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Abstract
We describe the design of a family of aperiodic PRNGs (APRNGs) introduced in [3]. We show how a one dimensional two tile cut and project quasicrystal (2TQC) used in conjunction with LCGs in an APRNG generates an infinite aperiodic pseudorandom sequence. In the suggested design, any 2TQC corresponding to unitary quadratic Pisot number combined with either one or two different LCGs can be used.
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Résumé
Nous décrivons la conception d’une famille de générateurs pseudo-aléatoires apériodiques (APRNG) présentée dans [3]. Nous montrons comment un cut and project quasicrystal à deux tuiles en dimension un (2TQC) peut être utilisé conjointement à des LCG dans un APRNG pour générer une suite pseudo-aléatoire apériodique et infinie. Dans le modèle proposé, tout 2TQC correspondant à un nombre de Pisot quadratique unitaire peut être utilisé conjointement avec un ou deux LCG.
Introduction

For the past decade, a lot of efforts have been made to build statistically robust pseudorandom number generators (PRNGs) with huge period. Indeed, known PRNGs are periodic and the requirements of today’s simulations and Monte Carlo methods motivate the need for PRNGs with extremely large period.

APRNGs are a family of aperiodic PRNGs and were introduced in [3]. Their novelty consists in using quasicrystals to combine several PRNGs. One dimensional two tile quasicrystals (2TQCs) are geometrically aperiodic infinite point sets on the real line from which an aperiodic binary sequence can be generated. Unfortunately, this binary sequence has bad statistics since, for instance, it has much more ones than zeroes. In the suggested design, the aperiodic sequence is used to break the periodicity of LCGs while LCGs are used to eliminate the nonuniformity of the binary sequence. Implementation and statistical study of APRNGs are not treated here and may be found in [4].

The prime motivation for this research was the use of quasicrystal generation in cryptographic systems [6]. The design and study of the APRNG is a first step in the building of such cryptographic systems.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 1 we give some basic facts concerning quasicrystals. In Section 2 we describe the suggested design of APRNGs and we state the result from which follows the aperiodicity of a class of APRNGs. Finally, the proofs of our results are given in Section 3. In conclusion, we address some remarks concerning parallelisation and also suggest research avenues.

1 quasicrystals

Good introductions to the mathematics of quasicrystals can be found in [1, 2, 11] while the web page [12] provides an introduction to the physics of quasicrystals. This Section is a brief summary of the first Chapter of Jan Patera’s Diploma Thesis [9] on quasicrystals and their computer generation.

In 1984, Schechtman et al [10] discovered a metallic alloy of aluminium and manganese which had an aperiodic crystalline configuration: the alloy consisted, unlike crystals, in an aperiodic arrangement of atoms. Physicists later found that there were many such alloys which arise from rapid cooling of melted metals. Even though the diffraction patterns of these materials are not periodic in the stronger sense [8], they have a common peculiar structure and are referred to as generalised crystals, aperiodic crystals or quasicrystals in the literature.

To describe the structure of these diffraction patterns, several mathematical models were introduced. In this paper we consider the cut and project scheme model which is illustrated in Figure 1. We will only consider one dimensional quasicrystals obtained from a two dimensional lattice even though the cut and project scheme can be generalised to any finite dimension lattices. Multidimensional quasicrystals will be briefly discussed in the conclusion.

Geometrically, a quasicrystal is the projection of a set of points $S_0$ from a two dimensional lattice (e.g. $\mathbb{Z}^2$) on a straight line $L$ through the origin of irrational slope $\beta$ with respect to the orientation of the lattice. The results we obtain in this paper are valid when $\beta$ is a unitary quadratic Pisot number, i.e. when there exists a pair $(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \{-1,1\}$ such that $x^2 = mx + n$ has two real solutions the greater of which is $\beta$. If $\beta'$ is the second solution of $x^2 = mx + n$, then $0 < |\beta'| < 1 < \beta = m - \beta'$.

5Unless specified otherwise, throughout the paper we refer to quasicrystals as cut and project quasicrystals.

6The solutions $\beta$ and $\beta'$ are said to be the algebraic conjugates.
The set $S_0$ is defined as follows. Let $L'$ be the line of slope $\beta'\ <\ 0$. $S_0$ is the set of points of the lattice included in the strip $\Omega \times L$ (see Figure 1). For a given $\beta$ (or equivalently a given line $L$), $\Omega$ is called the acceptance window of the quasicrystal $\Sigma(\beta,\Omega)$. The algebraic descriptions of the sets $S_0$ and $\Sigma(\beta,\Omega)$ are the following:

$$S_0 = \left\{ X = (a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid a + b\beta' \in \Omega \right\}$$

and

$$\Sigma(\beta, \Omega) = \left\{ a + b\beta \mid (a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \text{ and } a + b\beta' \in \Omega \right\}.$$

Let us introduce the following notation:

$$\mathbb{Z}[\beta] = \left\{ a + b\beta \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

and, if $x = a + b\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta],

$$g(x) = a + b\beta'.$$

$g(x)$ is called the Galois conjugate\(^7\) of $x$ and is noted $g(x) = x'$.

**Proposition 1.1 ([1]).** Let $\Sigma(\beta, \Omega)$ be a quasicrystal. $\Sigma(\beta, \Omega)$ has the following properties:

1. Shifting property: $\Sigma(\beta, \Omega + \lambda') = \Sigma(\beta, \Omega) + \lambda$, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$;

2. Scaling property: $\Sigma(\beta, \beta^i \Omega) = (\beta')^i \Sigma(\beta, \Omega)$, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

**Corollary 1.2.** Let $\Sigma(\beta, \Omega)$ be a quasicrystal. Up to shifting and scaling, we can assume $0 \in \Omega = [c, d]$ with $1 \leq d - c < \beta$, $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$.

