

On the fixed points of the iterated pseudopalindromic closure

Geneviève PAQUIN

Joint work with D. JAMET, G. RICHOMME, L. VUILLON

Laboratoire de Mathématiques
Université de Savoie

web : <http://www.lama.univ-savoie.fr/~paquin/>
email : Genevieve.Paquin@lama.univ-savoie.fr

May 25 2009

CanadAM

Centre de Recherches Mathématiques (Montréal)

Outline

- 1 Iterated palindromic closure and Sturmian words
- 2 Generalization to an alphabet with more than 2 letters
- 3 Generalization using pseudopalindromes
- 4 Existence of fixed points of the iterated pseudopalindromic closure
- 5 Properties of the fixed points
- 6 Open problems

Iterated palindromic closure

Palindromic closure

The *palindromic closure* of $w \in \mathcal{A}^*$, denoted w^+ , is the shortest palindrome having w as prefix.

Iterated palindromic closure

Let $w \in \mathcal{A}^*$. The iterated palindromic closure of w , denoted $\text{Pal}(w)$, is defined by

$$\text{Pal}(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon, \quad \text{Pal}(w) = (\text{Pal}(w[1, n-1]) \cdot w[n])^+.$$

Example

$$\text{Pal}(123) = (\text{Pal}(12)3)^+ = ((\text{Pal}(1)2)^+3)^+ = ((12)^+3)^+ = ((121)3)^+ = 1213121.$$

Generalization of the iterated palindromic closure

It can naturally be generalized to an infinite word $u \in \mathcal{A}^\omega$ as

$$\text{IPal}(u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Pal}(u[1, n]).$$

Standard Sturmian and standard episturmian words

Let $w \in \mathcal{A}^\omega$. Then $\text{IPal}(w)$ is a *standard episturmian word* if $|\mathcal{A}| \geq 3$ and if $|\mathcal{A}| = 2$ and $w \neq u\alpha^\omega$, it is a *standard Sturmian word*.

Example

Let consider the Tribonacci word $T = \text{IPal}((123)^\omega)$. Then,

$$T = \underline{1}2\underline{1}3\underline{1}2\underline{1}1\underline{2}1\underline{2}13\underline{1}2\underline{1}2\underline{1}3\underline{1}2\underline{1}1\underline{1}2\underline{1}3\underline{1}2\underline{1}1 \dots$$

We denote by $\Delta(T)$ the word that determines T , called the *directive word* of T . Here, $\Delta(T) = (123)^\omega$.

Pseudopalindrome

An *antimorphism* of \mathcal{A}^* is a function $\theta : \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ such that for all $u, v \in \mathcal{A}^*$, $\theta(uv) = \theta(v)\theta(u)$.

If $\theta^2 = \text{id}$, then it is *involutive*.

θ -palindrome

For a fixed involutive antimorphism θ , a finite word $w \in \mathcal{A}^*$ is called a *θ -palindrome (pseudopalindrome)* if $\theta(w) = w$.

In the sequel, $R : \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ is the involutive antimorphism defined as the reversal.

Example

For $w = abcab$, $R(w) = bacba$.

The R -palindromes are exactly the usual palindromes.

Involutive antimorphisms

Lemma

Let τ be an involutive permutation over the alphabet \mathcal{A} . Then $\theta = \tau \circ R = R \circ \tau$ is the unique involutive antimorphism on \mathcal{A}^* that extends τ . Thus,

$$\theta(w) = \tau(w[n])\tau(w[n-1]) \cdots \tau(w[1]).$$

Any involutive antimorphism can be obtained that way.

Example

Let $\theta = R \circ \tau$, with $\tau(a) = b$ and $\tau(b) = a$. Then $abab$ is a θ -palindrome. Indeed, $abab$ is a fixed point under θ :

$$\theta(abab) = R(baba) = abab.$$

Iterated pseudopalindromic closure

The θ -palindromic (pseudopalindromic) closure of a word $w \in \mathcal{A}^+$, denoted w^\oplus , is the shortest θ -palindrome having w as prefix.

Example

Let θ be an involutive antimorphism such that $\tau(1) = 3$, $\tau(2) = 4$, $\tau(3) = 1$ and $\tau(4) = 2$, and let $w = 123124$. Then

$$w^\oplus = 1231 \cdot 24 \cdot \theta(1231) = 1231 \cdot 24 \cdot 3143.$$

The iterated pseudopalindromic closure, denoted Pal_θ , is naturally defined by $\text{Pal}_\theta(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$, and for $w \in \mathcal{A}^*$,

$$\text{Pal}_\theta(w) = (\text{Pal}_\theta(w[1, n-1])w[n])^\oplus.$$

And $\text{IPal}_\theta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Pal}_\theta(w[1, n])$.

