

**Formulas and Asymptotics
for the Asymmetric
Simple Exclusion Process**

Craig A. Tracy

Harold Widom

ASEP: Particles are at integer sites on the line. Each particle waits exponential time, then

(1) with probability p it moves one step to the right if the site is unoccupied, otherwise it stays put;

(2) with probability $q = 1 - p$ it moves one step to the left if the site is unoccupied, otherwise it stays put.

T(totally)ASEP: $p = 1$. Random matrix connection (Johansson, 2000): Initial configuration \mathbb{Z}^- . Probability that at time t the particle initially at $-m$ has moved at least n times is a probability in $\beta = 2$ Laguerre ensemble.

N -particle ASEP. Possible configuration

$$X = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}, \quad x_1 < \dots < x_N, \quad x_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Initial configuration $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_N\}$. We obtain integral formulas for

$P_Y(X; t)$ = probability that at time t the system is in configuration X .

$\mathbb{P}(x_m(t) = x)$ = probability that at time t the m th particle from the left is at x .

For TASEP: Schütz (1997): $P_Y(X; t) = N \times N$ determinant.

Differential Equation

In ASEP there is a differential equation with boundary conditions and an initial condition whose solution gives $P_Y(X; t)$.

(Idea goes back to H. Bethe (1931).)

Unknown function $u(X; t)$ or $u(X)$, $X = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$.

Differential equation (master equation):

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} u(X; t) \\ &= \sum_i [p u(\dots, x_i - 1, \dots) + q u(\dots, x_i + 1, \dots) - u(X)]. \end{aligned}$$

Boundary conditions ($i = 1, \dots, N - 1$):

$$\begin{aligned} & u(\dots, x_i, x_i + 1, \dots) \\ &= p u(\dots, x_i, x_i, \dots) + q u(\dots, x_i + 1, x_i + 1, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Initial condition

$$u(X; 0) = \delta_Y(X) \quad \text{when } x_1 < \dots < x_N.$$

Equation + boundary conditions + initial condition \Rightarrow

$$u(X; t) = P_Y(X; t) \quad \text{when } x_1 < \dots < x_N.$$

Bethe Ansatz Solution

Define

$$\varepsilon(\xi) = p \xi^{-1} + q \xi - 1.$$

For any $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ a solution of the equation is

$$\prod_i \left(\xi_i^{x_i} e^{\varepsilon(\xi_i) t} \right).$$

For any $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_N$, another solution is

$$\prod_i \xi_{\sigma(i)}^{x_i} \prod_i e^{\varepsilon(\xi_i) t}$$

or any linear combination of these, or any integral of a linear combination.

Bethe Ansatz:

$$u(X; t) = \int \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_N} F_\sigma(\xi) \prod_i \xi_{\sigma(i)}^{x_i} \prod_i e^{\varepsilon(\xi_i) t} d^N \xi.$$

Want the boundary conditions to be satisfied.

Look for F_σ such that the integrand satisfies the boundary conditions pointwise.

Definition: $T_i \sigma$ differs from σ by an interchange of the i th and $(i + 1)$ st entries. If $\sigma = (2 \ 3 \ 1 \ 4)$ then $T_2 \sigma = (2 \ 1 \ 3 \ 4)$.

Sufficient conditions

$$\frac{F_{T_i \sigma}}{F_\sigma} = - \frac{p + q \xi_{\sigma(i)} \xi_{\sigma(i+1)} - \xi_{\sigma(i+1)}}{p + q \xi_{\sigma(i)} \xi_{\sigma(i+1)} - \xi_{\sigma(i)}}.$$

General solution

$$F_\sigma(\xi) = \text{sgn } \sigma \prod_{i < j} (p + q\xi_{\sigma(i)}\xi_{\sigma(j)} - \xi_{\sigma(i)}) \times \varphi(\xi).$$

If we define

$$A_\sigma = \text{sgn } \sigma \frac{\prod_{i < j} (p + q\xi_{\sigma(i)}\xi_{\sigma(j)} - \xi_{\sigma(i)})}{\prod_{i < j} (p + q\xi_i\xi_j - \xi_i)}$$

then

$$u(X; t) = \sum_{\sigma} \int A_\sigma(\xi) \prod_i \xi_{\sigma(i)}^{x_i} \prod_i \left(\xi_i^{-y_i-1} e^{\varepsilon(\xi_i) t} \right) d^N \xi \quad (1)$$

satisfies the master equation + boundary conditions.