\(^7\) $\mathbb{Q}[\beta]$ is a Galois extension of the field $\mathbb{Q}$ and $g(\cdot)$ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{Q}[\beta]$ mapping $\beta$ (resp. $\beta'$) to its algebraic conjugate $\beta'$ (resp. $\beta$). For more details see, for instance, [5].
(Note: in cases where \(n = 1\), the scalings for odd \(i\) not only scale the quasicrystal but also flip the direction of the quasicrystal.)

**Proposition 1.3 ([7]).** Let \(\beta\) a unitary quadratic Pisot number associated to \((m,n)\), and \(\Sigma(\beta, \Omega)\) a quasicrystal with \(\Omega = [c,d]\), \(1 \leq d - c < \beta\). Generically, there exist three possible distances between any two adjacent points in \(\Sigma(\beta, \Omega)\). These distances are \(1, L(\beta) - 1\) and \(L(\beta)\). When \(n = 1\) (resp. \(n = -1\)), there exist \(m\) (resp. \(m - 1\)) limit cases with only two possible distances.

The expression of \(L(\beta)\) is given in [7] (and stated below) along with the limit cases conditions: \(d - c = 1\) and \(d - c = \beta - j\) for \(j = 1, 2, \cdots, m - 1\) when \(n = 1\), and \(j = 1, 2, \cdots, m - 2\) when \(n = -1\). The two possible distances in the limit cases are given below in corollary 1.7.

The distances between adjacent points in a quasicrystal are often referred to as tiles. Indeed, if \(S(\beta) < M(\beta) < L(\beta)\) are the ordered distances, the set \(\{[x_i, x_{i+1}]\}_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty}\) defines a covering of the real line having three types of tiles noted \(S, M\) and \(L\) (for Short, Medium and Long) of length \(S(\beta), M(\beta)\) and \(L(\beta)\) respectively.

**Definition 1.4.** Quasicrystals for which there exist exactly three tile types are called *three tile quasicrystals* while the other ones are called *two tile quasicrystals* (2TQC).

Let, when \(n = 1\),

\[
\phi_j(\beta) = \begin{cases} 
\beta - j & \text{for } j = 0, 1, \cdots, m - 1 \\
1 & \text{for } j = m
\end{cases},
\]

and, when \(n = -1\),

\[
\phi_j(\beta) = \begin{cases} 
\beta - j + 1 & \text{for } j = 1, 2, \cdots, m - 1 \\
1 & \text{for } j = m
\end{cases}.
\]

Then \(\phi_{j+1}(\beta) < \phi_j(\beta)\) and

\[
\bigcup_{j < m} [\phi_{j+1}(\beta), \phi_j(\beta)] = [1, \beta],
\]

Each \(\phi_j(\beta)\) corresponds to one of the limit cases conditions referred to in proposition 1.3, except for \(\phi_0(\beta)\) when \(n = 1\) and \(\phi_1(\beta)\) when \(n = -1\).

**Definition 1.5.** We say that a quasicrystal is of type \(\mathcal{I}\) if \(d - c \in [\phi_m(\beta), \phi_{m-1}(\beta)] = [1, \phi_{m-1}(\beta)]\). Otherwise, we say it is of type \(\mathcal{II}\).

In the following, we use the notation \(\Sigma(\beta, \Omega) = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\) (\(\Sigma(\beta, \Omega)\) is a countable ordered point set).

**Proposition 1.6.** Let \(c, d \in \mathbb{R}\), \(1 \leq d - c < \beta\). Define \(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_{L-1}\) and \(\Sigma_L\) as the following sets:

\[
\Sigma_1 = \{x_i \in \Sigma(\beta, \Omega) \mid x_{i+1} - x_i = 1\},
\]

\[
\Sigma_{L-1} = \{x_i \in \Sigma(\beta, \Omega) \mid x_{i+1} - x_i = L(\beta) - 1\},
\]

\[
\Sigma_L = \{x_i \in \Sigma(\beta, \Omega) \mid x_{i+1} - x_i = L(\beta)\}.
\]

If \(d - c\) is such that \(\phi_{j+1}(\beta) \leq d - c < \phi_j(\beta)\), the following equalities hold:

\[
\Sigma_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta] \mid x' \in \Omega_1 = [c, d - 1]\},
\]

\[
\Sigma_{L-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta] \mid x' \in \Omega_{L-1} = [c + 1 - L'(\beta), d]\},
\]

\[
\Sigma_L = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta] \mid x' \in \Omega_L = [d - 1, c + 1 - L'(\beta)]\}.
\]
Proof. From the remark preceding proposition 1.6, there exists a unique \( j \) such that \( \phi_{j+1}(\beta) \leq d - c < \phi_j(\beta) \). Moreover, \( L'(\beta) = 2 - \phi_j(\beta) \) (follows from [7, proposition 3.3]).

Let \( S(\beta) < M(\beta) < L(\beta) \) be the three ordered tile lengths\(^8\). The generation of point \( x_{i+1} \in \Sigma(\beta, \Omega) \), the right neighbour of \( x_i \in \Sigma(\beta, \Omega) \), can be done as follows:

Step 1: If \( x'_i + S'(\beta) \in \Omega \), then \( x_{i+1} = x_i + S(\beta) \).

Step 2: If \( x'_i + S'(\beta) \notin \Omega \) and \( x'_i + M'(\beta) \in \Omega \) then \( x_{i+1} = x_i + M(\beta) \).

Step 3: Otherwise, \( x_{i+1} = x_i + L(\beta) \).

We need only consider the following cases.

