

# Conformal Anomalies, Renormalized area and bulk re-construction.

Spyros Alexakis

CRM AdS-CFT conference.

# Three different works inspired by AdS-CFT.

I wish to present three *very* different result that are inspired by problems/conjectures in the AdS-CFT correspondence.

# Three different works inspired by AdS-CFT.

I wish to present three *very* different result that are inspired by problems/conjectures in the AdS-CFT correspondence.

- The algebraic structure of conformal anomalies for bulk actions, and renormalized volume in AdS-CFT.

# Three different works inspired by AdS-CFT.

I wish to present three *very* different result that are inspired by problems/conjectures in the AdS-CFT correspondence.

- The algebraic structure of conformal anomalies for bulk actions, and renormalized volume in AdS-CFT.
- Renormalized area of minimal surfaces of minimal surfaces in asymptotically AdS manifolds, and the expectation values on Wilson loops at conformal infinity.

# Three different works inspired by AdS-CFT.

I wish to present three *very* different result that are inspired by problems/conjectures in the AdS-CFT correspondence.

- The algebraic structure of conformal anomalies for bulk actions, and renormalized volume in AdS-CFT.
- Renormalized area of minimal surfaces of minimal surfaces in asymptotically AdS manifolds, and the expectation values on Wilson loops at conformal infinity.
- Reconstruction of bulk metrics from area data.

# Three different works inspired by AdS-CFT.

I wish to present three *very* different result that are inspired by problems/conjectures in the AdS-CFT correspondence.

- The algebraic structure of conformal anomalies for bulk actions, and renormalized volume in AdS-CFT.
- Renormalized area of minimal surfaces of minimal surfaces in asymptotically AdS manifolds, and the expectation values on Wilson loops at conformal infinity.
- Reconstruction of bulk metrics from area data. *Equivalently:* Knowledge of the expectation values of Wilson loops determines the AdS metric uniquely, and *one can reconstruct the bulk metric.*

# Conformal anomalies

Consider an action  $\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{g}, \dots]$  that depends on a metric  $\mathbf{g}$ , and other fields.

# Conformal anomalies

Consider an action  $\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{g}, \dots]$  that depends on a metric  $\mathbf{g}$ , and other fields. ( $\mathbf{g}$  can be Lorentzian or Riemannian, of finite or infinite volume). Assume that the action  $\mathcal{A}$  is scale-invariant: For any  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ :

$$\mathcal{A}[t^2 \mathbf{g}, \dots] = \mathcal{A}[\mathbf{g}, \dots].$$



# Conformal anomalies

Consider an action  $\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{g}, \dots]$  that depends on a metric  $\mathbf{g}$ , and other fields. ( $\mathbf{g}$  can be Lorenzian or Riemannian, of finite or infinite volume). Assume that the action  $\mathcal{A}$  is scale-invariant: For any  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ :

$$\mathcal{A}[t^2 \mathbf{g}, \dots] = \mathcal{A}[\mathbf{g}, \dots].$$

Assume that the action *fails* to be invariant under *conformal* changes of the metric  $\mathbf{g}$ : Letting  $\dot{\mathbf{g}} := \phi \mathbf{g}$ , assume we have:

$$\dot{\mathcal{A}}[\mathbf{g}, \dots] = \int \mathcal{L}[\mathbf{g}, \dots] \phi.$$

# Conformal anomalies

Consider an action  $\mathcal{A}[\mathbf{g}, \dots]$  that depends on a metric  $\mathbf{g}$ , and other fields. ( $\mathbf{g}$  can be Lorenzian or Riemannian, of finite or infinite volume). Assume that the action  $\mathcal{A}$  is scale-invariant: For any  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ :

$$\mathcal{A}[t^2 \mathbf{g}, \dots] = \mathcal{A}[\mathbf{g}, \dots].$$

Assume that the action *fails* to be invariant under *conformal* changes of the metric  $\mathbf{g}$ : Letting  $\dot{\mathbf{g}} := \phi \mathbf{g}$ , assume we have:

$$\dot{\mathcal{A}}[\mathbf{g}, \dots] = \int \mathcal{L}[\mathbf{g}, \dots] \phi.$$

$\int \mathcal{L}[\mathbf{g}] \phi$  is the *conformal anomaly* of the action  $\mathcal{A}$ . Note that the anomaly is invariant under the symmetry it breaks:

$$\int \mathcal{L}[e^\psi \mathbf{g}, \dots] dV_{e^\psi \mathbf{g}} = \int \mathcal{L}[\mathbf{g}, \dots] dV_{\mathbf{g}} \quad (1)$$

# The structure of conformal anomalies.

Conjecture (Deser-Schwimmer, '93.)