The $\sigma = id$ summand satisfies initial condition if contours go around $\xi_i = 0$.

$A_{id} = 1$; other A_σ have poles, so contours matter.

Schütz (1997), $N = 2$:

$$P_Y(X; t) = 2\text{dim integral} + 1\text{dim integral}.$$

T-W (2007), general N :

Want contours so that when $x_1 < \cdots < x_N$

$$\sum_{\sigma \neq id} \int A_\sigma(\xi) \prod_i \xi_{\sigma(i)}^{x_i} \prod_i \xi_i^{-y_i-1} d^N \xi = 0.$$

$N = 2$: If both contours are small enough get Schütz's formula.

$N = 3$: Take all contours small. Three integrals are zero, other two are negatives.

So for $N = 2, 3$ $P_Y(X; t)$ equals (1) with integrals over \mathcal{C}_r with small r .

General N : Some integrals

$$\int A_\sigma(\xi) \prod_i \xi_{\sigma(i)}^{x_i} \prod_i \xi_i^{-y_i-1} d^N \xi$$

with $\sigma \neq id$ are zero, the rest come in pairs whose sum is zero.

Theorem: If $p \neq 0$ and r is small enough then

$$P_Y(X; t) = \sum_\sigma \int_{\mathcal{C}_r^N} A_\sigma(\xi) \prod_i \xi_{\sigma(i)}^{x_i} \prod_i \left(\xi_i^{-y_i-1} e^{\varepsilon(\xi_i) t} \right) d^N \xi.$$

When $p = 1$ get Schütz's determinant.

Formulas for $\mathbb{P}(x_1(t) = x)$

Since $x_1 < \dots < x_N$ set

$$x_1 = x, \quad x_2 = x + z_1, \quad x_3 = x + z_1 + z_2, \dots,$$

$$x_N = x + z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_{N-1}.$$

When $r < 1$ can sum the formula for $P_Y(X; t)$ over $z_i > 0$.

Integrand becomes

$$\frac{\prod_i (\xi_i^{x-y_i-1} e^{\varepsilon(\xi_i)t})}{\prod_{i<j} (p + q\xi_i\xi_j - \xi_i)} \cdot \sum_{\sigma} \operatorname{sgn} \sigma \left(\prod_{i<j} (p + q\xi_{\sigma(i)}\xi_{\sigma(j)} - \xi_{\sigma(i)}) \right. \\ \left. \times \frac{\xi_{\sigma(2)}\xi_{\sigma(3)}^2 \cdots \xi_{\sigma(N)}^{N-1}}{(1 - \xi_{\sigma(2)}\xi_{\sigma(3)} \cdots \xi_{\sigma(N)})(1 - \xi_{\sigma(3)} \cdots \xi_{\sigma(N)}) \cdots (1 - \xi_{\sigma(N)})} \right).$$

A miracle! The sum equals

$$p^{N(N-1)/2} \frac{(1 - \xi_1 \cdots \xi_N) \prod_{i < j} (\xi_j - \xi_i)}{\prod_i (1 - \xi_i)}.$$

Define

$$I(x, Y, \xi) = \prod_{i < j} \frac{\xi_j - \xi_i}{p + q\xi_i\xi_j - \xi_i} \frac{1 - \xi_1 \cdots \xi_N}{\prod_i (1 - \xi_i)} \prod_i (\xi_i^{x-y_i-1} e^{\varepsilon(\xi_i)t}).$$

Theorem: When $p \neq 0$ and r is small enough

$$\mathbb{P}(x_1(t) = x) = p^{N(N-1)/2} \int_{\mathcal{C}_r^N} I(x, Y, \xi) d^N \xi.$$

To show that

$$\sum_{x=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(x_1(t) = x) = 1,$$

or to compute

$$\mathbb{E}(x_1(t)) = \sum_{x=-\infty}^{\infty} x \mathbb{P}(x_1(t) = x),$$

or to let $N \rightarrow \infty$, need integrals over \mathcal{C}_R with R large.