I. Let \( x_i \in \Sigma_1 \), then

\[
c \leq x'_i = x'_{i+1} - 1 < d - 1,
\]

i.e. \( x_i \in [c, d-1) \).

Conversely, let \( x'_i \in [c, d-1) \), then

\[
x'_i + 1 \in [c+1, d) \subset \Omega
\]

and \( x_i \in \Sigma_1 \). Indeed, either \( S(\beta) = 1 \) in which case the result follows from step 1, either \( j = 1 = -n, S(\beta) = L(\beta) - 1 \) and

\[
x'_i + S'(\beta) = x'_i + L'(\beta) - 1 < d - 2 + L'(\beta) = d - \phi_1(\beta) < d + c - d = c,
\]

i.e. \( x'_i + S'(\beta) \notin \Omega \) and the result follows from step 2.

II. Let \( x_i \in \Sigma_{L-1} \), then

\[
c + 1 - L'(\beta) \leq x'_i = x'_{i+1} + 1 - L'(\beta) < d,
\]

i.e. \( x_i \in [c + 1 - L'(\beta), d) \).

Conversely, let \( x'_i \in [c + 1 - L'(\beta), d) \), then

\[
x'_i - 1 + L'(\beta) \in [c, d - 1 + L'(\beta))
\]

and \( x_i \in \Sigma_{L-1} \). Indeed, either \( S(\beta) = L(\beta) - 1 \), in which case the result follows from step 1, either \( S(\beta) = 1 \) and

\[
x'_i + 1 \geq c + 2 - L'(\beta) = c + \phi_j(\beta) > c + d - c = d,
\]

i.e. \( x'_i + 1 \notin \Omega \) and the result follows from step 2.

\( \square \)

**Corollary 1.7.** Let \( \Sigma(\beta, \Omega) \) be a 2TQC.

1. If \( \Sigma(\beta, \Omega) \) is of type I, then \( \Sigma_1 = \emptyset \): the possible tile lengths are \( L(\beta) - 1 \) and \( L(\beta) \).

2. If \( \Sigma(\beta, \Omega) \) is of type II, then \( \Sigma_{L-1} = \emptyset \): the possible tile lengths are 1 and \( L(\beta) \).
Figure 2: Stepping function $f$ for a two tile quasicrystal $\Sigma(\beta, \Omega)$ of type $I$.

Definition 1.8. Let $\Sigma(\beta, \Omega)$ be a quasicrystal such that $1 \leq d - c < \beta$. The stepping function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is a function such that for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, if $x_i \in \Sigma(\beta, \Omega)$ then $x_{i+1} = f(x_i)$.

The function $f$ is called the “stepping function” since it walks (in the acceptance window $\Omega$) from the Galois conjugate $x_i'$ of a quasicrystal point $x_i$ to the Galois conjugate $x_{i+1}'$ of the adjacent quasicrystal point to the right of $x_i$ (see Figure 2).

Corollary 1.9. Let $\Sigma(\beta, \Omega)$ be a quasicrystal such that $1 \leq d - c < \beta$. Then the stepping function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is given by:

$$f(x') := \begin{cases} x' + 1 & \text{for } x' \in \Omega_1 \\ x' + L'(\beta) - 1 & \text{for } x' \in \Omega_{L-1} \\ x' + L'(\beta) & \text{for } x' \in \Omega_L \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

2 Design of APRNGs

We describe the present design of APRNGs based on one 2TQC and two LCGs. The aperiodicity of the generated sequence is stated in theorem 2.1 which is proven in Section 3.

The construction of the aperiodic sequence $\{n_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ of pseudorandom numbers in $[0, 1)$ uses an aperiodic binary sequence $S(\beta, \Omega)$ (obtained from a subset of the 2TQC $\Sigma(\beta, \Omega)$) to combine two (possibly identical) LCGs, $LCG_1$ and $LCG_2$.

- Let $\Sigma(\beta, \Omega)$ be a two tile quasicrystal with tile lengths $O(\beta)$ and $L(\beta)$. The aperiodic binary sequence $S(\beta, \Omega)$ is constructed from the tiling generated by $\Sigma(\beta, \Omega)$ on the right of a seed point $x_0$:

$$S(\beta, \Omega) = \{s_i\}_{i=0}^\infty, \quad (2.1)$$

where the elements $s_i$ are defined, for $i \geq 0$, by the recursive relation:

$$s_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x_{i+1} - x_i = O(\beta) \\ 1 & \text{if } x_{i+1} - x_i = L(\beta) \end{cases}$$

with $x_{i+1}$ the right neighbour of $x_i$ in $\Sigma(\beta, \Omega)$.

---

8If $n = -1$ and $j = 1$, $S(\beta) = L(\beta) - 1$, $M(\beta) = 1$; otherwise $S(\beta) = 1$ and $M(\beta) = L(\beta) - 1$ (see [7, proposition 3.3]).

9The value of $O(\beta)$ is $L(\beta) - 1$ if $\Sigma(\beta, \Omega)$ is of type $I$ and 1 otherwise.
• For \( j = 1, 2 \), let \( (a_j, c_j, m_j, k_0^{(j)}) \) be the parameters of \( LCG_j \), where \( a_j \) is the multiplier, \( c_j \) the increment, \( m_j \) the modulus and \( k_0^{(j)} \) the seed point. Let \( K^{(j)} \) be the set of positive integers generated by \( LCG_j \):

\[
K^{(j)} = \{ k_i^{(j)} \}_{i=0}^\infty,
\]

where the elements \( k_i^{(j)} \) are defined by the recursive relation:

\[
k_i^{(j)} = a_j k_{i-1}^{(j)} + c_j \pmod{m_j} \quad i \geq 1.
\]