Assume that  $\int_{M^n} P(\mathbf{g}) dV_{\mathbf{g}}$  satisfies:

$$\int_{M^n} P(e^{2\psi} \mathbf{g}) dV_{e^{2\psi} \mathbf{g}} = \int_{M^n} P(\mathbf{g}) dV_{\mathbf{g}}. \quad (2)$$

$\forall \mathbf{g}, \psi$ .  $P(g)$  Riemannian invariant of weight  $-n$  ( $n$  even).

# The structure of conformal anomalies.

Conjecture (Deser-Schwimmer, '93.)

Assume that  $\int_{M^n} P(\mathbf{g}) dV_{\mathbf{g}}$  satisfies:

$$\int_{M^n} P(e^{2\psi} \mathbf{g}) dV_{e^{2\psi} \mathbf{g}} = \int_{M^n} P(\mathbf{g}) dV_{\mathbf{g}}. \quad (2)$$

$\forall \mathbf{g}, \psi$ .  $P(g)$  Riemannian invariant of weight  $-n$  ( $n$  even). Then:

$$P(\mathbf{g}) = W(\mathbf{g}) + \text{div}T(\mathbf{g}) + \text{Const} \cdot [\text{Gauss-Bonnet}^n(\mathbf{g})], \quad (3)$$

# The structure of conformal anomalies.

Conjecture (Deser-Schwimmer, '93.)

Assume that  $\int_{M^n} P(\mathbf{g}) dV_{\mathbf{g}}$  satisfies:

$$\int_{M^n} P(e^{2\psi} \mathbf{g}) dV_{e^{2\psi} \mathbf{g}} = \int_{M^n} P(\mathbf{g}) dV_{\mathbf{g}}. \quad (2)$$

$\forall \mathbf{g}, \psi$ .  $P(\mathbf{g})$  Riemannian invariant of weight  $-n$  ( $n$  even). Then:

$$P(\mathbf{g}) = W(\mathbf{g}) + \text{div}T(\mathbf{g}) + \text{Const} \cdot [\text{Gauss-Bonnet}^n(\mathbf{g})], \quad (3)$$

- $W(\mathbf{g})$  is a local conformal invariant:  $W(e^{2\psi} \mathbf{g}) = e^{-n\psi} W(\mathbf{g})$ ,

# The structure of conformal anomalies.

Conjecture (Deser-Schwimmer, '93.)

Assume that  $\int_{M^n} P(\mathbf{g}) dV_{\mathbf{g}}$  satisfies:

$$\int_{M^n} P(e^{2\psi} \mathbf{g}) dV_{e^{2\psi} \mathbf{g}} = \int_{M^n} P(\mathbf{g}) dV_{\mathbf{g}}. \quad (2)$$

$\forall \mathbf{g}, \psi$ .  $P(\mathbf{g})$  Riemannian invariant of weight  $-n$  ( $n$  even). Then:

$$P(\mathbf{g}) = W(\mathbf{g}) + \operatorname{div} T(\mathbf{g}) + \operatorname{Const} \cdot [\operatorname{Gauss-Bonnet}^n(\mathbf{g})], \quad (3)$$

- $W(\mathbf{g})$  is a local conformal invariant:  $W(e^{2\psi} \mathbf{g}) = e^{-n\psi} W(\mathbf{g})$ ,
- $\operatorname{div} T$  is a divergence of a vector field, and

# The structure of conformal anomalies.

Conjecture (Deser-Schwimmer, '93.)

Assume that  $\int_{M^n} P(\mathbf{g}) dV_{\mathbf{g}}$  satisfies:

$$\int_{M^n} P(e^{2\psi} \mathbf{g}) dV_{e^{2\psi} \mathbf{g}} = \int_{M^n} P(\mathbf{g}) dV_{\mathbf{g}}. \quad (2)$$

$\forall \mathbf{g}, \psi$ .  $P(\mathbf{g})$  Riemannian invariant of weight  $-n$  ( $n$  even). Then:

$$P(\mathbf{g}) = W(\mathbf{g}) + \text{div}T(\mathbf{g}) + \text{Const} \cdot [\text{Gauss-Bonnet}^n(\mathbf{g})], \quad (3)$$

- $W(\mathbf{g})$  is a local conformal invariant:  $W(e^{2\psi} \mathbf{g}) = e^{-n\psi} W(\mathbf{g})$ ,
- $\text{div}T$  is a divergence of a vector field, and
- Gauss-Bonnet $^n(\mathbf{g})$  integrates to the Euler number:  
 $\int_{M^n} \text{Gauss-Bonnet}^n(\mathbf{g}) = C_n \cdot \chi(M^n)$ .

