

Projecting Systems of Linear Inequalities in Binary Variables

Egon Balas
Carnegie Mellon University

Projecting out continuous variables from a system of linear inequalities

$$Q' = \{(u, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} : Au + Bx \leq d, x \in T\}, \quad A_{m \times p}, B_{m \times q}$$

- The projection of Q' onto the x -space is defined as

$$P_x(Q') := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^q \cap T : \exists u \in \mathbb{R}^p \text{ with } (u, x) \in Q'\}$$

- Projection cone:

$$W' = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^m : vA = 0, v \geq 0\}$$

- $P_x(Q') = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^q \cap T : (vB)x \leq vd \text{ for all } v \in \text{extr } W'\}$

(Special case when A has a single column: Fourier elimination)

Projecting out 0-1 variables from a system of linear inequalities

$$Q := \{(u, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} : Au + Bx \leq d, u \in \{0, 1\}^p, x \in T\}$$

- The projection of Q onto the x -space is defined as

$$P_x(Q) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^q \cap T : \exists u \in \{0, 1\}^p \text{ with } (u, x) \in Q\}$$

In general, $P_x(Q)$ is **nonconvex**; we are also interested in

$$P_x^c(Q) := \text{conv } P_x(Q).$$

In general,

$$P_x^c(Q) \subsetneq P_x(Q')$$

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} u + x_1 &= 1 \\ x_1 + x_2 &= 1 \\ u + x_2 &= 1 \\ u, x_1, x_2 &\geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$Q' = \{(u, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : (1) \text{ holds}\}$$

$$Q = \{(u, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : (1) \text{ holds}, u \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

$$P_x(Q') = \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) \right\}; \quad P_x(Q) = \{\emptyset\}$$

Projecting out $u \in \{0, 1\}^p$

Let a_j be the j -th column of A .

For any $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, p\}$, let $a(S) := \sum(a_j : j \in S)$

Let $\mathcal{S} := \{S \subseteq \{1, \dots, p\}, S \neq \emptyset\}$

Theorem. If T is convex, then

$$P_x^c(Q) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^q \cap T : (vB)x \leq vd \text{ for all } v \in \text{extr } W\}$$

where

$$W = \left\{ v \in \mathbb{R}^m \left| \begin{array}{ll} vB - w^S B & = 0 \quad S \in \mathcal{S} \\ vd - w^S (d - a(S)) & = 0 \quad S \in \mathcal{S} \\ v, w^S & \geq 0, \quad S \in \mathcal{S} \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Proof outline.

$(u, x) \in Q$ iff $x \in \mathbb{R}^q \cap T$ satisfies

$$\bigvee_{S \in \mathcal{S}'} (Bx \leq d - a(S)) \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{S}' := \mathcal{S} \cup \{\emptyset\}$, i.e.

$$P_x(Q) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^q \cap T : Bx \leq d - a(S) \text{ for some } S \in \mathcal{S}'\}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} P_x^c(Q) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^q \cap T : x & - \sum (y^S : S \in \mathcal{S}') & = & 0 \\ & By^S - (d - a(S))y_0^S \leq 0 \quad S \in \mathcal{S}' & & (2) \\ & \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}'} y_0^S = 1\} \end{aligned}$$

Projecting out (y^S, y_0^S) , $S \in \mathcal{S}'$, it follows that $x \in P_x^c(Q)$ iff it satisfies

$$\alpha x \leq \beta$$

for every (α, β) such that

$$\alpha - w^S B = 0$$

$$-\beta + w^S(d - a(S)) = 0$$

$$w^S \geq 0 \quad S \in \mathcal{S}'.$$

Setting $v := w^\emptyset$ and substituting vB for α and vd for β yields W .



Easy case

- Q is **down-monotone** in u :

$$((u, x) \in Q, 0 \leq y \leq u) \Rightarrow (y, x) \in Q$$

$$\text{(e.g. } A \geq 0)$$

Proposition. If Q is down-monotone in u ,

$$P_x(Q) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^q \cap T : Bx \leq d\}$$

i.e. $P_x(Q)$ is the restriction of Q to $u = 0$.

Proof.