The incrementation of the LCGs is done in the following way. Using the binary sequence \( S(\beta, \Omega) \) and starting at \( i = 0 \), the state of \( LCG_1 \) (resp. \( LCG_2 \)) is incremented by one at step \( i \) if \( s_i = 1 \) (resp. \( s_i = 0 \)). Therefore, the state of \( LCG_j \) is given, at any level \( \ell \) in \( S(\beta, \Omega) \), by the number of 1’s that occur in the finite sequence \( \{s_i\}_{i=0}^\ell \). This number is denoted \( \rho_\ell(\beta, \Omega) \):

\[
\rho_\ell(\beta, \Omega) = \left| \{ s_i \in S(\beta, \Omega) \mid i = 0, 1, \cdots, \ell \text{ and } s_i = 1 \} \right|.
\]

The pseudorandom numbers \( n_i \in [0, 1) \) are defined as follows (see Figure 3):

\[
n_i = \begin{cases} 
\frac{k_i^{(1)}}{m_1} & \text{if } s_i = 1 \\
\frac{k_i^{(2)}}{m_2} & \text{if } s_i = 0
\end{cases}
\] \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

Figure 3: The structure of an APRNG.

The following results are valid when the LCG moduli are bigger than 1 and the other parameters are such that the LCGs generate at least two distinct values.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \( \Sigma(\beta, \Omega) \) be a two tile quasicrystal (i.e. with \( d - c = \phi_j+1(\beta) \) for some \( j = 0, 1, \cdots, m - 1 \)), \( S(\beta, \Omega) \) a binary sequence constructed as described in equation (2.1), and the sequence \( \{n_i\}_{i=0}^\infty \) with \( n_i \) defined as in (2.2). For any given \( i, P \in \mathbb{N}^>0 \), there exists \( N \in \mathbb{N}^>0 \) such that

\[
n_{i + NP} \neq n_{i + (N-1)P}.
\]

**Corollary 2.2.** The sequence \( \{n_i\}_{i=0}^\infty \) is aperiodic in the strongest sense: it has no periodic subset. This property is independent of the seed point \( x_0 \) from which the binary sequence is generated.

## 3 Proof of theorem 2.1

The proof of theorem 2.1 is done in two main steps: we first prove that the sequence \( S(\beta, \Omega) \) is aperiodic in the strongest sense (proposition 3.1), and then we show that some specific patterns must occur if the sequence \( \{n_i\}_{i=0}^\infty \) has a periodic subset (proposition 3.2). These specific patterns occur if and only if the sequence \( S(\beta, \Omega) \) has a periodic subset, and therefore the result in theorem 2.1 follows from a contradiction.

Assume the following two propositions hold (the proofs are given below in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 respectively).
Proposition 3.1. For any \( s_i \) in \( S(\beta, \Omega) \) and \( P \in \mathbb{N}^\geq 0 \), there exists \( J \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( s_i \neq s_{i+JP} \): \( S(\beta, \Omega) \) has no periodic subset.

Proposition 3.2. Let \( P \in \mathbb{N}^\geq 0 \). Under the hypothesis of theorem 2.1, if there exist integers \( i_0 \) and \( P \) such that \( n_{i_0+kP} = n_{i_0} \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), then both the patterns 00 and 11 occur in the sequence \( \{s_{i_0+kP}\}_{k=0}^\infty \). Moreover, there exist only two possible distances \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) \( (D_1' < 0 < D_2') \) between any two quasicrystal points \( x_{i+(k+1)P} \) and \( x_{i+kP} \), where \( k \) is any positive integer:

\[
x_{i+(n+1)P} - x_{i+nP} = \begin{cases} D_1 & \text{if } x_{i+(n+1)P} \in \Sigma_L \\ D_2 & \text{if } x_{i+(n+1)P} \in \Sigma_{L-1} \end{cases} \tag{3.1}
\]

if \( \Sigma(\beta, \Omega) \) is of type \( \mathcal{I} \), otherwise

\[
x_{i+(n+1)P} - x_{i+nP} = \begin{cases} D_1 & \text{if } x_{i+(n+1)P} \in \Sigma_1 \\ D_2 & \text{if } x_{i+(n+1)P} \in \Sigma_L \end{cases} \tag{3.2}
\]

Proof of theorem 2.1. The proof is obtained by contradiction. Let \( i_0 \) and \( P \) be positive integers such that \( n_{i_0+kP} = n_{i_0} \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \).

From proposition 3.2, there exist \( k_1, k_2, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( k_2, n_2 \geq 2 \) such that

\[
s_{i_0+k_1P} = s_{i_0+(k_1+k_2+1)P} = s_{i_0+(n_1+n)nP} = 0 \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \ldots, n_2;
\]

\[
s_{i_0+n_1P} = s_{i_0+(n_1+n_2+1)P} = s_{i_0+(k_1+k)P} = 1 \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \ldots, k_2.
\]

In other words, patterns \( 01 \ldots 10 \) and \( 10 \ldots 01 \) occur.

1. Let \( \Sigma(\beta, \Omega) \) be of type \( \mathcal{I} \). From equation (3.1), \( 01 \ldots 10 \) occurs and

\[
x_{i_0+k_1P} \geq c + L' \beta - 1, \quad x_{i_0+k_2P} + k_2D'_1 + D'_2 \geq c + L' \beta - 1, \quad \text{and} \quad x_{i_0+k_1P} + kD'_1 < c + L' \beta - 1 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq k \leq k_2,
\]

and also, since \( 10 \ldots 01 \) occurs we have

\[
x_{i_0+n_1P} < c + L' \beta - 1, \quad x_{i_0+n_2P} + n_2D'_2 + D'_1 < c + L' \beta - 1, \quad \text{and} \quad x_{i_0+n_1P} + nD'_2 \geq c + L' \beta - 1 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq n \leq n_2.
\]

This is a contradiction since from equation (3.3) \( D'_2 > -(k_2 - 1)D'_1 \geq -D'_1 \), and from equation (3.4) \( -D'_1 > (n_2 - 1)D'_2 \geq D'_2 \).

