An LP-based approach to the Train Unit Assignment Problem

V. Cacchiani, A. Caprara, P. Toth

University of Bologna (Italy)

Input:	• timetabled train trips with a required number of passenger seats
	• <u>train unit types</u>
Output:	Find a minimum cost assignment of the train units (TUs) to the trips
	set of <u>constraints</u>
Constraints:	• required number of passenger seats for each trip (possibly combini
	• maximum number of TUs that can be assigned to each trip (genera
	• maximum number of TUs available
	• sequencing constraints between trips









Column Generation

Dual Problem

The model has an exponential number of variables and can be solved by <u>column</u> <u>generation</u> techniques.

 $J_P \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$ set of trips visited by a path $P \in P^k$

$$\max - \sum_{k=1}^{p} d^{k} \alpha^{k} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_{j} \beta_{j} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{j} \gamma_{j},$$
$$- \alpha^{k} + \sum_{j \in J_{p}} s^{k} \beta_{j} - \sum_{j \in J_{p}} \gamma_{j} \leq c^{k}, \quad k = 1, \dots$$

$$\alpha^{k}, \beta_{j}, \gamma_{j} \ge 0, \quad k = 1, ..., p, \quad j = 1, ..., n$$

The column generation problem calls for $k \in \{1, ..., p\}$ and $P \in P^k$ such that

$$\sum_{j\in J_P} (s^k \overline{\beta_j} - \overline{\gamma_j}) > c^k + \overline{\alpha^k}$$

It can be solved as a **maximum profit path** from 0 to n+1 in (V, A^k) with node profits $s^k \overline{\beta_j} - \overline{\gamma_j}$ for each $j \in V \setminus \{0, n+1\}$



$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{``Strong'' capacity constraints} \\ \hline \textbf{An example} \\ r_{j} = 1032 \\ p = 8 \end{array} \qquad \qquad \textbf{w}_{j}^{k} \equiv \sum_{P \in P_{j}^{k}} x_{P} \\ \textbf{number of TUs of type } k \text{ assign} \\ k = (1, \dots, p), \quad j = (1, \dots, n) \\ \hline \textbf{1150} w_{j}^{1} + \textbf{1044} w_{j}^{2} + \textbf{786} w_{j}^{3} + \textbf{702} w_{j}^{4} + \textbf{543} w_{j}^{5} + \textbf{517} w_{j}^{6} + \textbf{515} w_{j}^{7} + \textbf{360} w_{j}^{8} \ge 10 \\ \hline \textbf{1150} w_{j}^{1} + \textbf{1044} w_{j}^{2} + \textbf{786} w_{j}^{3} + \textbf{702} w_{j}^{4} + \textbf{543} w_{j}^{5} + \textbf{517} w_{j}^{6} + \textbf{515} w_{j}^{7} + \textbf{360} w_{j}^{8} \ge 10 \\ \hline \textbf{1150} w_{j}^{1} + \textbf{1044} w_{j}^{2} + \textbf{786} w_{j}^{3} + \textbf{702} w_{j}^{4} + \textbf{543} w_{j}^{5} + \textbf{517} w_{j}^{6} + \textbf{515} w_{j}^{7} + \textbf{360} w_{j}^{8} \ge 10 \\ \hline \textbf{1150} w_{j}^{1} + \textbf{1044} w_{j}^{2} + \textbf{786} w_{j}^{3} + \textbf{702} w_{j}^{4} + \textbf{543} w_{j}^{5} + \textbf{517} w_{j}^{6} + \textbf{515} w_{j}^{7} + \textbf{360} w_{j}^{8} \ge 10 \\ \hline \textbf{2} w_{j}^{1} + 2w_{j}^{2} + 2w_{j}^{3} + w_{j}^{4} + w_{j}^{5} + w_{j}^{6} + w_{j}^{7} + w_{j}^{8} \ge 2, \\ - \frac{2w_{j}^{1} + 2w_{j}^{2}}{2w_{j}^{1} + 2w_{j}^{2}} + 2w_{j}^{3} + w_{j}^{4} + w_{j}^{5} + w_{j}^{6} + w_{j}^{7} + w_{j}^{8} \ge 2, \\ - \frac{2w_{j}^{1} + 2w_{j}^{2}}{2w_{j}^{1} + 2w_{j}^{2}} + 2w_{j}^{3} + 2w_{j}^{4} + w_{j}^{5} + w_{j}^{6} + w_{j}^{7} \ge 2, \\ - \frac{2w_{j}^{1} + 2w_{j}^{2}}{2w_{j}^{1} + 2w_{j}^{2}} + 2w_{j}^{3} + 2w_{j}^{4} + 2w_{j}^{5} + 2w_{j}^{5} + 2w_{j}^{6} \ge 2. \\ \hline \textbf{2} w_{j}^{1} + 2w_{j}^{2} + 2w_{j}^{3} + 2w_{j}^{4} + 2w_{j}^{5} + 2w_{j}^{5} + 2w_{j}^{6} \ge 2. \\ \hline \textbf{2} w_{j}^{1} + 2w_{j}^{2} + 2w_{j}^{3} + 2w_{j}^{4} + 2w_{j}^{5} + 2w_{j}^{5} + 2w_{j}^{6} \ge 2. \\ \hline \textbf{2} w_{j}^{1} + 2w_{j}^{2} + 2w_{j}^{3} + 2w_{j}^{4} + 2w_{j}^{5} + 2w_{j}^{6} \ge 2. \\ \hline \textbf{2} w_{j}^{1} + 2w_{j}^{2} + 2w_{j}^{3} + 2w_{j}^{4} + 2w_{j}^{5} + 2w_{j}^{6} \ge 2. \\ \hline \textbf{2} w_{j}^{1} + 2w_{j}^{2} + 2w_{j}^{3} + 2w_{j}^{4} + 2w_{j}^{5} + 2w_{j}^{6} \ge 2. \\ \hline \textbf{2} w_{j}^{1} + 2w_{j}^{2} + 2w_{j}^{3} + 2w_{j}^{4} + 2w_{j}^{5} + 2w_{j}^{6} \ge 2. \\ \hline \textbf{2} w_{j}^{1} + 2w_{j}^{2} + 2w_{j}^{3} + 2w_{j}^{4} + 2w_{j}^{5} + 2w_{j}^{5} \ge 2. \\ \hline \textbf{2} w_{j}^{1} + 2w_{j}^{2} + 2w_{j}^{3} + 2w_{j}^{4}$$





The derived strong inequalities describe the convex hull of the capacity constraints.