- If \hat{x} satisfies $Bx \leq d$, then (\hat{u}, \hat{x}) , with $\hat{u} = 0$, satisfies $Au + Bx \leq d$; hence $\hat{x} \in P_x(Q)$.
- If $B_i \hat{x} > d_i$ for some i , then $A_i u + B_i \hat{x} > d_i$, i.e. $(u, \hat{x}) \notin Q$ for all $u \in \{0, 1\}^p$. □

Example. **Set packing:**

$$Q = \{(u, x) \in \{0, 1\}^{p+q} : Au + Bx \leq 1\}, \quad a_{ij}, b_{kl} \in \{0, 1\}$$

$$P_x(Q) = \{x \in \{0, 1\}^q : Bx \leq 1\}$$

Easy case:

- Q is **up-monotone** in u :

$$((u, x) \in Q, u \leq y \leq 1) \Rightarrow (y, x) \in Q$$

(where Q is defined with \geq instead of \leq).

Proposition. If Q is up-monotone in u ,

$$P_x(Q) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^q \cap T : Bx \geq d - A1\},$$

i.e. $P_x(Q)$ is the restriction of Q to $u = 1$.

Proof.

If \bar{x} satisfies $Bx \geq d - A1$, then (\bar{u}, \bar{x}) , with $\bar{u} = 1$, satisfies $Au + Bx \geq d$; hence $\bar{x} \in P_x(Q)$.

Conversely, if $\hat{x} \in P_x(Q)$, there exists $\hat{u} \in \{0, 1\}^p$ with $(\hat{u}, \hat{x}) \in Q$, hence \hat{x} satisfies $Bx \geq d - A\hat{u} \geq d - A1$. \square

Example. **Set covering:**

$$Q = \{(u, x) \in \{0, 1\}^{p+q} : Au + Bx \geq 1\}, \quad a_{ij}, b_{k\ell} \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

$$P_x(Q) = \{x \in \{0, 1\}^q : B_i x \geq 1, \text{ for all } i \text{ s.t. } A_i = 0\}$$

Hard case: **Set partitioning**

Not monotone

Example: Set packing

$$\begin{array}{rcccccl} x_1 & & & +x_4 & \leq & 1 \\ & x_2 & +x_3 & & \leq & 1 \\ x_1 & & +x_3 & +x_4 & \leq & 1 \\ x_1 & & & & +x_5 & \leq & 1 \end{array}$$

Projecting out x_1 and x_4 yields

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_2 + x_3 & \leq & 1 \\ x_3 & \leq & 1 \\ x_5 & \leq & 1 \end{array}$$

Example: Set covering

$$\begin{array}{rcccccl} x_1 & & & +x_4 & \geq & 1 \\ & x_2 & +x_3 & & \geq & 1 \\ x_1 & & +x_3 & +x_4 & \geq & 1 \\ x_1 & & & & +x_5 & \geq & 1 \end{array}$$

Projecting out x_1 and x_4 yields

$$x_2 + x_3 \geq 1$$

Eliminating one 0-1 variable

$$Q := \{(x_0, x) \in \{0, 1\}^{n+1} : a_{i0}x_0 + a_i x \geq b_i, \\ i \in M, a_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n, i \in M\}$$

$$Q' := \{Q : x_0 \in \{0, 1\} \text{ is replaced by } 0 \leq x_0 \leq 1\}$$

$$M^+ := \{i \in M : a_{i0} > 0\}$$

$$M^- := \{i \in M : a_{i0} < 0\}$$

$$M^0 := \{i \in M : a_{i0} = 0\}$$

Fourier elimination:

$$P_x(Q') = \{x \in \{0, 1\}^n : a_i x \geq b_i - a_{i0}, \quad i \in M^+\}$$

$$a_i x \geq b_i, \quad i \in M^- \cup M_0$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (a_{i0} a_{kj} - a_{k0} a_{ij}) x_j \geq a_{i0} b_k - a_{k0} b_i, \quad i \in M^+, k \in M^- \}$$

Let $F(Q') := P_x(Q') \setminus P_x(Q)$

Theorem. $F(Q')$ is the set of those $x \in P_x(Q')$ for which

$\exists i \in M^+, k \in M^-$ such that $a_{i0} \geq 2$, $a_{k0} \leq -2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq b_i - a_i x \leq a_{i0} + \lfloor a_{i0}/a_{k0} \rfloor \\ a_{k0} + 1 &\leq b_k - a_k x \leq \lfloor a_{k0}/a_{i0} \rfloor \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Corollary. If $a_{i0} \in \{1, -1, 0\}$ for all $i \in M$, then $F(Q') = \emptyset$, i.e.