2. Let \( \Sigma(\beta, \Omega) \) be of type \( \mathcal{II} \). From equation (3.2), \( 10 \ldots 01 \) occurs and

\[
x_{i_0+k_1P} < d - 1, \quad x_{i_0+k_1P} + k_2D'_2 + D'_1 < d - 1, \quad \text{and} \quad x_{i_0+k_1P} + kD'_2 \geq d - 1 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq k \leq k_2,
\]

and also since \( 01 \ldots 10 \) occurs we have

\[
x_{i_0+n_1P} \geq d - 1, \quad x_{i_0+n_1P} + n_2D'_1 + D'_2 \geq d - 1, \quad \text{and} \quad x_{i_0+n_1P} + nD'_1 < d - 1 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq n \leq n_2.
\]

This again is a contradiction since from equation (3.5) \( -D'_1 > (k_2 - 1)D'_2 \geq D'_2 \), and from equation (3.6) \( D'_2 > -(n_2 - 1)D'_1 \geq -D'_1 \).

\[\square\]
3.1 Proof of proposition 3.1

The proof follows from the next 4 lemmas. The three first lemmas are used to prove that for any nth-iterate of the stepping function \( f \), there exists a positive integer \( k \) (resp. \( \overline{k} \)) such that \( f^{(kn)} \) (resp. \( f^{(\overline{kn})} \)) is strictly increasing (resp. decreasing) on \([c, c + \overline{g}_1] \) (resp. \([c + \overline{g}_1, d] \)) : see lemma 3.6.

Lemma 3.3. Let \( \Sigma(\beta, \Omega) \) be a 2TQC with stepping function \( f \). If \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), then

\[
f^{(n)}(x') = \begin{cases} x' + g_n & \text{if } x' \in [c, c + \overline{g}_n) \\ x' - \overline{g}_n & \text{if } x' \in [c + \overline{g}_n, d) \\ \end{cases},
\]

with \( c + \overline{g}_n = d - g_n \), \( g_n \) and \( \overline{g}_n \) are positive constants which depend on \( \beta \).

Proof. The proof is done by induction on \( n \). Let the stepping function \( f \)

\[
f(x') := \begin{cases} x' + g_1 & \text{for } x' \in [c, c + \overline{g}_1) \\ x' - \overline{g}_1 & \text{for } x' \in [c + \overline{g}_1, d) \\ \end{cases},
\]

where \( g_1 \) and \( \overline{g}_1 \) are positive constants which depend on \( \beta \). We have that \( c + \overline{g}_1 = d - g_1 \). Indeed, either \( \Sigma(\beta, \Omega) \) is of type \( I \) \( (c \leq 0, \ d = c + 1 > 0) \) and from equation (1.1)

\[
f(x') := \begin{cases} x' + L'(\beta) & \text{for } x' \in [c, c + 1 - L'(\beta)) \\ x' + L'(\beta) - 1 & \text{for } x' \in [c + 1 - L'(\beta), c + 1) \\ \end{cases},
\]

either \( \Sigma(\beta, \Omega) \) is of type \( \mathbb{II} \) and from equation (1.1)

\[
f(x') := \begin{cases} x' + 1 & \text{for } x' \in [c, d - 1) \\ x' + L'(\beta) & \text{for } x' \in [d - 1, d) \\ \end{cases},
\]

i.e. \( g_1 = 1 \) and \( -\overline{g}_1 = L'(\beta) = 2 - \phi_1(\beta) = 1 - d + c \) and

\[ c + \overline{g}_1 = d - 1 = d - g_1. \]

Assume equation (3.7) holds for \( n = N \). Then

\[
f^{(N)}([c, c + \overline{g}_N)) = [c + g_N, d) \\
f^{(N)}([c + \overline{g}_N, d)) = [c, d - \overline{g}_N) = [c, c + g_N),
\]

Indeed, we have the following two cases.

- If \( \overline{g}_1 < g_N \), then \( c + \overline{g}_1 < c + g_N \) and thus \([c + g_N, d) \subset [c + \overline{g}_1, d) \) and

\[
f^{(N+1)}(x') = \begin{cases} x' + g_N - \overline{g}_1 & \text{if } x' \in [c, c + \overline{g}_N) \\ x' - \overline{g}_N + g_1 & \text{if } x' \in [c + \overline{g}_N, c + \overline{g}_N + \overline{g}_1) \\ x' - \overline{g}_N - \overline{g}_1 & \text{if } x' \in [c + \overline{g}_N + \overline{g}_1, d) \\ \end{cases},
\]

where \( g_1 - \overline{g}_N = d - c - \overline{g}_1 - (d - c - g_N) = g_N - \overline{g}_1 > 0 \). Thus \( g_{N+1} = g_N - \overline{g}_1 \) and \( \overline{g}_{N+1} = \overline{g}_N + \overline{g}_1 \).
• If $\overline{g}_1 > g_N$, then $c + \overline{g}_1 > c + g_N$ and thus $[c, c + g_N) \subset [c, c + \overline{g}_1)$ and

$$f^{(N+1)}(x') = \begin{cases} 
    x' + g_N + g_1 & x' \in [c, c + \overline{g}_1 - g_N) \\
    x' + g_N - \overline{g}_1 & x' \in [c + \overline{g}_1 - g_N, c + g_N).
\end{cases}$$  \hfill (3.11)

Thus $g_{N+1} = g_N + g_1$ and $\overline{g}_{N+1} = \overline{g}_1 - g_N$.