Expand contours. Another miracle: only poles at $\xi_i = 1$ contribute.

For $S \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$, $I(x, Y_S, \xi)$ is $I(x, Y, \xi)$ but only indices $i \in S$.

Theorem: When $q \neq 0$ and R is large enough

$$\mathbb{P}(x_1(t) = x) = \sum_S c_S \int_{\mathcal{C}_R^{|S|}} I(x, Y_S, \xi) d^{|S|} \xi,$$

where c_S are certain constants.

For initial configuration

$$y_1 < y_2 < \dots \rightarrow +\infty$$

sum over all finite subsets of \mathbb{Z}^+ .

Formulas for $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{x}_m(t) = \mathbf{x})$

Use the miraculous formula twice and another one,

$$\sum_{|S|=m} \prod_{i \in S, j \in S^c} \frac{p + q\xi_i\xi_j - \xi_i}{\xi_j - \xi_i} \cdot \left(1 - \prod_{j \in S^c} \xi_j\right)$$

$$= C_{N,m} \left(1 - \prod_{j=1}^N \xi_j\right).$$

$$C_{N,m} = q^{m(N-m+1)} \left[\begin{matrix} N-1 \\ m \end{matrix} \right]_{p/q},$$

$$\left[\begin{matrix} N-1 \\ m \end{matrix} \right]_{\tau} = \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{1 - \tau^{N-k}}{1 - \tau^k}, \quad (\tau - \text{binomial coefficient}).$$

Theorem: When $p \neq 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(x_m(t) = x) = \sum_{|S^c| < m} c_{N,m,S} \int_{\mathcal{C}_r^{|S|}} I(x, Y_S, \xi) d^{|S|} \xi.$$

When $q \neq 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(x_m(t) = x) = \sum_{|S| \geq m} c_{m,S} \int_{\mathcal{C}_R^{|S|}} I(x, Y_S, \xi) d^{|S|} \xi.$$

Second representation holds for $N = \infty$.

The case $Y = \mathbb{Z}^+$ (step initial condition)

Define the k -dimensional integrand

$$J_k(x, \xi) = \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{\xi_j - \xi_i}{p + q\xi_i\xi_j - \xi_i} \prod_i \frac{\xi_i^x e^{\varepsilon(\xi_i)t}}{(1 - \xi_i)(q\xi_i - p)}.$$

Corollary. When $Y = \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $q \neq 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(x_m(t) \leq x) = \sum_{k \geq m} c_{m,k} \int_{\mathcal{C}_R^k} J_k(x, \xi) d^k \xi.$$

When $p = 0$ (left-moving TASEP) only $c_{m,m}$ survives. Get $m \times m$ Toeplitz determinant

$$\mathbb{P}(x_m(t) \leq x) = \det \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_R} \xi^{i-j+x-1} (\xi - 1)^{-m} e^{(\xi-1)t} d\xi \right).$$

Obtained by Rákos-Schütz (2005) and used it used to get Johansson's result.

In the formula of the Corollary use the identity

$$\prod_{i \neq j} \frac{\xi_j - \xi_i}{p + q\xi_i\xi_j - \xi_i} = (-1)^k (pq)^{-k(k-1)/2} \prod_i (1 - \xi_i)(q\xi_i - p) \\ \times \det \left(\frac{1}{p + q\xi_i\xi_j - \xi_i} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}.$$

Get ($\tau = p/q < 1$)

$$\mathbb{P}(x_m(t) \leq x) = (-1)^m \tau^{m(m-1)/2} \sum_{k \geq m} \begin{bmatrix} k-1 \\ k-m \end{bmatrix}_\tau \left(\frac{p}{\tau^m} \right)^k$$

$$\times \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \int_{\mathcal{C}_R} \cdots \int_{\mathcal{C}_R} \det K(\xi_i, \xi_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_k,$$

where

$$K(\xi, \xi') = \frac{\xi^x e^{\varepsilon(\xi)t}}{p + q\xi\xi' - \xi}.$$

Last factor is coefficient of λ^k in the expansion of $\det(I - \lambda K)$, where K acts on \mathcal{C}_R , so it has an integral representation.