Questions

- 1 What do the fixed points of the iterated pseudopalindromic closure look like ?
- 2 How many are they ?
- 3 Do they have remarkable combinatorial properties ?

Existence (1/2)

Example

$$\text{IPal}_R(abx\cdots) = \underline{abax}\cdots$$

$$\text{IPal}_R^2(abx\cdots) = \underline{abaaba}\underline{x}\cdots$$

$$\text{IPal}_R^3(abx\cdots) = \underline{abaaba}\underline{ababaaba}\underline{ababaaba}\underline{abax}\cdots$$

Let E be the involutive antimorphism defined by $E = R \circ \tau$, with $\tau(a) = b$ and $\tau(b) = a$. Then

$$\text{IPal}_E(abx\cdots) = \underline{abbaab}\underline{x}\cdots$$

$$\text{IPal}_E^2(abx\cdots) = \underline{abbaab}\underline{baab}\underline{abbaab}\underline{baab}\underline{abbaab}\underline{baab}\underline{abbaab}\underline{baab}\underline{abbaab}\underline{baab}\underline{abbaab}\underline{x}\cdots$$

Existence (2/2)

Theorem and definition

Over a k -letter alphabet, with $k \geq 2$, there are 3 kinds of fixed points having at least 2 different letters, only depending on the first letters of the word and the involutory antimorphism $\theta = R \circ \tau$ considered.

- 1 When $\tau(a) = a$ and $\tau(b) = b$, with $a \neq b$, for a fixed $n \geq 1$, IPal_θ has a unique fixed point beginning with $a^n b$, denoted $\mathbf{s}_{R,n,a,b}$, which equals

$$\mathbf{s}_{R,n,a,b} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{Pal}^i(a^n b) = \underline{a}^n \underline{b} a^n (\underline{a} b a^n)^{n+1} \underline{b} (a^{n+1} b)^{n+1} a^n \underline{a} \cdots .$$

- 2 When $\tau(a) = a$ and $\tau(b) = c$ for pairwise different letters a, b, c , for a fixed $n \geq 1$, IPal_θ has a unique fixed point beginning with $a^n b$, denoted by $\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{H},n,a,b,c}$, which equals

$$\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{H},n,a,b,c} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{Pal}_{\mathcal{H}}^i(a^n b) = \underline{a}^n \underline{b} c a^n \underline{c} b a^n b c a^n (\underline{a} b c a^n c b a^n b c a^n)^n \underline{c} \cdots .$$

- 3 When $\tau(a) = b$ and $\tau(b) = a$, with $a \neq b$, IPal_θ has a fixed point beginning with $a^n b$ only if $n = 1$. It is denoted by $\mathbf{s}_{E,a,b}$ and equals

$$\mathbf{s}_{E,a,b} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{Pal}_E^i(a) = \underline{a} b \underline{b} a \underline{a} b \underline{b} a \underline{a} b \underline{a} b \underline{a} a \underline{b} \underline{a} b \underline{b} a \underline{a} b \underline{b} a \underline{a} b \underline{b} \cdots .$$

Combinatorial properties of $\mathbf{s}_{R,n,a,b}$

- 1 For a fixed positive $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{s}_{R,n,a,b}$ is not ultimately periodic and consequently, is standard Sturmian (using Droubay, Justin, Pirillo - 2001).
- 2 For a fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{s}_{R,n,a,b}$ is not a fixed point of a nontrivial morphism (using Arnoux, Rauzy - 1991 and Crisp et al - 1993).
- 3 $\mathbf{s}_{R,n,a,b}$ is $(n+4)$ -th power-free, but contains $(n+3)$ -th powers (using Vandeth - 2000).
- 4 For any $n \geq 1$, $\alpha_{n,a,b}$ is transcendental (using Adamczewski, Bugeaud - 2007).

Combinatorial properties of $\mathbf{s}_{E,a,b}$ (1/2)

Useful property [de Luca, De Luca - 2006]

Let $\theta = \tau \circ R$ be an involutory antimorphism over an alphabet \mathcal{A} , with μ_θ the morphism defined for all a in \mathcal{A} , by $\mu_\theta(a) = a$ if $a = \tau(a)$ and by $\mu_\theta(a) = a\tau(a)$ otherwise.