Note that for any positive integer $N$, $\overline{g}_1 \neq g_N$. Indeed, from equations (3.9) and (3.8), $\overline{g}_1 \neq g_1$, and since $L'(\beta)$ is irrational, $k_1g_1 - k_2\overline{g}_1 = \overline{g}_1$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $k_1 = 0, k_2 = -1$. Moreover, from the previous arguments, there exist two positive integers $\alpha_N > 0$ and $\overline{\alpha}_N$ such that $g_N = \alpha_N g_1 - \overline{\alpha}_N \overline{g}_1$, therefore $g_N \neq \overline{g}_1$.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let $\Sigma(\beta, \Omega)$ be a 2TQC with stepping function $f$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$:

1. If $g_{kn} < \overline{g}_n$,

$$f^{((k+1)n)}(x') = \begin{cases} 
    x' + g_{kn} + g_n & \text{if } x' \in [c, c + \overline{g}_n - g_{kn}) \\
    x' + g_{kn} - \overline{g}_n & \text{if } x' \in [c + \overline{g}_n - g_{kn}, d),
\end{cases}$$  \hfill (3.12)

2. If $g_{kn} > \overline{g}_n$,

$$f^{((k+1)n)}(x') = \begin{cases} 
    x' + g_{kn} - \overline{g}_n & \text{if } x' \in [c, c + \overline{g}_n + \overline{g}_n) \\
    x' - (\overline{g}_{kn} + \overline{g}_n) & \text{if } x' \in [c + \overline{g}_{kn} + \overline{g}_n, d).
\end{cases}$$  \hfill (3.13)

**Proof.** The proof is a simple generalisation of equations (3.10) and (3.11) in the proof of lemma 3.3.

1. Case $g_{kn} < \overline{g}_n$. From lemma 3.3,

$$f^{((k+1)n)}(x') = \begin{cases} 
    f^{(kn)}(x') + g_n & \text{if } f^{(kn)}(x') \in [c, c + \overline{g}_n) \\
    f^{(kn)}(x') - \overline{g}_n & \text{if } f^{(kn)}(x') \in [c + \overline{g}_n, d) \\
    f^{(kn)}(x') + g_n & \text{if } x' \in [c + \overline{g}_{kn}, d) \\
    f^{(kn)}(x') + g_n & \text{if } x' \in [c, c + \overline{g}_{kn} - g_{kn}) \\
    f^{(kn)}(x') - \overline{g}_n & \text{if } x' \in [c + \overline{g}_{kn} - g_{kn}, c + \overline{g}_{kn}) \\
    x' - \overline{g}_{kn} + g_n & \text{if } x' \in [c + \overline{g}_{kn}, d) \\
    x' + g_{kn} + g_n & \text{if } x' \in [c, c + \overline{g}_{kn} - g_{kn}) \\
    x' + g_{kn} - \overline{g}_n & \text{if } x' \in [c + \overline{g}_{kn} - g_{kn}, c + \overline{g}_{kn}).
\end{cases}$$

Equation (3.12) follows using $d - c = g_{kn} + \overline{g}_{kn} = g_n + \overline{g}_n$, i.e. $g_{kn} - \overline{g}_n = g_n - \overline{g}_{kn}$.

2. Case $g_{kn} > \overline{g}_n$. From lemma 3.3

$$f^{((k+1)n)}(x') = \begin{cases} 
    f^{(kn)}(x') + g_n & \text{if } x' \in [c + \overline{g}_{kn}, c + \overline{g}_{kn} + g_{kn}) \\
    f^{(kn)}(x') - \overline{g}_n & \text{if } x' \in [c + \overline{g}_{kn} + g_n, d) \\
    f^{(kn)}(x') - \overline{g}_n & \text{if } x' \in [c + \overline{g}_{kn}) \\
    x' - \overline{g}_{kn} + g_n & \text{if } x' \in [c + \overline{g}_{kn}, c + \overline{g}_{kn} + g_{kn}) \\
    x' - \overline{g}_{kn} - \overline{g}_n & \text{if } x' \in [c + \overline{g}_{kn} + g_n, d) \\
    x' + g_{kn} - \overline{g}_n & \text{if } x' \in [c + \overline{g}_{kn} - g_{kn}, c + \overline{g}_{kn}).
\end{cases}$$

Again the result follows using $d - c = g_{kn} + \overline{g}_{kn} = g_n + \overline{g}_n$.
Lemma 3.5. For all positive integers \( n \), there exist two positive integers \( k \) and \( \overline{k} \) such that

\[
0 < g_{kn} \leq \overline{g}_1 \leq g_{kn} < d - c.
\]

Proof. We show that if \( g_n < \overline{g}_1 \), then there exists \( K \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( g_{Kn} \geq \overline{g}_1 \). (The converse statement is proven similarly.)

Let \( g_{kn} < \overline{g}_1 \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), then \( g_{kn} < \overline{g}_1 \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). Indeed, if there exists \( k \) such that \( g_{kn} \geq \overline{g}_1 \), then there exists \( j \in \mathbb{N} \) such that

\[
(j + 1)g_{kn} \geq g_{kn} > jg_{kn},
\]

and using lemma 3.4 \( j \) times \((k \to 1, n \to kn \) in lemma 3.4),

\[
g_{jkn} = g_{kn} - (j - 1)g_{kn}.
\]

Moreover, \( g_{kn-j} < \overline{g}_1 \) for all \( j \leq k \), i.e. \( g_N < \overline{g}_1 \) for all \( N \in \mathbb{N} \). Indeed, let \( k, j \in \mathbb{N} \) and such that \( j \) is the smallest number (if it exists) such that \( g_{kn-j-1} > \overline{g}_1 \), then by lemma 3.4

\[
\overline{g}_{kn-j} = \overline{g}_{kn-j-1} + \overline{g}_1 > \overline{g}_1
\]

which contradicts the fact that \( j \) is the smallest such number.