# The structure of conformal anomalies

Theorem (Alexakis, 2005–2008)

*The conjecture above is true, in any (even) dimension.*



# The structure of conformal anomalies

Theorem (Alexakis, 2005–2008)

*The conjecture above is true, in any (even) dimension.*

**Note:** A variant of the above is also conjectured, where  $\mathcal{L}[\mathbf{g}]$  is assumed to arise from an action.

# The structure of conformal anomalies

Theorem (Alexakis, 2005–2008)

*The conjecture above is true, in any (even) dimension.*

**Note:** A variant of the above is also conjectured, where  $\mathcal{L}[\mathbf{g}]$  is assumed to arise from an action. (This assumption is stronger). The conclusion is then also strengthened, to assume that the term  $\text{div}T(\mathbf{g})$  also has extra structure. (It arises via the conformal variation of some other action). This other version is still open.

# Applications: Volume re-normalization for asymptotically AdS space-times.

Work in Riemannian signature (for asymptotically hyperbolic metrics), in vacuum,  $(M^n, \mathbf{g})$ , with  $\partial_\infty M$  inheriting a *conformal class* of metrics  $[h]$ .

Given a metric  $h_0 \in [h]$  recall the expansion of  $\mathbf{g}$  in the Fefferman-Graham coordinate  $x$  associated to  $h_0$ :

$$\mathbf{g} = x^{-2} \left( dx^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} [(h_0)_{ij}(y) dy^i dy^j + x^2 h_{ij}^{(2)}(y) dy^i dy^j + x^4 + \dots] \right)$$

# Applications: Volume re-normalization for asymptotically AdS space-times.

Work in Riemannian signature (for asymptotically hyperbolic metrics), in vacuum,  $(M^n, \mathbf{g})$ , with  $\partial_\infty M$  inheriting a *conformal class* of metrics  $[h]$ .

Given a metric  $h_0 \in [h]$  recall the expansion of  $\mathbf{g}$  in the Fefferman-Graham coordinate  $x$  associated to  $h_0$ :

$$\mathbf{g} = x^{-2} \left( dx^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} [(h_0)_{ij}(y) dy^i dy^j + x^2 h_{ij}^{(2)}(y) dy^i dy^j + x^4 + \dots] \right)$$

**Volume Renormalization:** Consider the expansion of volume of the region  $\{x \geq \epsilon\}$ .

# Applications: Volume re-normalization for asymptotically AdS space-times.

Work in Riemannian signature (for asymptotically hyperbolic metrics), in vacuum,  $(M^n, \mathbf{g})$ , with  $\partial_\infty M$  inheriting a *conformal class* of metrics  $[h]$ .

Given a metric  $h_0 \in [h]$  recall the expansion of  $\mathbf{g}$  in the Fefferman-Graham coordinate  $x$  associated to  $h_0$ :

$$\mathbf{g} = x^{-2} \left( dx^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} [(h_0)_{ij}(y) dy^i dy^j + x^2 h_{ij}^{(2)}(y) dy^i dy^j + x^4 + \dots] \right)$$

**Volume Renormalization:** Consider the expansion of volume of the region  $\{x \geq \epsilon\}$ . For  $n$  even:

$$\text{Vol}_{\mathbf{g}}[\{x \geq \epsilon\}] \sim \epsilon^{-n+1} + C\epsilon^{-n+3} + \dots + V + o(1).$$

# Applications: Volume re-normalization for asymptotically AdS space-times.

Work in Riemannian signature (for asymptotically hyperbolic metrics), in vacuum,  $(M^n, \mathbf{g})$ , with  $\partial_\infty M$  inheriting a *conformal class* of metrics  $[h]$ .

Given a metric  $h_0 \in [h]$  recall the expansion of  $\mathbf{g}$  in the Fefferman-Graham coordinate  $x$  associated to  $h_0$ :

$$\mathbf{g} = x^{-2} \left( dx^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} [(h_0)_{ij}(y) dy^i dy^j + x^2 h_{ij}^{(2)}(y) dy^i dy^j + x^4 + \dots] \right)$$

**Volume Renormalization:** Consider the expansion of volume of the region  $\{x \geq \epsilon\}$ . For  $n$  even:

$$\text{Vol}_{\mathbf{g}}[\{x \geq \epsilon\}] \sim \epsilon^{-n+1} + C\epsilon^{-n+3} + \dots + V + o(1).$$

While for  $n$  odd:

$$\text{Vol}_{\mathbf{g}}[\{x \geq \epsilon\}] \sim \epsilon^{-n+1} + C\epsilon^{-n+3} + \dots + V + \log x \cdot L + o(1).$$

# Volume re-normalization and $Q$ -curvature.

For  $n$  even  $V$  is *independent* of the choice of defining function  $x$ :  
*well-defined* renormalized volume  $\mathcal{R}\mathcal{V}[\mathbf{g}]$ .