Interchange sum and integral and use the τ -binomial theorem.

Find that

$$\mathbb{P}(x_m(t) \leq x) = \int \frac{\det(I - \lambda q K)}{\prod_{k=0}^{m-1} (1 - \lambda \tau^k)} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}.$$

Contour encloses all singularities of the integrand. In particular

$$\mathbb{P}(x_1(t) > x) = \det(I - qK).$$

Asymptotic analysis of $\det(I - \lambda q K)$ leads to three asymptotic results for $p < q$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. One with m and x fixed; one with m fixed and x large; one with m and x large.

Set $\gamma = q - p$. Second one has special case

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(x_1(t/\gamma) > -t - \gamma^{1/2} s t^{1/2} \right) = \det \left(I - \hat{K} \chi_{(s, \infty)} \right),$$

where

$$\hat{K}(z, z') = \frac{q}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(p^2+q^2)(z^2+z'^2)/4+pqzz'}.$$

A new distribution function?

Third one:

$$m/t = \sigma, \quad c_1 = -1 + 2\sqrt{\sigma}, \quad c_2 = \sigma^{-1/6} (1 - \sqrt{\sigma})^{2/3}.$$

When $0 \leq p < q$ we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(x_m(t/\gamma) \leq c_1 t + c_2 s t^{1/3} \right) = F_2(s)$$

uniformly for σ in a compact subset of $(0, 1)$.

Coefficient c_1 was known (Liggett 1985). When $p = 0$ get asymptotics for TASEP obtained by Johansson.

VERY rough outline of derivation.

Using lemmas on stability of spectrum we show that there are operators K_1 and K_2 acting on a different contour such that

$$\det(I - \lambda qK) = \det(I - \lambda(K_1 - K_2)),$$

where

$$\det(I - \lambda K_1) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda \tau^k).$$

We factor this out in

$$\int \frac{\det(I - \lambda K_1 + \lambda K_2)}{\prod_{k=0}^{m-1} (1 - \lambda \tau^k)} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}.$$

Get

$$\int \prod_{k=m}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda \tau^k) \det(I + \lambda K_2 (I + R)) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda},$$

where R is the resolvent kernel of K_1 .

For the third asymptotics set $\lambda = \mu \tau^{-m}$ with $|\mu|$ fixed. Above becomes

$$\int \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - \mu \tau^k) \det(I + \mu \tau^{-m} K_2 (I + R)) \frac{d\mu}{\mu}. \quad (2)$$

Define

$$\varphi(\eta) = (1 - \eta)^{-x} e^{\frac{\eta}{1-\eta} t},$$
$$f(\mu, z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tau^k}{1 - \tau^k \mu} z^k.$$

Using stability of spectrum lemmas we show that

$$\det(I + \mu \tau^{-m} K_2 (I + R)) = \det(I + \mu J),$$

where

$$J(\eta, \eta') = \int \frac{\varphi(\zeta)}{\varphi(\eta')} \frac{\zeta^m}{(\eta')^{m+1}} \frac{f(\mu, \zeta/\eta')}{\zeta - \eta} d\zeta,$$

acting on circle with radius a little smaller than one, integral in J a circle with radius a little greater than one. Steepest descent and scaling give

$$\det(I + \mu J) \rightarrow \det(I - K_{\text{Airy}} \chi_{(s, \infty)}) = F_2(s)$$

for all μ . (c_1 is chosen so that the two saddle points coincide.)

Therefore the integral (2) has limit $F_2(s)$.