Finally, if \( g_N < \overline{g}_1 \) for all \( N \in \mathbb{N} \), then from lemma 3.4

\[
g_j = jg_1
\]

for all \( j \in \mathbb{N} \). This is again a contradiction since \( g_j \leq d - c \). It follows that there exists \( K \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( g_{Kn} \geq \overline{g}_1 \).

Lemma 3.4 follows by setting \( \overline{k} = 1 \) and \( k = K \).
(In the proof of the converse statement, equation \((3.14)\) becomes \( \overline{g}_j = j\overline{g}_1 \).)

Lemma 3.6. Let \( \Sigma(\beta, \Omega) \) be a two tile quasicrystal with tile lengths \( O(\beta) \) and \( L(\beta) \); let \( f \) be its stepping function. For each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), there exist two positive integers \( k \) and \( \overline{k} \) such that

\[
f^{(kn)}(x') = x' + g_{kn} \quad x' \in [c, c + \overline{g}_1)
\]

\[
f^{(\overline{k}n)}(x') = x' - \overline{g}_{kn} \quad x' \in [c + \overline{g}_1, d)
\]

with either \( k = 1 \) or \( \overline{k} = 1 \), and \( g_{kn} \) and \( \overline{g}_{kn} \) are positive constants which depend on \( \beta \) with \( g_{kn} + \overline{g}_{kn} = d - c \).

Proof. Consider equation \((3.7)\) of lemma 3.3. From lemma 3.5, there exists a positive integer \( k \) such that

\[
f^{(kn)}(x') = x + g_{kn} \quad \text{for } x \in [c, c + \overline{g}_1) \subset [c, c + \overline{g}_{kn}).
\]

Similarly, from lemma 3.5, there exists a positive integer \( \overline{k} \) such that

\[
f^{(\overline{k}n)}(x') = x - \overline{g}_{kn} \quad \text{for } x \in [c + \overline{g}_1, d) \subset [c + \overline{g}_{kn}, d).
\]
Proof of proposition 3.1. We show that \( \Omega \) cannot be bounded if \( f^{(kn)} \) (resp. \( f^{(\overline{kn})} \)) is strictly increasing (resp. decreasing).

Assume \( s_i = 0 \), i.e. \( x_i' \in \Omega_O \). From lemma 3.5, there exists \( k \) such that

\[
f^{(kP)}(x') = x' \pm g_{kP}.
\]

Let \( P \) such that \( s_{i+kP} = 0 \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and consider the sequence \( \{y_j\}_{j=0}^\infty \) with

\[
y_j = f^{(jP)}(x_i').
\]

If \( P > 0 \), then for a sufficiently large \( J \)

\[
|y_J - y_0| = |x_{j+kP+i} - x_i'| = Jg_{kP} \geq d - c.
\]

This is a contradiction, therefore \( P = 0 \).

Similarly, if \( x_i' \in \Omega_L \), one obtains that if \( P > 0 \), then for sufficiently large \( J \)

\[
|y_0 - y_J| = Jg_{kP} \geq d - c.
\]

\[\square\]

3.2 Proof of proposition 3.2

This proof follows from the next lemma. We use the notation

\[
x_{i_0+kP} = L_{kP}L(\beta) + O_{kP}O(\beta) + x_{i_0}. \tag{3.15}
\]

Lemma 3.7. Let \( P \in \mathbb{N}^{>0} \). Under the hypothesis of theorem 2.1, there exist two possible distances \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) \( (D'_1 < 0 < D'_2) \) between any two quasicrystal points \( x_{i_0+kP} \) and \( x_{i_0+(k+1)P} \), where \( k \) is any positive integer.

Proof. Let \( d - c = \phi_{j+1}(\beta) \) and \( x_{i_0+(k+1)P} - x_{i_0+kP} = l_{kP}L'(\beta) + o_{kP}O'(\beta) \) with \( P = l_{kP} + o_{kP} \). There exists \( j \) with \( 0 \leq j \leq m - 1 \) and such that

\[
0 < |x_{i_0+(k+1)P} - x_{i_0+kP}| = |l_{kP}L'(\beta) + o_{kP}O'(\beta)| < \phi_{j+1}(\beta).
\]

Therefore,

\[
-\phi_{j+1}(\beta) < l_{kP}L'(\beta) + o_{kP}O'(\beta) < \phi_{j+1}(\beta)
\]

\[
\Rightarrow -\phi_{j+1}(\beta) - PO'(\beta) < l_{kP}(L'(\beta) - O'(\beta)) < \phi_{j+1}(\beta) - PO'(\beta)
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \frac{PO'(\beta)}{\phi_{j+1}(\beta)} - 1 < l_{kP} \frac{O'(\beta) - L'(\beta)}{\phi_{j+1}(\beta)} < 1 + \frac{PO'(\beta)}{\phi_{j+1}(\beta)}. \tag{3.16}
\]

Since \( L'(\beta) = 2 - \phi_j(\beta) \), when \( O(\beta) = 1 \), then \( j = m - i \) and

\[
L'(\beta) - O'(\beta) + \phi_{j+1}(\beta) = 0,
\]

and when \( j = m - 1 \), then \( L'(\beta) = O'(\beta) + 1 \) and

\[
L'(\beta) - O'(\beta) - \phi_m(\beta) = 0.
\]
In all cases $|L'(\beta) - O'(\beta)| = \phi_{j+1}(\beta)$, and equation (3.16) has two integer solutions $L_a(\beta)$ and $L_b(\beta) = L_a(\beta) + 1$. To insure $D'_1 < 0$, let

$$(L_1(\beta), L_2(\beta)) = \begin{cases} (L_a(\beta), L_b(\beta)) & \text{if } j = m - 1 \\ (L_b(\beta), L_a(\beta)) & \text{if } j \neq m - 1 \end{cases}.$$ 