$$P_x(Q') = P_x(Q)$$

Why Fourier elimination does not work for Set Partitioning

0	1	1	1	=	1	
	1	1	1	=	1	
	1	1	1	=	1	
1	1	1	1	\leq	1	
	1	1	1	\leq	1	
	1	1	1	=	1	
	1	-1	1	-1	=	0
2	1	1	1	\leq	1	
	1	1	1	\leq	1	
	-1	1	-1	\leq	0	
	1	1	1	\leq	1	
	2	-1	1	1	\leq	1
	-2	1	-1	-1	\leq	1

Projection in Propositional Calculus

- **Satisfiability:**

Is a set of clauses C_i , $i \in M$, in literals x_j, \bar{x}_j , $j \in N$,

$$C_i \quad x_1 \vee \bar{x}_2 \vee \cdots \vee x_n \quad i \in M$$

satisfiable?

- If $\exists k \in N$ (and no other $h \in N$), s.t.

$$x_k \in C_i, \bar{x}_k \in C_j \text{ for some } i \neq j,$$

then

$$C := C_i \cup C_j \setminus \{x_k, \bar{x}_k\}$$

is the **resolvent** of C_i and C_j

Resolution (which replaces C_i, C_j by C) is a **projection method**.

- IP formulation:

$$\sum_{j \in N_i^+} x_j + \sum_{j \in N_i^-} (1 - x_j) \geq 1 \quad i \in M$$

$$x_j \in \{0, 1\}, j \in N$$

If $x_k \in C_i$ and $(1 - x_k) \in C_h$, $h \neq i$, then Fourier elimination combines C_i with C_h to obtain

$$\sum (x_j : j \in N_i^+ \cup N_h^+ \setminus \{k\}) + \sum ((1 - x_j) : j \in N_i^- \cup N_h^- \setminus \{k\}) \geq 1$$

which is the resolvent C of C_i and C_h .

Another representation

$$Q := \{(u, x) \in \{0, 1\}^{p+q} : Au + Bx = d\},$$

$$a(S) := \sum(a_j : j \in S), S \subseteq \{1, \dots, p\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x - \sum(y^S : S \in \mathcal{S}') &= 0 \\ By^S - (d - a(S))y_0^S &= 0 \quad S \in \mathcal{S}' \\ \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}'} y_0^S &= 1 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} x - y^{S_1} & & -y^{S_2} & & \dots & -y^{S_q} & = 0 & d^S := d - a(S) \\ By^{S_1} & -d^{S_1}y_0^{S_1} & & & & & = 0 & \\ & & By^{S_2} & -d^{S_2}y_0^{S_2} & & & = 0 & \\ & & & & \ddots & & \vdots & \\ & & & & & By^{S_q} & -d^{S_q}y_0^{S_q} & = 0 \\ y_0^{S_1} & & +y_0^{S_2} & & \dots & & +y_0^{S_q} & = 1 & \{1, \dots, q\} = \mathcal{S}' \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcccccc}
x - y^{S_1} & & -y^{S_2} & \dots & -y^{S_q} & = 0 & d^S := d - a(S) \\
By^{S_1} & -d^{S_1}y_0^{S_1} & & & & = 0 & \\
& & By^{S_2} & -d^{S_2}y_0^{S_2} & & = 0 & \\
& & & \ddots & & \vdots & \\
& & & & By^{S_q} & -d^{S_q}y_0^{S_q} & = 0 \\
\hline
& & y_0^{S_1} & +y_0^{S_2} & \dots & +y_0^{S_q} & = 1 \quad \{1, \dots, q\} = \mathcal{S}'
\end{array}$$

The system (2) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
Bx - \sum(d^S \lambda_S : S \in \mathcal{S}') &= 0 \\
\sum(\lambda_S : S \in \mathcal{S}') &= 1 \\
\lambda_S &\geq 0, S \in \mathcal{S}'
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

When are (2) and (3) equivalent?

Let $B_{m \times q}$, $m \leq q$

Theorem. (2) and (3) are **equivalent** if
for every $m \times m$ nonsingular submatrix D of B
and every convex combination $d(\lambda)$ of d^S with weights $\lambda_S, S \in \mathcal{S}'$,

$$D^{-1}d(\lambda) \geq 0 \text{ implies } D^{-1}d^S \geq 0 \text{ whenever } \lambda_S > 0.$$

Cases when the condition holds:

- x belongs to one of several **boxes** (multidimensional intervals)
- x belongs to one of several **simplices**
- x belongs to one of several **network (or multiple network) polyhedra**
- **Application:** derivation of Ball, Liu and Pulleyblank's compact linear characterization of **2-terminal Steiner-tree polyhedra**