# Volume re-normalization and $Q$ -curvature.

For  $n$  even  $V$  is *independent* of the choice of defining function  $x$ :  
*well-defined* renormalized volume  $\mathcal{R}\mathcal{V}[\mathbf{g}]$ .

For  $n$  odd it is NOT; The conformal anomaly is encapsulated by  $L$ .  
The key to both  $V$  and  $L$  is a quantity called the  $Q$ -curvature,  
defined for all *even* dimensions:

$$n = 2 : Q^2 = R(\mathbf{g}).$$

$$n = 4 : Q^4(\mathbf{g}) = \Delta R(\mathbf{g}) + c|W|^2 + c'[\text{Gauss} - \text{Bonnet}^4][\mathbf{g}], \quad (4)$$

...

$$n = 2k : Q^{2k} = \Delta^{k-1} R(\mathbf{g}) + \dots$$



# Volume re-normalization and $Q$ -curvature.

For  $n$  even  $V$  is *independent* of the choice of defining function  $x$ :  
*well-defined* renormalized volume  $\mathcal{R}\mathcal{V}[\mathbf{g}]$ .

For  $n$  odd it is NOT; The conformal anomaly is encapsulated by  $L$ .  
The key to both  $V$  and  $L$  is a quantity called the  $Q$ -curvature,  
defined for all *even* dimensions:

$$n = 2 : Q^2 = R(\mathbf{g}).$$

$$n = 4 : Q^4(\mathbf{g}) = \Delta R(\mathbf{g}) + c|W|^2 + c'[\text{Gauss} - \text{Bonnet}^4][\mathbf{g}], \quad (4)$$

$\dots,$

$$n = 2k : Q^{2k} = \Delta^{k-1} R(\mathbf{g}) + \dots$$

**and**  $\int_{M^n} Q^n(\mathbf{g}) dV_{\mathbf{g}}$  is conformally invariant.

# Volume re-normalization and $Q$ -curvature.

Using the decomposition proven in the Theorem above, we derive:

$$Q^n(\mathbf{g}) = W^n(\mathbf{g}) + \operatorname{div}T(\mathbf{g}) + C \cdot [\text{Gauss} - \text{Bonnet}^n[\mathbf{g}]].$$

# Volume re-normalization and $Q$ -curvature.

Using the decomposition proven in the Theorem above, we derive:

$$Q^n(\mathbf{g}) = W^n(\mathbf{g}) + \operatorname{div}T(\mathbf{g}) + C \cdot [\text{Gauss} - \text{Bonnet}^n[\mathbf{g}]].$$

Chang-Qing-Yang have used the above to derive that for  $(M^n, \mathbf{g})$  vacuum and asymptotically hyperbolic, when  $n$  even:

$$\mathcal{RV}[\mathbf{g}] = \int_M W^n(\mathbf{g}) dV_{\mathbf{g}} + C_n \chi[M^n]. \quad (5)$$

When  $n$  is odd, the conformal anomaly  $L$  is encoded by the total  $Q^{n-1}$ -curvature *on the boundary*:

$$L = \int_{\partial M^n} Q^{n-1}(h) dV_h.$$

# Minimal surfaces and area re-normalization.

We now consider minimal *submanifolds* of an asymptotically AdS space-times.

# Minimal surfaces and area re-normalization.

We now consider minimal *submanifolds* of an asymptotically AdS space-times. In fact, consider minimal submanifolds  $Y \subset M^3$ , (where  $M^3$  is *Riemannian*, asymptotically hyperbolic). Assume that  $\partial Y$  lies “at infinity”:  $\partial_\infty Y = \gamma \subset \partial_\infty M$ .

# Minimal surfaces and area re-normalization.

We now consider minimal *submanifolds* of an asymptotically AdS space-times. In fact, consider minimal submanifolds  $Y \subset M^3$ , (where  $M^3$  is *Riemannian*, asymptotically hyperbolic). Assume that  $\partial Y$  lies “at infinity”:  $\partial_\infty Y = \gamma \subset \partial_\infty M$ . There is a well-defined *renormalized area* of  $Y$ .

