one subspace.

Acknowledgments: I would like to thank Andrew Stuart, Tony Shardlow and Sigitas Keras for their help and interest and Kuen Tam and Mohamed Al-Rafai for leading me to the right applications.

References

[AlR00] M. Al-Refai. *Nonlinear Eigenfunction Expansion for Evolution Problems.* PhD thesis, McGill University, Montreal, 2000.

[BGT97] A. Bamberger, R. Glowinski, and U.H. Tran. A domain decomposition method for the acoustic wave equation with discontinuous coefficients and grid chance. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 34(2):603–639, April 1997.

[Bjø95] M. Bjørhus. *On Domain Decomposition, Subdomain Iteration and Waveform Relaxation.* PhD thesis, University of Trondheim, Norway, 1995.

[Cai94] Xiao-Chuan Cai. Multiplicative Schwarz methods for parabolic problems. *SIAM J. Sci Comput.*, 15(3):587–603, 1994.

[EL91] C.M. Elliot and S. Larsson. Error estimates with smooth and nonshmooth data for a finite element method for the Cahn-Hilliard equation. *Mathematics of Computation*, 58(198):603–630, 1991.

[Gan98] M. J. Gander. Overlapping Schwarz waveform relaxation for parabolic problems. In J. Mandel, C. Farhat, and X.-C. Cai, editors, *Tenth International Conference on Domain Decomposition Methods*. AMS, Contemporary Mathematics 218, 1998.

[GHN99] M. J. Gander, L. Halpern, and F. Nataf. Optimal convergence for overlapping and non-overlapping Schwarz waveform relaxation. In C-H. Lai, P. Bjørstad, M. Cross, and O. Widlund, editors, *Eleventh international Conference of Domain Decomposition Methods*. ddm.org, 1999.

[GK97] E. Giladi and H. Keller. Space time domain decomposition for parabolic problems. Technical Report 97-4, Center for research on parallel computation CRPC, Caltech, 1997.

[GR81] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik. *Tables of Series, Products and Integrals*. Verlag Harri Deutsch, Thun, 1981.

[GS98] M. J. Gander and A. M. Stuart. Space-time continuous analysis of waveform relaxation for the heat equation. *SIAM Journal for Scientific Computing*, 19(6):2014–2031, 1998.

[GZ97] M. J. Gander and H. Zhao. Overlapping Schwarz waveform relaxation for parabolic problems in higher dimension. In A. Handlovičová, Magda Komorníkova, and Karol Mikula, editors, *Proceedings of Algoritmy 14*, pages 42–51. Slovak Technical University, September 1997.

[Gan97] M. J. Gander, Analysis of Parallel Algorithms for Time Dependent Partial Differential Equations. PhD thesis, Stanford University, 1997.

[Hac91] Wolfgang Hackbusch. The frequency decomposition multi-grid method, part II: Convergence analysis based on the additive Schwarz method. Technical report, Christian-Albrecht-Universität, Kiel, Germany, 1991.

[Meu91] Gérard A. Meurant. Numerical experiments with a domain decomposition method for parabolic problems on parallel computers. In Roland Glowinski, Yuri A. Kuznetsov, Gérard A. Meurant, Jacques Périaux, and Olof Widlund, editors, *Fourth International Symposium on Domain Decomposition Methods for Partial Differential Equations*, Philadelphia, PA, 1991. SIAM.

[Nev89] O. Nevanlinna. Remarks on Picard-Lindelöf iterations part i. *BIT*, 29:328–346, 1989.

[Paz83] A. Pazy. *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.

[Stu95] A.M. Stuart. Perturbation theory for infinite dimensional dynamical systems. In *Theory and Numerics of Ordinary and Partial Differential Equations, Advances in Numerical Analysis IV*. Oxford Science Publications, 1995.

[Tam89] K. K. Tam. *Criticality dependence on data and parameters for a problem in combustion theory, with temperature-dependent conductivity.* Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society, Series B (Applied Mathematics), vol.31, pt.1, p. 76-80, 1989.

[Tam96] K. K. Tam. *Nonlinear eigenfunction expansion for a problem in microwave heating.* Canadian Applied Mathematics Quarterly, Vol. 4, No. 3, 1996.

[WCK98] Y. Wu, X.-C. Cai, and D. E. Keyes. Additive Schwarz methods for hyperbolic equations. In J. Mandel, C. Farhat, and X.-C. Cai, editors, *Tenth International Conference on Domain Decomposition Methods*, pages 513–521. AMS, Contemporary Mathematics 218, 1998.

[Xu92] Jinchao Xu. Iterative methods by space decomposition and subspace correction. *SIAM Review*, 34(4):581–613, December 1992.

[Xu98] J. Xu and J. Zou, Some nonoverlapping domain decomposition methods. *SIAM Review*, 40:857–914, 1998.