Then, for any $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{A}^\omega$ and for any involutory antimorphism θ , one has

$$\text{IPal}_\theta(\mathbf{w}) = \mu_\theta(\text{IPal}(\mathbf{w})).$$

Idea

First consider $\mathbf{w}_E = \text{IPal}(\mathbf{s}_{E,a,b})$ and then, extend its properties to $\mu_E(\text{IPal}(\mathbf{s}_{E,a,b})) = \mathbf{s}_{E,a,b}$.

$$\mathbf{w}_E = \underline{a}b\underline{a}b\underline{a}b\underline{a}b\underline{a}b\underline{a}b\underline{a}b\underline{a}b\underline{a}b\underline{a}b\underline{a}b\underline{a}b\underline{a}b\underline{a}b\underline{a}b\underline{a}b\underline{a}b\underline{a}b\underline{a}b \dots$$

Combinatorial properties of $\mathbf{s}_{E,a,b}$ (2/2)

Property of \mathbf{w}_E

\mathbf{w}_E is not ultimately periodic, and consequently, is a Sturmian word.

Lemma

An infinite word \mathbf{w} over \mathcal{A} is ultimately periodic if and only if $\mu_\theta(\mathbf{w})$ is so.

$\implies \mathbf{s}_{E,a,b}$ is not ultimately periodic.

Lemma

\mathbf{w}_E is not a fixed point for some non-trivial morphism $\implies \mathbf{s}_{E,a,b}$ is not a fixed point for some non-trivial morphism.

Proposition

\mathbf{w}_E and $\mathbf{s}_{E,a,b}$ both contain 4-th powers, but no 5-th power words (using Shur - 2000).

Combinatorial properties of $\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{H},n,a,b,c}$ (1/3)

Recall : $\tau(a) = a$, $\tau(b) = c$ and $\tau(c) = b$, and $\theta = R \circ \tau$.

First consider $\mathbf{w}_{\mathcal{H}} = \text{IPal}(\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{H},n,a,b,c})$ and then extend its properties to $\mu_{\mathcal{H}}(\text{IPal}(\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{H},n,a,b,c})) = \mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{H},n,a,b,c}$.

$$\mathbf{w}_{\mathcal{H},n} = \text{IPal}(\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{H},n,a,b,c}) = \underline{a}^n \underline{b} a^n \underline{c} a^n b a^n \underline{a} b a^n c a^n b a^n \dots$$

Property of $\mathbf{w}_{\mathcal{H},n}$

$\mathbf{w}_{\mathcal{H},n}$ is not ultimately periodic, and consequently, is a strict standard episturmian word.

$\implies \mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{H},n,a,b,c}$ is not ultimately periodic.

Proposition

$\mathbf{w}_{\mathcal{H},n}$ is not a fixed point of a nontrivial morphism (using Justin, Pirillo - 2002).

Combinatorial properties of $\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{H},n,a,b,c}$ (2/3)

Generalization of a result of Justin, Pirillo - 2002 for strict episturmian word having periodic directive word :

Proposition

Let \mathbf{s} be a strict standard episturmian word directed by a word Δ and let ℓ denotes the greatest integer such that α^ℓ is a factor of Δ with α a letter. Assume Δ contains at least one factor $aua^\ell va$ with a a letter and u, v non empty words that do not contain the letter a . Then \mathbf{s} is $(\ell + 3)$ -th power-free but contains an $(\ell + 2)$ -th power.

Corollary

The words $\mathbf{w}_{\mathcal{H},n}$ are $(n + 4)$ -th power free but contain $(n + 3)$ -th powers.

Combinatorial properties of $\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{H},n,a,b,c}$ (3/3)

Let $\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{H},n,a,b,c}$ be a fixed point of the $\text{IPal}_{\mathcal{H}}$ operator, for a fixed n . Then $\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{H},n,a,b,c}$ satisfies the following properties :

- 1 It is not an episturmian word, but is a pseudostandard word.
- 2 It is not a fixed point for some non trivial morphism.
- 3 It is $(n + 4)$ -th power-free but contains $(n + 3)$ -th powers.
- 4 The frequencies of the letters b and c are equal.

Open problems

- What is the critical exponent : its value, the number of occurrences, their positions, etc.
- Does there exist a connection between $s_{R,n,a,b}$ and $s_{R,n+1,a,b}$? between $s_{\mathcal{H},n,a,b,c}$ and $s_{\mathcal{H},n+1,a,b,c}$?
- Can we give a geometric interpretation of the iterated palindromic (pseudopalindromic) closure ?
- What are the letter frequencies of $s_{\mathcal{H},n,a,b,c}$?
- Any remarkable combinatorial properties ?