Theorem (A.-Mazzeo '08)

Let  $A$  be the extrinsic curvature of  $Y \subset M^3$ ; then:

$$\mathcal{A}[Y] = -2\pi\chi[Y] - \frac{1}{2} \int_Y |A|^2 dV_Y + \int_Y W_Y dV_Y.$$

# Minimal surfaces and area re-normalization.

We now consider minimal *submanifolds* of an asymptotically AdS space-times. In fact, consider minimal submanifolds  $Y \subset M^3$ , (where  $M^3$  is *Riemannian*, asymptotically hyperbolic). Assume that  $\partial Y$  lies “at infinity”:  $\partial_\infty Y = \gamma \subset \partial_\infty M$ . There is a well-defined *renormalized area* of  $Y$ .

## Theorem (A.-Mazzeo '08)

Let  $A$  be the extrinsic curvature of  $Y \subset M^3$ ; then:

$$\mathcal{A}[Y] = -2\pi\chi[Y] - \frac{1}{2} \int_Y |A|^2 dV_Y + \int_Y W_Y dV_Y.$$

In particular  $\mathcal{A}[Y]$  depends explicitly on the topology, and the extrinsic geometry of the surface  $Y$ , as well as the Weyl curvature of the bulk  $M^3$ .

# Reconstruction of the bulk metric from area data.

**Question:** Assume that for all 1-dimensional loops  $\gamma^1 \subset \partial_\infty M^n$  we know  $\mathcal{A}[Y]$ , where  $Y$  is any minimal surface with  $\partial_\infty Y = \gamma$ . Can we then reconstruct the bulk metric  $\mathbf{g}$  from this information?



# Reconstruction of the bulk metric from area data.

**Question:** Assume that for all 1-dimensional loops  $\gamma^1 \subset \partial_\infty M^n$  we know  $\mathcal{A}[Y]$ , where  $Y$  is any minimal surface with  $\partial_\infty Y = \gamma$ . Can we then reconstruct the bulk metric  $\mathbf{g}$  from this information?

Analogous question for manifolds with boundary nearby:

**Question:** Assume that for all 1-dimensional loops  $\gamma^1 \subset \partial M^n$  we know  $\mathcal{A}[Y]$ , where  $Y$  is any minimal surface with  $\partial Y = \gamma$ . Can we then reconstruct the bulk metric  $\mathbf{g}$  from this information?

# Reconstruction of the bulk metric from area data.

**Question:** Assume that for all 1-dimensional loops  $\gamma^1 \subset \partial_\infty M^n$  we know  $\mathcal{A}[Y]$ , where  $Y$  is any minimal surface with  $\partial_\infty Y = \gamma$ . Can we then reconstruct the bulk metric  $\mathbf{g}$  from this information?

Analogous question for manifolds with boundary nearby:

**Question:** Assume that for all 1-dimensional loops  $\gamma^1 \subset \partial M^n$  we know  $\mathcal{A}[Y]$ , where  $Y$  is any minimal surface with  $\partial Y = \gamma$ . Can we then reconstruct the bulk metric  $\mathbf{g}$  from this information?

**(Tentative) answer:** Yes (forthcoming with Balehowsky, Nachman), at least for *some* manifolds.

# Reconstruction of the bulk metric from area data.

**Question:** Assume that for all 1-dimensional loops  $\gamma^1 \subset \partial_\infty M^n$  we know  $\mathcal{A}[Y]$ , where  $Y$  is any minimal surface with  $\partial_\infty Y = \gamma$ . Can we then reconstruct the bulk metric  $\mathbf{g}$  from this information?

Analogous question for manifolds with boundary nearby:

**Question:** Assume that for all 1-dimensional loops  $\gamma^1 \subset \partial M^n$  we know  $\mathcal{A}[Y]$ , where  $Y$  is any minimal surface with  $\partial Y = \gamma$ . Can we then reconstruct the bulk metric  $\mathbf{g}$  from this information?

**(Tentative) answer:** Yes (forthcoming with Balehowsky, Nachman), at least for *some* manifolds. Consider any foliation of  $\partial M^n$  by an  $(n-2)$ -family of loops,  $\gamma_t, t \in [0, 1]^{n-2}$ . Assume that the  $\gamma_t$ 's bound a family of minimal surfaces  $Y_t$ , which foliate the entire bulk  $M^n$ . Assume that the areas of all  $Y_t$  and all their perturbations are known. Then  $\mathbf{g}$  can be reconstructed from this data.