The possible distances are then

$$D_1 = L_1(\beta)L(\beta) + (P - L_1(\beta))O(\beta)$$
$$D_2 = L_2(\beta)L(\beta) + (P - L_2(\beta))O(\beta)$$

with $D'_1 < 0 < D'_2$. \hfill \Box

**Proof of proposition 3.2.** The proof is made by contradiction. The set $\{s_{i_0}s_{i_0+p}\}$ is not periodic (from proposition 3.1), thus there exists $k_1$ such that $\{s_{i_0+k_1}s_{i_0+(k_1+1)p}\}$ is either \{11\} or \{00\}.

1. Let $\Sigma(\beta, \Omega)$ be of type $\mathcal{I}$. If \{11\} occurs, then $L_{(k_1+1)p} = L_{k_1p} + L_1(\beta)$ and since $n_{i_0} = n_{i_0+kP}$, then $L_1(\beta) = 0 \pmod{m_1}$. Similarly, if \{00\} occurs, then $P - L_2(\beta) = 0 \pmod{m_2}$.
   
   Consider the case where \{11\} occurs and \{00\} never occurs. For any $k_1$ such that $s_{i_0+k_1P} = 0$, then $s_{i_0+(k_1+1)p} = 1$. Thus using notation (3.15)

$$L_{(k_1+1)p} = L_{(k_1-1)p} + L_2(\beta) + L_1(\beta) \pmod{m_1}$$
$$= L_2(\beta) \pmod{m_1}$$
$$= 0 \pmod{m_1}.$$

This is a contradiction since $L_2(\beta) = L_1(\beta) + 1 = 1 \pmod{m_1}$ (we assume $m_i > 1$), therefore \{00\} occurs.

Moreover, let $x_{i_0+(k+1)p} \in \Sigma_L$ and $x_{i_0+(k+1)p} - x_{i_0+kP} = D_2$. Since $D'_2 > 0$, $x_{i_0+kP} \in \Sigma_L$.

Again, since $n_{i_0} = n_{i_0+kP}$, then

$$L_{(k+1)p} = L_{kP} + L_2(\beta) \pmod{m_1}$$
$$= 0 \pmod{m_1}.$$

This is the same contradiction as above and the result follows.

Similarly, if \{00\} occurs and \{11\} never occurs, we obtain that $P - L_1(\beta) = 0 \pmod{m_2}$ and therefore \{11\} occurs. Moreover, if $x_{i_0+(k+1)p} \in \Sigma_1$ and $x_{i_0+(k+1)p} - x_{i_0+kP} = D_1$, since $D'_1 < 0$, $x_{i_0+kP} \in \Sigma_{L-1}$ and we must have $P - L_2(\beta) = 0 \pmod{m_2}$ which is a contradiction and from which the result follows.

2. Let $\Sigma(\beta, \Omega)$ be of type $\mathcal{II}$. The argument follows as in the previous case interchanging 00 with 11 and $L_2(\beta)$ with $L_1(\beta)$. \hfill \Box

**Conclusion**

We showed how two tile quasicrystals can be used with two LCGs to produce aperiodic PRNGs. Any pair of LCGs can be used to produce an APRNG (provided the modulus is greater than 1), however the choice of LCGs influences the statistics of the APRNGs: for example, choosing the two
LCGs having bad statistical properties would produce an APRNG with “bad” statistical properties (the dependency of the statistical properties of the APRNG on the choice of the LCGs is treated in [4]). The actual design of the APRNG could be easily modified to combine any two periodic PRNGs. Less trivial modifications can also be performed: for instance the combination of more than two PRNGs (see [3]).

The results we have obtained are given for 2TQCs defined by unitary quadratic Pisot numbers. It is believed by the authors that three tile quasicrystals (3TQCs) defined by unitary quadratic Pisot numbers can be used as well to break the periodicity of PRNGs, but the proofs presented here do not easily generalise to such quasicrystals. Indeed, the number of discontinuities of the iterates \( f^N(x) \) of the stepping function \( f(x) \) can become very large as each iteration can introduce as many as two additional discontinuities. Therefore the function \( f^N \) cannot be written in a form as nice as equation (3.7). The proof of the result for 3TQCs requires a different approach, and the authors are presently working on this problem. The use of 3TQCs may not offer great improvements on the statistics of APRNGs, but it could improve their cryptographic security. Z. Masáková, J. Patera and E. Pelantová are presently working on the properties of quasicrystals defined by irrationalities of higher degree. It is also believed by the authors that such quasicrystals could be used to construct APRNGs.

As mentioned in [3], the quasicrystal generation can be made much faster using parallelism since any quasicrystal can be generated simultaneously from several (many) seed points. The APRNG is then easily amenable to parallelism generation if the state of each LCG at all seed points is given.

The APRNG design is also amenable to multidimensional PRNGs construction. Indeed, as mentioned in Section 1, the cut and project quasicrystal model can be generalised to any finite dimension \( N \) thus generating \( N \) dimensional aperiodic point sets. Generalising the design of APRNGs using these multidimensional point sets gives multidimensional PRNGs. Even though the generalisation of the design is simple, obtaining the properties of these multidimensional PRNGs is a very hard task since virtually nothing is known about the properties of \( N \) dimensional cut and project quasicrystals.
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